Exhibit X

```
1
         IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
          FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
3
                               ) CASE NO. 2:11-CV-01754
   KIMBERLEE WILLIAMS,
                   ) (JLL)(JAD)
   et al.,
5
         Plaintiffs,
6
  versus
7
                   ) CONTINUED DEPOSITION OF
   BASF CATALYSTS, LLC,
                    ) DONNETTE WENGER
   et al.,
9
          Defendants.
10
11
                 Volume II
12
       Continued Deposition of DONNETTE WENGER, a
13
    Plaintiff herein, called by the Defendants for
    Cross-Examination pursuant to the Federal Rules of
16
    Civil Procedure, taken before me, the undersigned,
17
    Anika W. Patrick, a Registered Merit Reporter,
18
   Certified Realtime Reporter and Notary Public in and
   for the State of Ohio, at the offices of Thompson Hine,
   LLP, 3900 Key Center, 127 Public Square, Cleveland,
20
    Ohio, on Monday, March 12, 2018, at 9:03 a.m.
22
23
24
25
```

Pages 193..196

	DONNETTE WENGE	1.		,	5	19319
	Page 193	1		INDEX		Page 195
2	On Behalf of the Plaintiffs:	2		(ANAINIATIONI DV	DAGE	
3	William L. Kuzmin, Esq.	l .		AMINATION BY	PAGE	
	Cohen, Placitella & Roth	4	IVII	. Farrell	200	
	127 Maple Avenue	5	Б.	A INITIEE'O EVI HOITO MANDICE		
i	Red Bank, New Jersey 07701 732.747.9003	6		AINTIFF'S EXHIBITS MARKE	ט	
;	wkuzmin@cprlaw.com	7	No	ne		
	and Eric S. Pasternack, Esq.	8	Ь.	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARI	VED/EIDOT DEFEDEN	IOE DAGE
	Two Commerce Square	9				ICE PAGE
	2001 Market Street, Suite 2900 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103	10		B, Deposition Transcript of Jer	286	
	215.567.3500	11		Dated July 3, 2008		
	epasternack@cprlaw.com	' '		Deposition Transcript of Doi Dated April 6, 2017	202	
) 1	On Behalf of the Defendant BASF Catalysts, LLC:	12		Dated April 6, 2017		
2	Peter A. Farrell, Esq.	12		3, Plaintiff Donnette Wengerd's	* *	
3	Elizabeth Dalmut, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis, LLP	13		Answers to BASF Catalysts LL	260	
,	655 Fifteenth Street, Northwest, Suite 1200			of Interrogatories		
4	Washington, D.C. 20005 202.879.5000	14		 Plaintiff Donnette Wengerd's BASF Catalysts LLC's Second 	•	
5	Peter.farrell@kirkland.com	15		Interrogatories	268	
	Elizabeth.dalmut@kirkland.com			5, Attorney Agreement	271	
) 7	On Behalf of the Defendants Cahill Gordon &			6, Graham/Wengerd Settleme		
	Reindel, LLP, Howard G. (Peter) Sloane, and Ira J.	18		. A-Best Release	274	
}	Dembrow (Via Telephone): Anthony Vale, Esq.	19		R, APG Release	274	
,	Pepper Hamilton, LLP	20), AWI Release	274	
)	3000 Two Logan Square), AWI Increase	274	
	Eighteenth and Arch Streets Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-4750			, B&W Release	274	
	215.981.4000			2, Celotex Settlement Entry	274	
3	valea@pepperlaw.com			3, Celotex Release	274	
4				H-W Release	274	
5						
	D 404	_				D 400
A	Page 194 APPEARANCES (Continued):	1		I N D E X (Continued)		Page 196
!			DE		ED/FIRST REFERENCE	
	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via	2		FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI		
	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone):	2	75,	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set	tlement Entry 274	
	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq.	2 3 4	75, 76,	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En	tlement Entry 274 stry 274	
	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA	2 3 4	75, 76,	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set	tlement Entry 274	
	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard	2 3 4 5	75, 76, 77,	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En	tlement Entry 274 stry 274	
	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA	2 3 4 5 6	75, 76, 77, 78,	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release	tlement Entry 274 ttry 274 274	
	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059	2 3 4 5 6 7	75, 76, 77, 78, 79,	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check	tlement Entry 274 htry 274 274 274	
	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	75, 76, 77, 78, 79,	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement	tlement Entry 274 try 274 274 274 274 274	
	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80,	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Sett Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry	tlement Entry 274 try 274 274 274 274 274 274	
	On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81,	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry	274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 Entry 274	
	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone):	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry , JM and Goodrich Settlement , Johns Manville Check	274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 Entry 274	
	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry	274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 Entry 274	
	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry , JM and Goodrich Settlement , Johns Manville Check	274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 Entry 274	
)	On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq. Marino, Tortorella & Boyle, PC 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry , JM and Goodrich Settlement , Johns Manville Check , Kaiser Check	tlement Entry 274 try 274 274 274 274 274 274 Entry 274 274 274 274 274	
)	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq. Marino, Tortorella & Boyle, PC 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 973.824.9300	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry JM and Goodrich Settlement Johns Manville Check Kaiser Check Kaiser Release	tlement Entry 274 ttry 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 Entry 274 274 274 274 274 274 274	
•	On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq. Marino, Tortorella & Boyle, PC 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82 83 84 85 86	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry , JM and Goodrich Settlement , Johns Manville Check , Kaiser Check , Kaiser Release , Mahoning Valley Supply Che-	274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274	
	APPEARANCES (Continued): On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq. Marino, Tortorella & Boyle, PC 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 973.824.9300	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82 83 84 85 86 87 88	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry , JM and Goodrich Settlement , Johns Manville Check , Kaiser Check , Kaiser Release , Mahoning Valley Supply Che- , Mahoning Valley Supply Settl , National Gypsum/Armstrong	tlement Entry 274 try 274 274 274 274 274 274 Entry 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 ck 274 lement Entry 274 Settlement Entry 274	
	On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq. Marino, Tortorella & Boyle, PC 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 973.824.9300 Jboyle@khmarino.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82 83 84 85 86 87 88	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry , JM and Goodrich Settlement , Johns Manville Check , Kaiser Check , Kaiser Release , Mahoning Valley Supply Che , Mahoning Valley Supply Settl , National Gypsum/Armstrong , Owens-Corning Settlement E	tlement Entry 274 try 274 274 274 274 274 274 Entry 274 274 274 274 274 ck 274 lement Entry 274 Settlement Entry 274 ntry 274	
	On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq. Marino, Tortorella & Boyle, PC 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 973.824.9300 Jboyle@khmarino.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry JM and Goodrich Settlement Johns Manville Check Kaiser Check Kaiser Release Mahoning Valley Supply Che Mahoning Valley Supply Settl National Gypsum/Armstrong Owens-Corning Settlement	tlement Entry 274 try 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274	
2 3 4 5 6 6	On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq. Marino, Tortorella & Boyle, PC 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 973.824.9300 Jboyle@khmarino.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry , JM and Goodrich Settlement , Johns Manville Check , Kaiser Check , Kaiser Release , Mahoning Valley Supply Che , Mahoning Valley Supply Settl , National Gypsum/Armstrong , Owens-Corning Settlement E	tlement Entry 274 try 274 274 274 274 274 274 Entry 274 274 274 274 274 ck 274 lement Entry 274 Settlement Entry 274 ntry 274	
	On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq. Marino, Tortorella & Boyle, PC 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 973.824.9300 Jboyle@khmarino.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 90, 91	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry JM and Goodrich Settlement Johns Manville Check Kaiser Check Kaiser Release Mahoning Valley Supply Che Mahoning Valley Supply Settl National Gypsum/Armstrong Owens-Corning Settlement	tlement Entry 274 try 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274	
2 3 1 5 6 7 3 9	On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq. Marino, Tortorella & Boyle, PC 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 973.824.9300 Jboyle@khmarino.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 90 91	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry , JM and Goodrich Settlement , Johns Manville Check , Kaiser Check , Kaiser Release , Mahoning Valley Supply Che , Mahoning Valley Supply Settl , National Gypsum/Armstrong , Owens-Corning Settlement , OC Release	tlement Entry 274 try 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 Entry 274 274 274 274 ck 274 lement Entry 274 Settlement Entry 274 ntry 274 274 274	
) 1 2 3 1 5 6 7 3 9 9	On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq. Marino, Tortorella & Boyle, PC 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 973.824.9300 Jboyle@khmarino.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry , JM and Goodrich Settlement , Johns Manville Check , Kaiser Check , Kaiser Release , Mahoning Valley Supply Che , Mahoning Valley Supply Settl , National Gypsum/Armstrong , Owens-Corning Settlement E , Owens-Corning Settlement , OC Release , OC Increase Check	tlement Entry 274 try 274 274 274 274 274 274 Entry 274 274 274 274 274 ck 274 lement Entry 274 Settlement Entry 274 274 274 274 274 274 274	
) 1 2 3 1 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 1	On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq. Marino, Tortorella & Boyle, PC 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 973.824.9300 Jboyle@khmarino.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 99, 91, 92, 93, 94	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry , JM and Goodrich Settlement , Johns Manville Check , Kaiser Check , Kaiser Release , Mahoning Valley Supply Chec , Mahoning Valley Supply Settl , National Gypsum/Armstrong , Owens-Corning Settlement , OC Release , OC Increase Check , FB Release , OI Check	tlement Entry 274 try 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274	
	On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq. Marino, Tortorella & Boyle, PC 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 973.824.9300 Jboyle@khmarino.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82 83 84 85 86 87 90 91 92 93 94 95	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry JM and Goodrich Settlement Johns Manville Check Kaiser Check Kaiser Release Mahoning Valley Supply Check Mahoning Valley Supply Settlement Owens-Corning Settlement OC Release COI Increase Check FB Release COI Check Plibrico Release	tlement Entry 274 ttry 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274	
) 1 2 3 1 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 1 1 2	On Behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: (Via Telephone): Eric Tunis, Esq. Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 908.647.1022 Etunis@heroldlaw.com On Behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch (Via Telephone): John A. Boyle, Esq. Marino, Tortorella & Boyle, PC 437 Southern Boulevard Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 973.824.9300 Jboyle@khmarino.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 81, 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96	FENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKI Fiberboard, U.S. Gypsum Set Garlock and OI Settlement En Garlock Release Garlock Sealing Check General Electric Release Goodrich Settlement Halliburton Settlement Entry , JM and Goodrich Settlement , Johns Manville Check , Kaiser Check , Kaiser Release , Mahoning Valley Supply Chec , Mahoning Valley Supply Settl , National Gypsum/Armstrong , Owens-Corning Settlement , OC Release , OC Increase Check , FB Release , OI Check	tlement Entry 274 try 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274 274	

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 197..200

DOMNET 1	E WENGER	03/12/2018 F	ages	19720
A INDEV (Continued)	Page 197			Page 199
1 I N D E X (Continued) 2 DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS MARKED/FIRST REFERENCE		1 her right to assistance of counsel in those		
	'	2 lines of questioning.		
 3 98, Travelers Release 4 99, Travelers Check 274 		3 MR. FARRELL: This is Peter Farrell for		
5 100, TN Settlement 274	I .	4 BASF. Let me just say in response to		
6 101, Full and Final Release, OC 274	I	5 Mr. Kuzmin's comments that the Special		
7 102, USG Release 274	I .	6 Discovery Master entered an order granting in		
8 103, Database of Cases 284	I .	7 part BASF's motion for sanctions based on the		
9 104, Plaintiff's Brief in Opposition to Motion	I	8 last deposition. That order provided for the		
for Summary Judgment Filed by RT	I .	9 no contact provision Mr. Kuzmin is referring		
10 Vanderbilt, Inc. 289	1	0 to. No appeal was taken by the Plaintiffs		
11 105, E-Mail Chain 299	1	1 from that order.		
12 106, Case Information 299	1	2 It was then later a motion for		
13	1	3 reconsideration filed concerning specific		
14	1	4 objections that had been raised at the last		
15	1	5 deposition. The Plaintiffs filed that motion		
16	1	6 for reconsideration, then withdrew the motion		
17	1	7 for reconsideration and agreed that the		
18	1	8 deposition can go forward today without the		
19	I	9 reassertion of those objections.		
20	2	O So BASF and, I assume, the remaining		
21	2	21 Defendants obviously disagree with		
22		2 Mr. Kuzmin's position, but we both stated our		
23	I .	3 positions on the record.		
24		MR. KUZMIN: Fair enough. And I would		
25		25 have one request, Mr. Farrell, given that		
				D 000
MR. KUZMIN: Good morning. This is Bill	Page 198	<u> </u>	k in	Page 200
MR. KUZMIN: Good morning. This is Bill Kuzmin from Cohen. Placitella & Roth. I'm	Page 198	1 Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was bac		Page 200
2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm	Page 198	1 Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back 2 April and that we have not had an opportun		Page 200
Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session,	ity	Page 200
 2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the	ity	Page 200
 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our office understands the ruling of the Special Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition,	ity	Page 200
 2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know	ity	Page 200
 2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things	ity	Page 200
 2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature.	ity S	Page 200
 2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy	ity S	Page 200
 2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that.	ity S	Page 200
 2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you.	ity S	Page 200
 2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 12 to answer in her last deposition on the basis 	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. MR. FARRELL: Mrs. Wengerd, are you	ity S	Page 200
 2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 12 to answer in her last deposition on the basis 13 of privilege, but also the ruling that other 	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. MR. FARRELL: Mrs. Wengerd, are you to go?	ity S	Page 200
 2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 12 to answer in her last deposition on the basis 13 of privilege, but also the ruling that other 14 questioning may take place of Ms. Wengerd 	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. MR. FARRELL: Mrs. Wengerd, are you to go? WHEREUPON,	ity S	Page 200
2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 12 to answer in her last deposition on the basis 13 of privilege, but also the ruling that other 14 questioning may take place of Ms. Wengerd 15 outside of those areas.	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. MR. FARRELL: Mrs. Wengerd, are you to go? WHEREUPON, DONNETTE WENGERD,	ity S	Page 200
 2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 12 to answer in her last deposition on the basis 13 of privilege, but also the ruling that other 14 questioning may take place of Ms. Wengerd 15 outside of those areas. 16 Keeping in line with the Special Master's 	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. MR. FARRELL: Mrs. Wengerd, are you to go? WHEREUPON, DONNETTE WENGERD, after being first duly sworn, as hereinafter	ity S	Page 200
2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 12 to answer in her last deposition on the basis 13 of privilege, but also the ruling that other 14 questioning may take place of Ms. Wengerd 15 outside of those areas. 16 Keeping in line with the Special Master's 17 ruling that we were not allowed to have any	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. MR. FARRELL: Mrs. Wengerd, are you to go? WHEREUPON, DONNETTE WENGERD, after being first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, testified as follows:	ity S	Page 200
2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 12 to answer in her last deposition on the basis 13 of privilege, but also the ruling that other 14 questioning may take place of Ms. Wengerd 15 outside of those areas. 16 Keeping in line with the Special Master's 17 ruling that we were not allowed to have any 18 conversation with Ms. Wengerd in order to	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. MR. FARRELL: Mrs. Wengerd, are you to go? WHEREUPON, DONNETTE WENGERD, after being first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION	ity S	Page 200
2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 12 to answer in her last deposition on the basis 13 of privilege, but also the ruling that other 14 questioning may take place of Ms. Wengerd 15 outside of those areas. 16 Keeping in line with the Special Master's 17 ruling that we were not allowed to have any 18 conversation with Ms. Wengerd in order to 19 prep her for today's session, we would just	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. MR. FARRELL: Mrs. Wengerd, are you to go? WHEREUPON, DONNETTE WENGERD, after being first duly swom, as hereinafter certified, testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FARRELL:	ity s y to u ready	Page 200
2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 12 to answer in her last deposition on the basis 13 of privilege, but also the ruling that other 14 questioning may take place of Ms. Wengerd 15 outside of those areas. 16 Keeping in line with the Special Master's 17 ruling that we were not allowed to have any 18 conversation with Ms. Wengerd in order to 19 prep her for today's session, we would just 20 respectfully object to the questioning that	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. MR. FARRELL: Mrs. Wengerd, are you to go? WHEREUPON, DONNETTE WENGERD, after being first duly swom, as hereinafter certified, testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FARRELL: just	ity y to u ready	Page 200
2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 12 to answer in her last deposition on the basis 13 of privilege, but also the ruling that other 14 questioning may take place of Ms. Wengerd 15 outside of those areas. 16 Keeping in line with the Special Master's 17 ruling that we were not allowed to have any 18 conversation with Ms. Wengerd in order to 19 prep her for today's session, we would just 20 respectfully object to the questioning that 21 would take place outside of those areas for	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. MR. FARRELL: Mrs. Wengerd, are you to go? WHEREUPON, DONNETTE WENGERD, after being first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FARRELL: O. Q. So you heard Mr. Kuzmin, your counsel, jus now to the ground rules of how these things of	ity ity to u ready st refer work.	Page 200
2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 12 to answer in her last deposition on the basis 13 of privilege, but also the ruling that other 14 questioning may take place of Ms. Wengerd 15 outside of those areas. 16 Keeping in line with the Special Master's 17 ruling that we were not allowed to have any 18 conversation with Ms. Wengerd in order to 19 prep her for today's session, we would just 20 respectfully object to the questioning that 21 would take place outside of those areas for 22 which privilege was asserted, because it	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. MR. FARRELL: Mrs. Wengerd, are you to go? WHEREUPON, DONNETTE WENGERD, after being first duly swom, as hereinafter certified, testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FARRELL: Q. So you heard Mr. Kuzmin, your counsel, jus now to the ground rules of how these things of	ity ity to u ready st refer work.	Page 200
2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 12 to answer in her last deposition on the basis 13 of privilege, but also the ruling that other 14 questioning may take place of Ms. Wengerd 15 outside of those areas. 16 Keeping in line with the Special Master's 17 ruling that we were not allowed to have any 18 conversation with Ms. Wengerd in order to 19 prep her for today's session, we would just 20 respectfully object to the questioning that 21 would take place outside of those areas for 22 which privilege was asserted, because it	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. MR. FARRELL: Mrs. Wengerd, are you to go? WHEREUPON, DONNETTE WENGERD, after being first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FARRELL: O. Q. So you heard Mr. Kuzmin, your counsel, jus now to the ground rules of how these things of	ity ity to u ready st refer work.	Page 200
2 Kuzmin from Cohen, Placitella & Roth. I'm 3 here today on behalf of Ms. Wengerd. Our 4 office understands the ruling of the Special 5 Master in this case. Take a quick interlude. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KUZMIN: Getting back to what I had 8 started to say, we understand the ruling of 9 the Special Master as it pertains not only to 10 questions relating to those that were 11 objected to when Ms. Wengerd was not allowed 12 to answer in her last deposition on the basis 13 of privilege, but also the ruling that other 14 questioning may take place of Ms. Wengerd 15 outside of those areas. 16 Keeping in line with the Special Master's 17 ruling that we were not allowed to have any 18 conversation with Ms. Wengerd in order to	Page 198	Ms. Wengerd's original deposition was back April and that we have not had an opportun to meet with her, prep her for this session, that you would be so kind as to give her the ground rules again of a deposition, specifically related to if she doesn't know an answer, you need to take a break, things of that nature. MR. FARRELL: Sure. I would be happy do that. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. MR. FARRELL: Mrs. Wengerd, are you to go? WHEREUPON, DONNETTE WENGERD, after being first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FARRELL: Q. So you heard Mr. Kuzmin, your counsel, jus now to the ground rules of how these things of	ity ity to u ready st refer work.	Page 200

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 201..204

DONNETTE WE	INGER - 03/12/2010 Pages 201204
Page	Page 203
 but you appreciate what's going to happen here. l'm going to ask questions. Your obligation is to 	1 there thinking there was anything that you had
	2 said that was inaccurate or needed to be corrected
3 respond to them as truthfully and accurately as	3 in any way?
4 you can. If you don't understand a question I've	4 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
5 asked you, I'm going to assume you've understood	5 answer it.
6 it unless you told me you didn't understand what I	6 A. Idon't think so.
7 asked. So if you're confused about something,	7 Q. As you sit here today, are you aware of any
8 please say so, because otherwise, when you respond	8 testimony you gave in your April 6th, 2017
9 to the question, we're going to assume that you	9 deposition that would need to be corrected in any
10 understood what I said.	10 way?
11 A. Understand. Okay.	11 A. Not that I'm aware of.
12 Q. As Mr. Kuzmin said, we can take breaks over the	12 Q. What did you do to prepare for today's deposition?
13 course of the deposition. So if you need to take	13 You can set that document aside for a second.
14 a break at any point, just let me know. Okay?	14 A. I did briefly just go over some of the previous
15 A. Okay.	15 information that was sent to me about when the
16 Q. Any questions about any of that?	16 case was originally filed to familiarize myself
17 A. No.	17 with some of the names that were questioned about.
18 Q. Any reason you can't give truthful, complete	18 That's all.
19 testimony today?	19 Q. That's all? What previous information did you
20 A. No.	20 review?
21 Q. Now, you and I were together last April for your	21 A. There were some names that were asked about that I
22 deposition in this case, the Williams case. Did	22 either couldn't remember or didn't know, so I
23 you review the transcript of that deposition,	23 tried to make myself a little bit more familiar
24 your –	24 with the different names of people or testimony
25 A. No.	25 that was asked of me about.
Page	202 Page 204
1 Q April 2017 deposition? Can we mark this?	1 Q. Well, which – withdrawn.
2 MR. KUZMIN: I don't mean to cut you off.	2 Which documents did you review in
3 Ms. Wengerd should be advised she's still	3 preparation for your deposition?
4 under oath from last time, unless you want to	4 A. I believe it was the original filing.
5 swear her in again?	5 Q. You say "the original filing." You mean the
6 MR. FARRELL: Yes. I thought she was	6 complaint in this case?
7 swom.	7 A. Yes.
8 THE COURT REPORTER: She was swom in.	8 Q. Other than the complaint in this case, did you
9 MR. KUZMIN: I apologize.	9 review any documents to prepare for your
10 MR. FARRELL: I couldn't figure out if I	10 deposition today?
11 needed the extra cup of coffee or if you	11 A. No.
12 needed the extra cup of coffee.	12 Q. When did you review the complaint to prepare for
13 Could you mark this as DX62?	13 today's deposition?
14 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 62 was	14 A. Not long after we last met, which was nine months
15 marked for identification.)	15 ago.
16 Q. Mrs. Wengerd, I've handed you what I've marked as	16 Q. Okay. So what I'm trying to get at is whether you
17 Defense Exhibit 62, which is the transcript of	17 looked at any documents to prepare for your
18 your last deposition, April 6, '17 in the Williams	18 deposition today.
19 case. Did Plaintiffs' counsel provide you with a	19 A. No.
20 copy of this transcript shortly after that	20 Q. Did you do anything to prepare for your deposition
21 deposition?	21 today as opposed to the last one?
22 A. No.	22 A. Nope.
23 Q. So you haven't had an opportunity to review it?	23 Q. Did you speak to anybody about the fact that you
24 A. No.	24 were being deposed today?25 A. I was only notified by my attorney. That was the
25 Q. After the deposition last time, did you leave	23 A. Twas uniy nomied by my allomey. That was the
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Page 205

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018

Pages 205..208

Page 207
earing. What

eck in.
nen Placitella firm
ou concerning your

Page 208

- 1 only thing that I had communication or prepared
- 2 about is where to show up.
- 3 Q. Who told you where to show up?
- 4 A. It was sent to me in an e-mail, and it was an
- 5 attachment to an e-mail for the address that was
- 6 in that.
- 7 Q. Okay. Did it say anything else?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Who sent the e-mail?
- 10 A. I think it was an assistant. I can pull up her
- 11 name off the e-mail if you need.
- 12 Q. Did the e-mail say anything about the deposition
- 13 today?
- 14 A. Time and place. That was it.
- 15 Q. Have you had any communications with anyone
- 16 associated with the Cohen Placitella firm since
- 17 your April 2017 deposition?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. When was that?
- 20 A. I had a phone call from Jared a month ago, maybe a
- 21 little more, just letting me know there was going
- 22 to be another deposition, but that was all.
- 23 He I was told he couldn't prepare me for it,
- 24 couldn't answer any questions, just that he was
- 25 letting me know. That was via phone.

- 1 you mentioned it was after the hearing. What
- 2 hearing was that?
- 3 A. I'm sorry. Deposition.
- 4 Q. Deposition?
- 5 A. Yeah. It was just to -- just to check in.
- 6 Q. Okay. So no one from the Cohen Placitella firm
- 7 had any communications with you concerning your
- 8 deposition in April 2017?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. What about anyone from the Bevan firm? Have you
- 11 had any communications with anybody from the Bevan
- 12 firm since your April 2017 deposition?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. No e-mails?
- 15 A. I don't believe so. I think the assistant I've
- spoken with was with the Placitella firm. I don't
- 17 believe I've had any I don't think I've had any
- 8 e-mails or anything to do with the Bevan firm.
- 19 Q. Letters from the Bevan firm?
- 20 A. They send us stuff, you know, letting us know
- 21 about, you know, different issues that are coming
- 22 on the ballot that are general in nature, but
- 23 nothing specific to me or the case. But I think
- 24 that's all I received from them.
- 25 Q. What do you mean by "coming on the ballot"?

- 1 Q. Okay. Did he say anything other than that?
- 2 A. Nope.
- 3 Q. Other than this one phone call with Jared
- 4 Placitella, have you had any other communications
- with the Cohen -- anyone from the Cohen Placitella
- 6 firm since your April 2017 deposition?
- 7 MR. KUZMIN: Objection to form. You mean
- 8 in addition to the e-mails and Jared?
- 9 Q. Other than the one e-mail you told me about and
- 10 the one phone call from Jared.
- 11 A. There have been a couple of e-mails going back and
- 12 forth between the assistant and me just because
- 13 there was a rescheduling and just general e-mails
- 14 about when and where and what the new time and
- 15 place was going to be, things of that nature. Or
- 16 to let her know that I received e-mails.
- 17 And I've also spoken with that assistant
- 18 just about scheduling and did I receive an e-mail.
- 19 But nothing subsequent to the nature of the case.
- 20 I think I may have had one previous phone call
- 21 with Jared just to check in not long after the
- 22 last hearing, but I can't remember exactly when.
- 23 And we didn't really talk about anything other
- 24 than, you know -- that was all.
- 25 Q. So the second phone call with Jared Placitella,

- Page 206

 1 A. Just different making us aware of different
 - 2 issues that, you know, might be coming up on a
 - 3 future ballot. They're informational in nature
 - 4 and that's all.
 - 5 Q. What do you mean by "ballot"? Like a voting
 - 6 ballot?
 - 7 A. Yes.
 - 8 Q. In what context?
 - 9 A. Just just as far as these are future laws that
 - 10 could be, you know, set forth on the ballot. You
 - 11 know, please encourage your representative to vote
 - 12 this way or vote that way. Just general in
 - 13 nature.
 - 14 Q. Do any of these ballots relate to asbestos cases
 - 15 or is it something else?
 - 16 A. I believe so. I don't -- I don't really read
 - 17 through them because I don't take that into
 - 18 consideration when I'm voting. So I'm sorry I
 - 19 have to be vague, but I really don't look through
 - 20 them.
 - 21 Q. Have you spoken to Tom Bevan since your April 2017
 - 22 deposition?
 - 23 A. No.
 - 24 Q. Have you spoken to, I believe you told me you had
 - 25 met a man named Pat Walsh at the Bevan firm,

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 209..212

D00	
Page 20	Page 211 1 with our office being present? Because my
2 A. Correct. I have met him.	2 understanding was, and I'm trying to follow
3 Q. Have you had any communications with Mr. Walsh	3 with what the Special Master said, my
4 since your 2017 deposition?	4 understanding was that there could be issues
5 A. No.	5 that if there was discussions related to this
6 Q. What about Erin Clark at the Bevan firm?	6 particular case where we were present, that
7 A. I don't think so. She – she tends to be, again,	7 could fall under attorney-client privilege.
8 a person that will send things in the mail, but I	8 If it was with Mr. Bevan by himself, I
9 don't think I've spoken with her, either.	9 understand that that may be fair game. So
10 Q. So no updates from the Bevan firm regarding	10 I'm just asking for a context.
11 bankruptcy trust claims you've made, for example?	11 MR. FARRELL: Let me try to address that.
12 A. No.	12 MR. KUZMIN: Sure.
13 Q. No communications with the Bevan firm about this	13 Q. But first, can you answer the question I asked
14 case, the Williams case?	14 you? I'm only asking about what Mr. Bevan said,
15 A. No.	15 not what Mr. Coren or anybody else said.
16 Q. Are you represented by any counsel other than the	16 MR. KUZMIN: Peter, respectfully, if it
17 Cohen Placitella firm and the Bevan firm?	17 was conversations with Mr. Bevan while we
18 A. No.	18 were there, it could be privileged and –
19 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form.	19 Q. Your conversation with Mr. Bevan occurred during a
20 Q. That was a "no"?	20 meeting shortly before your April 2017 deposition;
21 A. That was a "no."	21 is that correct?
22 Q. So the last time, then, that you would have spoken	22 A. Correct.
23 to Mr. Bevan was shortly before your April 2017	23 Q. Who was in the meeting?
24 deposition, correct?	24 A. The attorneys from the Placitella firm were
25 A. Correct.	25 present while I spoke with Mr. Bevan. Forgive me
Page 21	0 Page 212
1 Q. So you remember that last – withdrawn.	1 if I can't remember which attorneys.
2 At your last deposition, you'll remember	2 Q. So I think last time you told me that Mr. Coren
3 I asked you a number of questions about your	3 was there?
4 preparation for that first session of your	4 A. I believe so. Yes.
5 deposition?	5 Q. The gentleman who was here for your last
6 A. Uh-huh.	6 deposition?
7 Q. Do you remember that? "Yes"?	7 A. Yes.
8 A. Yes.	8 Q. So Mr. Coren was at this meeting. Mr. Bevan was
9 Q. Okay.	9 at this meeting.
10 A. Ithinkso.	10 A. Uh-huh.
11 Q. And I asked you whether you discussed your	11 Q. Was Jared Placitella there?
12 testimony with Mr. Bevan. Do you recall that?	12 A. Ithinkso.
13 A. Not particularly.	13 Q. Okay. So other than the three of them and you,
14 Q. Okay. Let me ask it again now. Did you discuss	14 did anybody else participate in that meeting
15 your April 2017 deposition with Mr. Bevan before	15 shortly before your April 2017 deposition?
16 that deposition?	16 A. No, I don't think so.
17 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	17 Q. What did Mr. Bevan tell you at that meeting
18 answer it.	18 shortly before your deposition?
19 A. Yes, we did discuss what kind of questions I would	19 MR. KUZMIN: And hold on before you
20 be asked, what to generally expect from the	20 answer that. I think again, Peter, this is
21 deposition.	21 where we've got that gray area. So I
22 Q. What did Mr. Bevan tell you?	22 understand there was a conversation, I
23 MR. KUZMIN: Okay. Hold on. Before you	23 believe, with Mr. Roth and Mr. Assaf —
24 answer that, can we get a context as to	24 MR. FARRELL: "Assaf."
27 anower that, can we yet a writest as to	LT IVIIN. I CHINILLE. COORI.
25 whether or not these conversations took place	25 MR. KUZMIN: Assaf about the potential

Pages 213..216

DC	NNETTE WENGE	R	- 03/12/2018	Pages	21321
	Page 213		MD FADDELL LE		Page 215
1 of allowing these questions to be answered		1	MR. FARRELL: I disagree		
2 subject to 502(d) if we decided to proceed		2	MR. KUZMIN: Okay.		
3 that route. So if you can agree to that		3	MR. FARRELL: with Mr. Kuzmin.		
4 protection, I'm happy to let her answer.		4	MR. KUZMIN: All right. So I am		
5 Otherwise, I think I given the context,		1	respectfully – since you're not going to		
6 especially if the subject matter relates to			agree to a 502(d) and, you know, allow that		
7 this particular case, I think I need to			o be looked at at a later time, I'm going to		
8 direct her not to answer pursuant to			need to instruct her not to answer that		
9 attorney-client privilege.			question as far as what was discussed. You		
10 MR. FARRELL: I think that the Special			know, given that we were there and that it		
11 Master's ruling and Mr. Roth's withdrawal of		11	dealt with this particular case and that the		
12 Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration on		12	question you had originally asked I believe		
13 this question was clear. Our view is that		13	was answered by her today. So that would b	е	
14 this privilege has been waived. There's no		14	my position.		
15 need for a 502(d). The question needs to be		15	I need to instruct her not to answer, and		
16 answered. If you're instructing her not to		16	I'm more than happy to discuss with you a		
17 answer the question, then please do so so		17	protection or, you know, again, a 502(d) if		
18 that we can raise it with the Special Master		18	we want to do that to allow her to answer.		
19 now.		19	But substantive responses or discussions		
20 MR. KUZMIN: Okay. Is this a question		20	where we were present relating to this case I		
21 that was previously if you want to direct		21	think does fall into attorney-dient		
22 me to where it was asked and how it was asked		22	privilege.		
23 and if it's the same question, I'm more than		23	MR. FARRELL: Let's take a two-minute		
24 happy to abide by what the Special Master		24	break.		
25 ruled. And I did read the transcript and I'm		25	MR. KUZMIN: Sure.		
	Page 214				Page 216
1 familiar with it, and I'm not trying to be	Ū	1	(Recess was taken.)		
2 difficult. I think it's a little bit		2	MR. FARRELL: Back on the record.		
3 different question than what had been asked		3 E	BY MR. FARRELL:		
4 in the past, specifically as it relates to		4 (Q. Mrs. Wengerd, are you following Mr. Kuzr	nin's	
5 meetings with our firm where Mr. Bevan was		5	instruction not to answer my last question?		
6 present. And I did read the Special		6 /	A. Yes.		
7 Master's –		7 (Q. You have in front of you what we've marke	ed as	
8 MR. FARRELL: I specifically asked at the		8	DX62, which was your deposition from Apri	12017.	
9 last deposition, page 12, lines 14 through		9	Do you have that?		
10 17, whether she discussed her deposition with		10	A. Yes.		
11 Mr. Bevan. She was instructed not to answer.		11	Q. Can you turn to page 12? It's the miniatu	re page	
12 That privilege objection was overruled.		12	12 up in the right-hand corner.		
13 MR. KUZMIN: Okay.		13	A. Okay.		
14 MR. FARRELL: And the testimony has been		14	Q. Page 12, do you see line 10?		
15 ordered to be provided. I'm not going to get		15	A. Yes.		
16 into an extended debate about this. If you		16	Q. I asked you the question, "Did you speak	to	
17 are instructing her not to answer the		17	Mr. Bevan on Tuesday about the Williams	case or	
18 question, just say so on the record so that		18	something else?" Your answer was, "I cou		
19 we can get an order from the court.		19	speak to what we spoke of because it's un		
20 MR. KUZMIN: Okay. Well, Peter, I think		20	attorney-client privilege." Do you see that?		
21 the original question was, was it discussed,		21	A. Yes.		
22 and she did answer that. And now you're			Q. Let me ask you that question again. Did	you speak	
23 asking what was said and I think now we get		23	to Mr. Bevan on the Tuesday before your A		
24 into attorney-client privilege, especially		24	deposition about the Williams case or som	=	
25 where we were present.		25	else?	-	
· ·		1			

Pages 217..220 Page 217 Page 219 1 asking last time. Any privilege between the 2 Plaintiffs and Mr. Bevan has been waived. 3 It's been ruled on multiple times by the 4 court. MR KLIZMIN: Okav

4 very direct to at least start with.	4 COURT.
5 MR. FARRELL: Is that a form or a	5 MR. KUZMIN: Okay.
6 foundation objection? We're not going to go	6 MR. FARRELL: And we're not going to
7 the whole day	7 proceed through a deposition that's been
8 MR. KUZMIN: Okay.	8 ordered as a sanction for the conduct at the
9 MR. FARRELL: with clarifications and	9 last deposition with the same sort of
10 coachings and speaking objections.	10 obstruction and speaking objections we had
11 MR. KUZMIN: Peter, I'm not trying to	11 last time.
12 coach. I'm not trying to give speaking	12 MR. KUZMIN: Okay. Can I suggest
objections. I'm trying to follow, I think,	13 something, Peter? Can we mark this question,
14 the guideline of what the Special Master had	14 if there may – there may be others, this may
15 kind of approved if there was an issue as to	15 be the only one, when we get done here today
the wording of it so that you can get what	16 so that you can get through what you need to,
17 you need and we don't have to worry about	17 when we get done, we can contact the Special
18 attorney-client. And that's all I'm trying	18 Master with anything that may have come up,
19 to do.	19 get rulings and then we can go from there?
20 So you asked her a very specific question	20 MR. FARRELL: I'm not going to do that,
21 and I'm just asking her to answer that	21 Bill.
	22 MR. KUZMIN: Okay.
23 need to.	23 MR. FARRELL: Because I'm not going to
24 A. To – to my memory, it was about something else.	24 come back a third time for this deposition.
25 I don't remember if he was present or asked any	25 MR. KUZMIN: I'm not saying come back.
Pa	age 218 Page 220
1 questions in regards to the Williams case.	1 Get through everything you need to get
2 Q. I think you told me a few minutes ago this morning	2 through. We mark these questions. She will
3 that Mr. Bevan told you what sort of questions to	3 still be here. We can finish depending on
4 expect, what to expect at the deposition. Do you	4 what the Special Master rules rather than
5 remember that from a few minutes ago?	5 calling him piecemeal.
6 A. No, I'm sorry. Mr. Placitella and Cohen were the	6 MR. FARRELL: I think we should call him
7 attorneys that gave me that information, not	7 now because we're essentially the first
8 Mr. Bevan.	8 substantive question of the deposition.
9 Q. What did Mr. Bevan tell you during your meeting	9 MR. KUZMIN: Okay.
shortly before your April 2017 deposition?	10 MR. FARRELL: You've already instructed
MR. KUZMIN: Okay. Again, I'm going to	her not to answer a question where the
12 object and direct her not to answer if you	12 privilege has been waived and there was a
13 are asking for substance. If you want topics	13 motion for consideration withdrawn on the
like you did before, I have no problem with	14 question.
15 that.	15 MR. KUZMIN: I'm going to disagree with
16 MR. FARRELL: Okay. We're going to need	16 you that the privilege was waived. That's
to take a break and get the Special Master on	17 not how I read the Special Master's ruling.
17 to take a break and get the Special Master on 18 the phone. This deposition was ordered	18 But if we need to call him, that's fine and I
·	· ·
• •	19 can understand we just have a difference of
last deposition. It was ordered as a remedy	20 opinion here. So I guess we'll be going off
and a sanction for the conduct at the last	21 the record. Do we need Ms. Wengerd to wait
deposition. You've had multiple bites at the	22 outside while we make this call?
apple on these objections. Of course I'm now	23 MR. FARRELL: Yes. Thanks.
going to ask what Mr. Bevan told her. That	24 (Recess was taken.)
25 was the whole point of the questions I was	25 BY MR. FARRELL:

1 A. Mr. Bevan came in the room --

3

4

MR. KUZMIN: And if you can answer

Mr. Farrell's question, I think it was very,

very direct to at least start with.

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 221..224 Page 221 Page 223 1 Q. During your meeting with Mr. Bevan, Mr. Coren and 1 on the grounds of possible attorney-client 2 Mr. Placitella on the Tuesday before your April 2 privilege. 2017 deposition, did you speak to Mr. Bevan about 3 A. I really don't remember. I don't remember the Williams case or something else? specifically if Mr. Coren said something while MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can Mr. Bevan may have been in the room that was 6 subsequent to the case. It's been so long, I 7 A. From my memory, I think it was about something specifically don't remember that detail. 8 Q. You could have answered the question back in April 9 of 2017, correct? 9 Q. What was the something else? 10 A. He asked how --10 A. According to my testimony -MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 11 MR. KUZMIN: Object. Hold on. Object to answer. 12 form. You can answer it. 13 A. He asked how my kids were. He spoke about just my 13 A. According to my testimony, it said that I could mom in general, just that she was a nice lady. He answer, but Mr. Coren advised that it was 15 spoke about my grandfather who he also knew and attorney-dient privilege. He may have been in the room for something, but I don't remember now. how he was a really funny guy and how he worked 17 with my grandfather about his case. That's all I 17 Q. Last time in your deposition of April 2017 I asked 18 remember. you about documents that you had and your mother 19 Q. At this meeting the Tuesday before your April 2017 had related to her asbestos case. Do you recall 20 deposition, did Mr. Bevan stay for the whole 20 that? 21 A. Yes. meeting? 22 Q. The file you kept at home? 23 Q. How long was he there? 24 A. Only a few minutes. 24 Q. When you first spoke to the Cohen Placitella firm 25 Q. Did he say to you anything other than what you about potentially being a plaintiff in the Page 222 Page 224 1 just told me about your mother and your Williams case, did they tell you to preserve all 2 grandfather? of your documents related to your mother's 3 A. I don't think so. I don't remember specifically. asbestos case? 4 But not to my knowledge. 4 A. Everything that we had, any documents, was 5 Q. How long did the meeting you had on the Tuesday provided to our attorney. We had nothing - and 6 before your deposition last? that was to Mr. Bevan. We had nothing else to 7 A. I don't remember. I'm guessing 45 minutes or an preserve or to give. Everything was - that we 8 had was given to Mr. Bevan. I don't remember if 9 Q. What portion of the meeting was Mr. Bevan in? he specifically said that. That seems like 10 A. I can't remember if he came in to greet me when I 10 something that would have been advised, but I 11 first got there or if he just came in at the end don't remember specifically. 11 12 to say goodbye, just to say hello and good-bye. 12 Q. Okay. Let's take that in pieces. Going back to 13 Q. Was anyone else from the Bevan firm in your my original question, you have no specific memory meeting with Mr. Coren and Mr. Placitella? of the Cohen Placitella firm advising you at the 14 start of this case to preserve documents relating 15 16 Q. What did Mr. Coren say while Mr. Bevan was in the 16 to your mother's asbestos case, correct? 17 A. Correct. MR. KUZMIN: Okay. I'm going to object 18 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can to that. Are you talking about topics or 19 answer. substantive information? 20 A. Correct. MR. FARRELL: I'm looking for the answer 21 Q. Okay. Then in part of your answer you told me that you had documents at home. to my question.

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

23 A. Uh-huh.

24 Q. Correct?

25 A. Yes.

MR. KUZMIN: Okay. If you're talking

need to object and direct her not to answer

specifically about what Mr. Coren said, I

5

6

11

12

16

21

22 A. No.

15 A. No.

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 225..228 Page 225 Page 227 1 Q. And you gave those documents to Mr. Bevan? correct? 2 A. Yes. 2 A. I believe that -- somewhere in that date. 3 Q. Okay. When did you give your documents at home to 3 Q. Okay. So in 2010, 2011 when you first learned 4 Mr. Bevan? 4 that you potentially were going to be a plaintiff 5 A. Some documents were given, I believe, from my 5 in this case, the Williams case, did you provide 6 mother prior to her passing. Any records that she 6 documents to the Bevan firm at that time as well? 7 had that she worked for Goodyear, anything that 7 A. The only documents that I provided the Bevan firm she had that would have been requested of her, she would have been any health records or - and I 9 would have given over at that time. Any documents 9 think I was actually copied on it from the that I had were copies of things that were sent by 10 coroner. There was an autopsy done, and I think I 11 either the Bevan firm or Mr. Placitella's firm to 11 received the copy. They were automatically sent 12 me as a copy. 12 to my attorney, so I didn't need to re-send my 13 I have no original documents to preserve copy to them. They already had it. 14 or to give over. I was given a questionnaire 14 Q. So over the course of your mother's asbestos case 15 prior to the deposition in April to fill out, I and bankruptcy trust claims that she filed and you filed, you accumulated some documents at home, 16 guess. Some written questions were generally 16 17 asked. After that, I was asked just to hand over 17 correct? anything that I had. Whether it was a copy or 18 A. Yes. I accumulated copies of different filings not, I handed it over to the Bevan firm. I think that - and just general back and forth paperwork 20 specifically Erin. And I just gave her all my 20 that would have been provided from Mr. Bevan's 21 copies that I was given. 21 22 Q. Okay. So let me make sure I'm understanding this, 22 Q. Did you provide that entire file to the Cohen 23 because I think maybe you're talking about two Placitella firm? 24 different periods of time and I just want to 24 A. I think I gave it to the Bevan firm. Specifically 25 understand. I think maybe to Erin. Just my copies of what was Page 226 Page 228 1 A. Okay. sent to me that I had held onto. 2 Q. So first you said you had documents at home while 2 Q. Why did you give your file to the Bevan firm 3 your mother was still alive? 3 instead of the Cohen Placitella firm? 4 A. Uh-huh. 4 A. Because there was, I don't know, a small pile of 5 Q. So that would have been probably 2008 or so, if whatever the copies were I received, and 6 you were giving them to Mr. Bevan? Does that Mr. Bevan's firm is local whereas the Placitella 7 sound correct? 7 firm is out of state. And I know that our 8 A. Right. 8 attorneys have corresponded and worked together 9 Q. So you gave Mr. Bevan some documents at the time because of an out-of-state issue. So as far as 10 your mother's original asbestos case was filed, 10 just making a call, you know, just to see how he 11 correct? 11 was doing, I know that they worked together in 12 A. Ithink so. I think she may have had a copy of 12 some capacity. I don't know what to - to what 13 her taxes that showed that she worked for 14 Goodyear. She had no information that was 14 Q. Okay. So you took the file you had at home -15 specific to asbestos or to her other than maybe 15 A. Uh-huh. 16 health records or employment verification of some 16 Q. - which contained your mother's records and your 17 sort. There was no other paperwork or information records concerning your mother's asbestos case. that she supplied to Mr. Bevan. 18 You gave those to the Bevan firm. Do you know 19 Q. Did your mother have any documents concerning the when? 19 20 brand of products she had been exposed to while 20 A. It would have been, I believe, prior to our April 21 working at Goodyear? deposition. A few months, maybe, before our

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

22

deposition.

23 Q. Okay. And then the Bevan firm gave those

documents to Cohen Placitella?

25 A. That is my understanding.

22 A. Not that I'm aware of.

24

25

23 Q. Okay. So now we've talked about 2008. Let's

Williams case, was filed in March of 2011,

fast-forward now to 2010, 2011. This case, the

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 229..232 Page 229 Page 231 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. Object to remember two people from the Placitella firm, a 1 2 form. I'm just going to ask you to give me a 2 lady and a gentleman. Her name might have been 3 second. Kristen, but I don't remember specifically the 4 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. 4 names. MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. 5 5 Q. Where did the meeting occur? 6 Q. Do you know whether any of the documents that you 6 A. At the Bevan firm. 7 keep at home related to your mother's affairs have 7 Q. Was anybody from the Bevan firm in the meeting? 8 been produced to the Defendants in the Williams 8 A. No. 9 case? 9 Q. How long did that meeting last? 10 A. I don't know. 10 A. I don't remember. 11 Q. You mentioned a few minutes ago that before your 11 Q. Did you discuss anything other than your responses 12 deposition in April 2017 you filled out a to those interrogatories? 13 questionnaire. Do you remember that? 13 A. No. 14 A. Yes. 14 Q. Did Mr. Bevan come in for any portion of that 15 Q. Who sent you that questionnaire? meeting? 16 A. I don't remember. 16 A. I don't think I saw him just to say hello even. 17 Q. Do you still have that questionnaire? 17 Q. You told me earlier that you no longer had your 18 A. No. responses to the -- what you were then calling a 19 Q. What questions were you asked in the questionnaire which we now know are the 20 questionnaire? interrogatory responses? 21 A. I don't remember. It -- I believe they were 21 A. Correct. I don't think I have them. 22 relevant to this current case, but I don't 22 Q. You threw them away, the original responses you 23 remember the specific questions. 24 24 Q. Do you remember what you wrote in the MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 25 questionnaire? 25 answer. Page 230 Page 232 1 A. I remember I think in our April deposition you 1 A. Those were what we filled out in that meeting, so 2 asked me about one because I got an address or a 2 I don't know that I have a copy of them. Maybe in 3 phone number or a work history date wrong. So I an e-mail I might have a copy, but hard copy is 4 know that those were provided to you because you something that I think I brought to the meeting. specifically asked me about one and I missed an I may have left it there with the attorneys. 6 answer. I got something wrong. It was a minor 6 Q. Have you searched your e-mail account to determine 7 detail. whether you have documents related to your 8 Q. Are you referring to interrogatories that were 8 mother's asbestos case or the Williams case in it? 9 served on you in this case or something else? 9 A. The only documents I have in my e-mails are just 10 A. Yes, I'm sorry. That's what I was calling a copies of different filings, different times to be 11 questionnaire. 11 here, different filings when it originally was 12 Q. Okay. So you received the interrogatories that 12 sent or -- and I'm not sure that I really have 13 the Defendants served in this case, correct? them all. I'm sure there are more that my 14 A. Yes. If it's the same document I'm referring to, attorneys have made on my behalf that I don't have 14 15 yes. copies of. There's nothing original that I have 15 16 Q. Then you filled it out by hand? 16 to provide to anyone. 17 A. No. I may have filled out -- no, I don't think I 17 Q. Have you checked your e-mail account to determine 18 filled out any of them by hand. It was filled out whether you have e-mails relating to your mother's 19 by an attorney during a meeting. 19 asbestos case? 20 Q. Which meeting? 20 A. Well, yeah, I had to check my e-mails to see what 21 A. It would have been prior to April when the the address was to get here today, and that 22 interrogatories were sent, provided. relates to this case. 23 Q. Who was at that meeting where you discussed your 23 Q. I think we're missing each other.

24 A. Okay.

25 Q. I'm asking about e-mails concerning your mother's

24 responses to the Defendants' interrogatories?

25 A. Forgive me because I'm very bad at names. I

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 233..236

DOMNETTE WENG	ER - 03/12/2016 Pages 233230
Page 233	Page 235
1 case from 2008, 2009.	1 would just be general in nature of this is from
2 A. I don't have any e-mails that I am aware of	2 this a check that may have been enclosed was
3 regarding that.	3 from this bankruptcy or trust. That's it.
4 Q. Have you checked your e-mail account to determine	4 Q. Do you ever receive written communications from
5 whether you do have e-mails related to your	5 anybody at the Bevan firm regarding the substance
6 mother's case from 2008, 2009?	6 of your mother's asbestos case?
7 A. No, I have not.	7 MR. KUZMIN: Just so she's you mean
8 Q. You haven't checked?	8 the 2008 case?
9 A. I have not checked.	9 MR. FARRELL: Yes.
10 Q. Okay. Has the Bevan firm – withdrawn.	10 MR. KUZMIN: Okay.
11 Has anyone from the Bevan firm	11 Q. Let me try to maybe we can call these two
12 communicated with you by e-mail?	12 different things so we're not because I'm
13 A. Yes.	13 not I don't mean to confuse you about it. When
14 Q. Including about your mother's case from 2008,	14 I say your mother's asbestos case, I mean the case
15 2009?	15 from 2008 that was filed by Mr. Bevan and then the
16 A. Yes, I think so.	16 bankruptcy trust claims that were made, right?
17 Q. Now, you also told me at your last deposition that	17 And that, to me, is distinct from the Williams
18 your lawyers communicated with you by letter. Do	18 case, which is this case. You follow me?
19 you remember that?	19 A. Yes, I understand.
20 A. Yes.	20 Q. Okay. So Mr. Bevan withdrawn.
21 Q. And I asked you last time whether you still had	21 Did anybody from the Bevan firm send you
22 those letters. Do you still have them?	22 written communications concerning your mother's
23 A. I think they were returned to me after I provided	23 asbestos case?
24 them to the Bevan firm. That is what I provided,	24 A. Not since perhaps shortly after she passed. I
25 were my copies of the letters, filings. Just	25 haven't received anything of substantive other
Page 234	Page 236
1 general notifications. That's what was provided	1 than this is what we are filing in this trust or
2 back to the Bevan firm.	2 bankruptcy, this is where such-and-such a check
3 Q. Okay. So all of the letters that you received	3 came from, this trust or bankruptcy. Other than
4 from the Bevan firm in 2008, 2009 and so on	4 that, that's all I've received.
5 concerning your mother's asbestos case, bankruptcy	5 Q. Have you ever received from anybody at the Bevan
6 trust filings that you all had made, you took that	6 firm communications explaining developments in
7 whole file, including those letters, and you gave	7 your mother's asbestos case?
8 them to the Bevan firm a couple of months before	8 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
9 your deposition and then that file was returned to	9 answer it.
10 you; is that correct?	10 A. I'm not sure I understand over what period of time
11 A. Correct. I believe it was returned to me. I	11 you're asking me.
12 don't remember if I had saved every piece or every	12 Q. So let's start by focusing on the 2008 to 2009
13 copy that was ever provided to me by my attorneys,	13 time period. Okay?
14 but anything that I had kept was provided.	14 A. Okay.
15 Q. So some letters that the Bevan firm sent you since	15 Q. So your mother's case was filed in 2008 and then
16 2008, you may have discarded?	16 there was some activity in the court in 2008,
17 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	17 2009, her deposition, for example, some motions
18 answer it.	18 were filed and so on.
19 A. That's possible.	19 A. Okay.
20 Q. What sort of letters do you receive from the Bevan	20 Q. Okay. Do you remember withdrawn.
21 fim?	21 Did anyone from the Bevan firm send you
22 A. Typically the letters would just tell me that	22 written communications concerning developments in
23 they've filed against a particular trust or	
24 bankruptcy. It's a paper that sometimes would	23 your mother's asbestos case from that 2008, 2009
 bankruptcy. It's a paper that sometimes would need signed and returned. Sometimes the letter 	

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 237..240

DONNETTE WENG	ER - 03/12/2018 Pages 2	
Page 237	1 communications from anyons at the Dayon firm to	Page 239
However, it is possible any information ordevelopments, copies of letters would be found	 communications from anyone at the Bevan firm to you explaining the reasons why any defendant in 	
3 with my attorney, Mr. Bevan, and his firm.	3 your mother's asbestos case was dismissed from 4 that case?	
4 Q. Okay. When you're going to settle with one of the5 parties in your mother's original asbestos case,		
-	5 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	
 does Mr. Bevan send you a letter or an e-mail saying we have an offer to settle the case for X 	6 answer.	
	7 A. If there are any, they would be held with my	
8 amount, here's my recommendation regarding that 9 settlement?	 8 attorney, Mr. Bevan. Other than the Goodyear one 9 that I remember specifically, I don't remember if 	
	 9 that I remember specifically, I don't remember if 10 those explanations in written form exist. It's 	
MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You cananswer.		
11 answer. 12 A. No.	something that you would have to speak to myattorney about and see what's in his file.	
13 Q. Does Mr. Bevan – withdrawn.	13 Q. Okay.	
	1	
14 Does anyone from the Bevan firm consult	14 A. It's been ten years. I'm sorry. I don't 15 remember.	
with you before deciding whether to accept		
settlements from defendants in your mother'sasbestos case?	16 Q. Okay. Other than the one Goodyear example you17 gave, you have no recollection of receiving a	
18 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	17 gave, you have no recollection of receiving a 18 written communication from anyone at the Bevan	
· ·	19 firm concerning the reasons a defendant was	
19 answer. 20 A. No.	20 dismissed from your mother's asbestos case; is	
21 Q. Has anyone from the Bevan firm sent you written	20 dismissed from your morners asbestos case, is 21 that fair?	
22 communications explaining the reasons why some of	22 A. That's correct.	
23 the defendants – withdrawn.	23 Q. Okay. If Mr. Bevan has not produced any written	
24 Has anyone from the Bevan firm sent you	24 communication to you explaining the reason a	
25 written communications explaining the reasons why	25 defendant was dismissed from your mother's	
white it continuitations explaining the reasons why	25 deletidati was distriissed from your friotiliers	
Page 238	4 colorates and Walfright and What we such	Page 240
any defendant in your mother's asbestos case was	1 asbestos case, it's fair to say that no such	
2 dismissed from that case?	2 communication was ever sent to you. Is that fair?	
3 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	3 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 4 answer it.	
4 answer. 5 A That counce familiar Pothons not long after the	5 A. No, that's not correct because I don't remember.	
5 A. That sounds familiar. Perhaps not long after she6 passed, I remember specifically Goodyear		
6 passed, I remember specifically Goodyear 7 being I don't I'm not sure what the correct	6 He could have sent them. He may not have sent 7 them. I don't remember.	
8 terminology is, but was not responsible for any of	8 Q. Well, if Mr. Bevan hasn't produced them and you haven't produced them, who would have	
9 the exposure and was – the case or whatever was	•	
10 thrown out. I remember calling and speaking to	, , ,	
them about that. There may have been others, butI don't remember.	the reasons a party was dismissed from yourmother's asbestos case?	
13 Q. As you sit here today, to your knowledge, no		
	,	
 written communications from anyone at the Bevan firm explaining the reasons why any defendant in 		
15 firm explaining the reasons why any defendant inyour mother's asbestos case was dismissed from	15 A. I'm sorry. I understand you now. If he's not16 provided any for you and I have not provided any	
your morner's aspessos case was dismissed from that case?	17 to you, then they do not exist.	
18 A. I honestly don't remember.	18 Q. Okay.	
19 Q. Isthata "no"?	19 A. That I'm aware of.	
20 MR. KUZMIN: I'm not sure there was a	20 Q. So we've talked about written communications.	
question there, but you can answer it if youunderstood.	What about oral communications? Has anyone fromthe Bevan firm explained to you orally, in words	
	1	
 23 A. Can you please repeat the question? I'm not sure 24 I'm understanding what you're asking me. 	23 or in substance, the reasons a party was dismissed24 from your mother's asbestos case?	
25 Q. Sure. To your knowledge, there are no written	25 A. Yes. I spoke – and I don't remember who	

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 241..244 Page 241 Page 243 specifically, but I know I did ask about Goodyear 1 A. Okav. specifically that I recall. I also remember that 2 Q. So it's you, Mr. Bevan and Mr. Coren sometime when I signed with Mr. Placitella's firm and 3 before this case was filed, correct? originally met with them all those years ago, I 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. What did Mr. Bevan tell you at that MR. KUZMIN: Wait. Wait. Wait. If 6 meeting? there - and again, I need to caution you, 7 MR. KUZMIN: Okay. I need to object and anything that may have come from our office I 8 I'm going to - again, if you're asking for think would fall under privilege. Peter, I 9 topics, I have no problem to see where this hope you'd agree with me on this one? 10 goes. If we're going to talk substance where MR. FARRELL: Well, it depends who --11 we were present and it was obviously consulting related to this case, I need to MR. KUZMIN: I know that you asked about 12 Bevan. I got no problem with that. We're 13 instruct her not to answer. starting to get into our meetings. 14 Q. Mrs. Wengerd, are you following the instruction 15 Q. Were you about to tell me something that Mr. Bevan not to answer the question I just asked? 16 A. Yes. told you about the reasons a case was dismissed? 17 Without telling me what the answer was. 17 Q. What did you tell Mr. Bevan - withdrawn. Would you be able to answer the question 18 19 Q. The person who told you this information you were 19 what did Mr. Bevan tell you at that meeting but 20 about to describe was Mr. Bevan, correct? 20 for Mr. Kuzmin's instruction not to answer? 21 A. I would be able to answer it to the best of my 22 Q. Okay. What did Mr. Bevan tell you? 22 knowledge of what I remember. 23 A. He explained to me regarding BASF just why he was 23 Q. What did you say to Mr. Bevan at this meeting with 24 referring me to Mr. Placitella's office because my 24 Mr. Bevan and Mr. Coren? 25 case was -- did not go through or was dismissed 25 MR. KUZMIN: Again, same instruction. I Page 242 Page 244 1 and that Mr. Placitella's office would be able to think that this falls into attorney-client 2 assist me in continuing to see that case through. 2 privilege and I would instruct Ms. Wengerd 3 Q. Okay. This conversation with Mr. Bevan you're 3 not to answer. 4 Q. Are you following Mr. Kuzmin's instruction not to 4 describing, when did that occur? 5 A. I met - I believe it was when he introduced me to 5 answer? 6 Mr. Cohen, which would have been prior to the 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Would you be able to answer that question but for 8 Q. Okay. So presumably sometime in 2010 or 2011. 8 the instruction not to answer? 9 Does that sound fair? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Was Mr. Bevan present for the entirety of your meeting with Mr. Coren shortly before this case 11 Q. Okay. Before this conversation with Mr. Bevan 12 when he introduced you to the Cohen Placitella 12 was filed? 13 firm, at any time before then had you had any 13 A. No, I don't think he was there for the whole 14 discussion with anyone at the Bevan firm meeting. 15 concerning the reasons why your mother's claims 15 Q. What did Mr. Coren say to you during the portion 16 against BASF were dismissed? of the meeting when Mr. Bevan was present? 17 A. I don't remember. 17 MR. KUZMIN: Okay. Again, same 18 Q. Let's go back to this initial meeting between you, 18 instruction. I think that it hits on 19 Mr. Bevan, I think you said Mr. Cohen. Did you 19 attorney-client privilege and would instruct 20 mean Mr. Coren? 20 you not to answer. 21 A. Coren. I'm sorry. 21 Q. I take it you're going to follow the instruction 22 not to answer?

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

24

23 A. Correct.

MR. KUZMIN: Peter, for all of these, are

we going to mark them so we can address them

23 A. I do that all the time. Sorry.

24 Q. There's also a Mr. Cohen, and so I just want to be

22 Q. That's okay.

25 sure I --

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18 A. Yes.

21 A. Yes.

7 filing of the case.

10 A. Yes.

was told why --

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 245..248

	ER - 03/12/2018 Pages 24524
Page 245	Page 247
1 all at once?	1 can I get a copy of this particular document?
2 MR. FARRELL: Sure. Can we just mark all	2 A. That's correct.
3 of the instructions not to answer?	3 Q. Have you ever done that?
4 Q. You told me a few minutes ago that Mr. Bevan	4 A. No.
5 explained to you at this meeting why your mother's	5 Q. Has Plaintiffs' counsel asked you whether you have
6 case against BASF didn't go forward, correct?	6 e-mails from the Bevan firm that relate to your
7 A. Correct.	7 mother's asbestos case?
8 Q. What did he tell you?	8 A. Not that I recall.
9 A. I think he had said that the reason we weren't	9 Q. Last at the last session of your deposition in
10 able to continue the case was due to lack of	10 April 2017, I asked you a number of questions
11 evidence, but specifically I can't remember his	11 about what the source of the allegations in the
12 wording.	12 complaint were. Do you remember that?
13 Q. Can you tell me anything else about what Mr. Bevan	13 A. Yes.
14 told you?	14 Q. What is the source of your information concerning
15 A. No.	15 the fraud that's alleged in the Williams
16 Q. That meeting shortly before the Williams case was	16 complaint?
17 filed was the first you had heard about reasons	17 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
your mother's case against BASF was dismissed?	18 answer it.
19 A. I don't remember if that was the first time or	19 A. The information would have come from my attorneys.
20 not. I think so.	20 Q. Which attorneys?
21 Q. Last time I – last time I asked you whether	21 A. The Placitella firm.
22 you your attorneys asked you to search your	22 Q. What about from Mr. Bevan?
23 files at home for documents. Do you remember	23 A. No. I don't really speak to Mr. Bevan regarding
24 that?	24 this matter.
25 A. Yes.	25 Q. Who was involved in the fraud that's alleged in
Page 246	Page 248
1 Q. Did your attorneys in the Williams case ask you to	1 the Williams complaint?
2 search the file you keep at home to see if you had	2 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
3 any documents concerning your mother's asbestos	3 answer.
4 case?	4 A. The fraud, my understanding, is BASF and their law
5 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	5 firm that represented them.
6 answer it.	6 Q. And the basis for that information is just what
7 A. No, I don't think so. I don't remember.	7 Plaintiffs' counsel has told you, correct?
8 Q. Have you provided withdrawn.	8 A. That's correct.
9 Have you spoken to Mr. Bevan about	9 Q. You don't have any personal knowledge of those
10 whether he has documents concerning your mother's	10 facts alleged in the complaint?
11 asbestos case?	11 A. No, I do not.
12 A. No.	12 Q. During the meeting that you were telling me about
13 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Bevan has documents	13 before, shortly before the Williams complaint was
14 concerning your mother's asbestos case?	14 filed with Mr. Bevan and Mr. Coren, did either
15 A. He was my representing attorney. I would hope he	15 Mr. Bevan or Mr. Coren take notes during that
16 does.	16 meeting?
	17 A. I don't remember.
17 Q. You would hope he does, but you're not sure?	17 A. Toont remember. 18 Q. Did you take notes during that meeting?
18 /\ I hava not coon his tilo	I TO SA. DIU YOU IANE HOLES UUHHU LIIAL HEEUHU!
19 Q. Do you rely on him to maintain the file related to	19 A. No.
 18 A. I have not seen his file. 19 Q. Do you rely on him to maintain the file related to 20 your mother's asbestos case and bankruptcy trust 	19 A. No.20 Q. Did anyone show you any documents during that
 19 Q. Do you rely on him to maintain the file related to 20 your mother's asbestos case and bankruptcy trust 21 claims? 	19 A. No.20 Q. Did anyone show you any documents during that21 meeting?
 19 Q. Do you rely on him to maintain the file related to 20 your mother's asbestos case and bankruptcy trust 21 claims? 22 A. Yes. 	 19 A. No. 20 Q. Did anyone show you any documents during that 21 meeting? 22 A. I don't – I don't think so. I don't remember.
 19 Q. Do you rely on him to maintain the file related to 20 your mother's asbestos case and bankruptcy trust 21 claims? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. So if you wanted to see documents related to your 	 19 A. No. 20 Q. Did anyone show you any documents during that 21 meeting? 22 A. I don't – I don't think so. I don't remember. 23 Q. Was any presentation shown to you during that
19 Q. Do you rely on him to maintain the file related to20 your mother's asbestos case and bankruptcy trust	 19 A. No. 20 Q. Did anyone show you any documents during that 21 meeting? 22 A. I don't – I don't think so. I don't remember.

Pages 249..252 Page 249 Page 251 expert. 2 Q. Should people who developed mesothelioma receive more compensation than people who developed other types of injuries from asbestos? 5 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 6 answer. 7 A. I'm not an expert. I don't know. 8 Q. Should people with very brief exposure to EMTAL talc receive the same compensation as people who had significant exposure to EMTAL talc? 11 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 12 answer. 13 A. I don't think it matters. As long as if they both ended up with the same disease, did it matter how long they were exposed? If they ended up with the same result of death? I don't know. 17 Q. You don't know? Okay. If your mother had developed lung cancer instead of mesothelioma, would that have had an affect on the amount of 20 money she recovered from her asbestos case? 21 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 22 answer. 23 A. I don't know. 24 Q. How much compensation from BASF are you entitled to because of your mother's exposure to asbestos? Page 252 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 2 3 A. How much money does a person – is a person worth? 4 Q. That wasn't my question. 5 A. That is your question. You're asking me how much 6 money I'm asking from BASF because of my mother's 7 death. How much is her life worth? That's what 8 you're asking me, sir. 9 Q. Mrs. Wengerd, respectfully, are you seeking a specific amount of money from BASF in this case? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Last time I asked you a number of questions about the mediation that had occurred in this case. Do 14 you remember that? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. When did that mediation occur? 17 MR. KUZMIN: Okay. Peter, can we go off 18 the record and discuss this for a second? Do 19 you mind? It's to avoid any issues. And

9 mother's asbestos case, if at all? 10 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 11 12 A. Again, that information would have come from my 13 attorney, and my understanding is that talc was, I 14 don't know if contaminated or contained asbestos. 15 But specifically any information would have come 16 from my attorney regarding that case. 17 Q. When you say your attorney, you mean the Cohen 18 Placitella firm? 19 A. That's correct. 20 Q. Have you discussed the Westfall case and how it 21 may relate to your mother's asbestos case with 22 anyone from the Bevan firm? 24 Q. Have you spoken to Pat Walsh about the Williams 25 case? Page 250 1 A. No. 2 Q. Would it be fair for somebody who wasn't exposed 3 to talc at all to receive compensation in connection with the Williams case? 5 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 6 answer it. 7 A. I'm not an expert. I don't know. 8 Q. You don't know whether if somebody was never exposed to EMTAL talc at all, whether it would be 10 fair for them to receive compensation in this 11 case, the Williams case? 12 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 13 answer 14 A. I don't know. 15 Q. In your view, can people who were never exposed to 16 EMTAL talc at all receive compensation if compensation is paid in the Williams case? 17 18 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 19 answer. 20 A. Again, because I'm not an expert, I don't know. I know that asbestos can be carried on clothing and 21 22 other items. Does that mean they were directly 23 exposed to the talc? I'm not sure if that 24 constitutes a yes or a no. So unfortunately, I have to say I don't know because I'm not an DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

1 Q. Were there any other plaintiffs in that meeting?

4 Westfall case connects to your mother's asbestos

7 Q. What's the source of your information concerning

how the Westfall case concerns - relates to your

3 Q. At your last deposition I asked you how the

2 A. Just me.

5 case.

6 A. Uh-huh.

(Discussion off the record.) MR. FARRELL: Back on the record.

we've been going for about another hour

MR. FARRELL: One minute.

MR. KUZMIN: Sure.

20

21

22

23

24

anyway.

Pages 253..256 Page 253 Page 255 concluded that they didn't think it was 2 mesothelioma. Do you remember that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And we discussed that Mr. Bevan was filing claims 5 on bankruptcy trusts for compensation based on the fact that your mother had mesothelioma. Do you remember that? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. He's filed quite a few of those claims, correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. Did you tell any of the bankruptcy trusts to which 12 you submitted claims that at least one doctor 13 concluded that your mother did not have 14 mesothelioma? 15 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 16 17 A. I didn't submit any claims personally. 18 Q. You haven't signed or submitted any bankruptcy trust claims based on your mother's condition? 20 A. My -- my attorney would have filed those claims, not me personally going after them or seeking any 22 information from any person. And I trust my 23 attorney's advice. 24 Q. Does Mr. Bevan consult with you before he submits 25 claims on bankruptcy trusts? Page 256 1 A. No. 2 Q. So he handles the whole process? 3 A. Correct. 4 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Bevan told any of the 5 bankruptcy trusts to which he submitted claims 6 that at least one doctor concluded that your 7 mother did not have mesothelioma? 8 A. I-9 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 10 answer. 11 A. I do not know. 12 Q. Do you think those bankruptcy trusts would have 13 wanted to know that at least one doctor concluded

10 A. Collect.
19 Q. Is it your understanding that proposals were
20 exchanged between the parties during the
21 mediation?
22 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
23 answer.
24 A. Ithinkso.
25 Q. Did you understand that money had been offered to
Page 254
1 settle the Williams case?
2 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
3 answer.
4 A. I think Mr. Placitella or Mr. Coren had said
5 something of that nature.
6 Q. After the mediation was over?
7 A. Right.
8 Q. Before the mediation ended, you had no knowledge
9 that money had been offered to settle this case,
10 correct?
11 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
12 answer.
13 A. Yes, that's correct.
14 Q. Did you decide to end the mediation discussions in
15 the Williams case?
16 A. No.
17 Q. Do you know why the mediation in the Williams case
18 ended?
19 A. No.
20 Q. Has anyone from the Cohen Placitella firm
21 discussed that with you?
22 A. No.
23 Q. At your April 2017 deposition, we discussed some
24 documents concerning a conclusion reached by a
25 doctor about your mother's cancer and that doctor
DTI Court Reporting

14	that your mother did not have mesothelioma?
15	MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
16	answer.
17	A. I don't know.
18	Q. Well, if someone had asked you to pay compensatio
19	based on a person having mesothelioma, would you
20	have wanted to know that at least one of her
21	doctors concluded that she didn't actually have
22	mesothelioma?
23	MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
24	answer.
25	A. That depends what other information was filed. If

1 BY MR. FARRELL:

4

11

12

Williams case occur?

9 A. After the mediation occurred.

answer it.

answer.

13 A. I had no role.

16 A. That's correct.

18 A. Correct.

6 A. I don't know.

8 this case?

2 Q. Mrs. Wengerd, when did the mediation in the

MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can

7 Q. When did you learn that a mediation occurred in

10 Q. What was your role in the mediation, if any?

MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can

14 Q. So you had no knowledge that a mediation was under

15 way while the mediation was under way, correct?

17 Q. You learned about it after the fact?

DONNETTE WENG	ER - 03/12/2018 Pages	257260
Page 257	4 hassing some had recently light to the street	Page 259
1 there were other pieces of information that proved	1 because someone had mesothelioma, whether you	
2 that she had mesothelioma, then I wouldn't be	2 would have wanted to know that there were	
3 quite as concerned. If there were – if there's	3 documents saying that person didn't have	
4 proof that she had mesothelioma, then I feel that	4 mesothelioma?	
5 those claims and filings are justified.	5 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	
6 Q. Okay. So withdrawn.	6 answer.	
7 So if you had some document saying your	7 A. I would ask for any information that was needed to	
8 mother had mesothelioma and then some other	8 prove that she had it. I've had second opinions	
9 document saying she didn't have mesothelioma,	9 from doctors before and third opinions. I'm not	
10 because you had the documents saying she did have	10 intimidated that there was an opinion that said	
11 mesothelioma, in your view it's fair to say she	11 she didn't have mesothelioma, because there were	
12 did have mesothelioma?	12 other opinions that said that she did. And I'm	
13 A. Correct.	13 not a professional. I'm not a law expert. That's	
14 Q. Is it also fair in your view not to disclose the	14 why I work with attorneys who know the letter of	
documents saying that your mother did not have	15 the law and what should and should not be	
16 mesothelioma?	16 ethically produced for these filings.	
17 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	17 Q. Okay. In your view, though withdrawn.	
18 answer.	18 In your view not as an attorney, the fact	
19 A. I'm not a professional. I can't answer that.	19 that you had evidence saying your mother had	
20 Q. If you were asked to pay compensation based on	20 mesothelioma and you believed she had	
21 your mother's mesothelioma diagnosis, would you	21 mesothelioma, that was good enough to support you	
22 have wanted to know about the documents saying she	22 saying she had mesothelioma. Is that fair?	
. 0		
	23 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	
24 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 25 answer it.	24 answer it.	
	25 A. I went to professional doctors who said she had	
Page 258 1 A. I just want to make sure I'm understanding.	mesothelioma. This was not my personal opinion.	Page 260
2 You're asking me in a pretend world if I had	2 Q. You thought it was appropriate to rely upon the	
3 control over a trust, if I wanted to know if a	3 doctors who said she did have mesothelioma?	
4 person had a first opinion, a second opinion, a	4 A. Yes.	
5 third opinion and how many of those opinions said	5 Q. Last time I asked you about your settlements with	
6 she had mesothelioma and didn't have mesothelioma,		
•		
7 this is a question you're asking me about	7 case. How much money have you received as	
8 something that isn't of my purview. It's not my	8 compensation for your mother's exposure to	
9 judgment. I don't know how to answer it because	9 asbestos?	
10 I'm not an expert on what the letter of the law	10 A. I don't know. That would be something you would	
11 allows for.	11 have to check with the Bevan firm.	
12 Q. That's something you would have Mr. Bevan handle	12 MR. KUZMIN: Peter, what line was that	
13 foryou?	13 questioning about the prior settlements?	
14 A. Yes, I would have an attorney do an attorney's	14 Unless you're done with it, in which case	
15 job. I'm not an attorney and that's why I sought	15 MR. FARRELL: I'm moving to something	
16 one to represent me.	16 else.	
17 Q. So in your view, there might be legal reasons not	17 MR. KUZMIN: Oh, okay.	
18 to disclose the documents saying your mother	18 MR. FARRELL: Mark this as the next, 63,	
19 didn't have mesothelioma?	19 and the next one as 64.	
20 A. I have no	20 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibits 63 and	
21 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	21 64 were marked for identification.)	
22 answer.	22 Q. So, Mrs. Wengerd, I've handed you what we've	
23 A. I'm not an expert. I don't know.	23 marked as Exhibit 63, Defense Exhibit 63 and 64.	
24 Q. As you sit here today, you can't say whether if	24 Exhibit 63 are the January 29, 2018 responses of	
25 you personally had been asked to pay compensation	25 Donnette Wengerd, who is you, supplemental answers	
20 you poloonially mad boom action to pay compendation	20 Doi il olio vvoi gota, vino is you, supplemental al sivers	

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 261..264 Page 261 Page 263 1 to BASF Catalysts' first set of interrogatories, dispositions with any defendant named in your 2 and Number 64 is the January 29, 2018, your 2 mother's underlying case." Do you see that? 3 responses to BASF's second set of interrogatories. 3 A. Yes. 4 Do you see those in front of you? 4 Q. Number 10, which I think is two pages later, 5 A. Yes. 5 "Identify all information provided to your mother 6 Q. Have you seen either of these documents before and her counsel by counsel for Engelhard in her underlying case." Do you see that one? 8 A. Yes, I think so. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. When did you see them? 9 Q. Number 11 on the next page asks about the process behind dismissal of your mother's case. Do you 10 A. I believe they were last provided to me at our 11 April meeting. see that? 12 A. Yes. 12 Q. So these are a new set from January of --13 A. I'm sorry. Not 64, but 63, I believe. 13 Q. And then 12, shortly below that, "Describe all 14 Q. Okav. 14 efforts made by your mother and her counsel in her 15 A. Was provided. underlying case to develop and prosecute her 16 Q. So both of these -- Defense Exhibit 63 and 64 are 16 claims." Do you see those? 17 both dated January 29, 2018. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Each of these responses say that you don't have 18 A. Oh. 19 Q. So one of them is a new set of answers and one of any personal knowledge of the facts requested by 20 them is the first time they were being answered. the interrogatories; is that correct? 21 A. Gotcha. 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. Okay. So no personal knowledge that responds to 22 Q. So just to be clear, I'm not talking about the set 23 that was served probably a year ago. interrogatory number 1? Feel free to take a look 24 A. Okay. Okay. I'm sorry. if you'd like. 25 Q. That's okay. Have you seen either of these 25 A. So the interrogatory to number 1 is correct. Page 262 Page 264 1 documents before? 1 Q. Okay. So that means in response to number 1, 2 A. Well, now that I've confused them all, I want to 2 which asks you to identify all persons with 3 say I don't remember because they're all bleeding knowledge relating to your claims in the Williams 4 into each other. I would have to compare them to case against BASF, you don't have any personal 5 the ones that I received in my e-mail. knowledge that's responsive to that question, 6 Q. Okay. My question for you is this: Has anyone at 6 correct? 7 the Cohen Placitella firm sent what we've marked 7 A. No. 8 as Defense Exhibit 63 or Defense Exhibit 64 to you 8 Q. Okay. How about number 4? Do you want to take a 9 for your review? 9 look at that one? 10 A. I think so, but I would, again, have to check my 10 A. Number 4 is correct. 11 e-mail to compare them to what's in there. 11 Q. Okay. So on number 4, again, no personal 12 Q. Okay. You're not sure? 12 knowledge of the list of settlements or 13 A. Right. dispositions with any defendant in your mother's 14 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. 14 underlying asbestos case? 15 Q. If you turn to Defense Exhibit 63, these are your 15 A. Right. All that information would have been 16 supplemental responses to BASF's first set of maintained by my attorney, Mr. Bevan. 17 interrogatories. Do you see interrogatory number 17 Q. Okay. How about number 10? 1? Unfortunately, the pages are not numbered. 18 A. That is correct. 19 A. Okay. 19 Q. So you have no personal knowledge of any of the 20 Q. This asked about identifying all persons with information that was provided to Mr. Bevan's firm 21 knowledge relating to your claims. Do you see by BASF in connection with your mother's 22 that? 22 underlying asbestos case? 23 A. Yes. 23 A. Correct.

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

24 Q. How about number 11? Can you take a look at 11?

25 A. That is correct. I have no personal information.

25 "Identify all resolutions of claims or

24 Q. And then number 4, which is on the next page,

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 265..268 Page 265 Page 267 1 Q. So no personal knowledge -- withdrawn. describing? You have no personal knowledge of the 2 A. That's correct. process behind your mother's dismissal of her case 3 Q. Do you have any knowledge of any communication against BASF, correct? 4 between you and anyone at the Bevan firm regarding 5 A. That's correct. BASF's motion for summary judgment in your 6 Q. No personal knowledge of the reasons your mother's mother's asbestos case? 6 7 case against BASF was dismissed? 7 A. No. 8 A. Correct. 8 Q. Did you have any communication with anyone at the 9 Q. It says in response -- in your response to 9 Bevan firm regarding the opposition brief that was 10 interrogatory number 11, "I do not have personal filed on your mother's behalf in response to information responsive to this request because I 11 BASF's motion for summary judgment in your 12 was not part of the process or decision." That's mother's asbestos case? 12 13 correct? 13 MR. KUZMIN: And, Peter, for this line of 14 A. Correct. 14 questioning, you're talking about as it 15 Q. So you weren't involved in any of the briefing 15 happened, in that time period? Is that fair? 16 MR. FARRELL: Yes. 16 that was submitted to the court related to BASF's 17 motion for summary judgment in your mother's 17 MR. KUZMIN: Okay. 18 underlying asbestos case? 18 A. I don't remember. I don't think so. 19 A. Correct. 19 Q. And let me try to make it more precise -20 Q. You had no communications with anyone at the Bevan 20 MR. KUZMIN: Thank you. 21 Q. - in response to what Mr. Kuzmin said. In the firm regarding the reasons the Bevan firm sued 22 BASF in your mother's underlying case? 22 time period 2008, 2009, while there was active 23 A. Correct. litigation in your mother's asbestos case, did you 24 Q. You had no communications with anyone at the Bevan 24 have any communications with anyone at the Bevan 25 firm regarding correspondence from BASF's lawyers firm regarding the opposition brief that Mr. Bevan Page 266 Page 268 to Mr. Bevan concerning your mother's asbestos filed in response to BASF's motion for summary 2 case, correct? 2 judgment? 3 A. Correct. 3 A. I don't know. 4 Q. You had no communications with anyone at the Bevan 4 Q. You don't know or there weren't any? firm regarding the motion for summary judgment 5 A. Idon't know. Idon't remember. Mr. Bevan would that your mother filed -- withdrawn. 6 have to provide such documents if they exist. You had no communications with anyone at 7 Q. Do you remember any such communications? 8 A. No. 8 the Bevan firm regarding the motion for summary 9 judgment that BASF filed in your mother's asbestos 9 Q. Did you have any communication with anyone at the 10 case? Bevan firm regarding the reply brief that BASF 11 A. Unless it would have been something that would filed in connection with its motion for summary 12 have been provided to me once it was closed, judgment in your mother's asbestos case? 13 that's correct. 13 A. I don't remember. 14 Q. What do you mean by something that would have been 14 MR. KUZMIN: Again, at the time it was 15 provided to you once it was closed? 15 filed? 16 A. Just that if there was any letter saying we've 16 A. I don't remember. 17 applied for this and it was -- it did not go 17 Q. Did you have any communications with anyone at the 18 through, something of that nature may have been Bevan firm about the court's decision granting 19 provided. But again, you would have to go to my BASF's motion for summary judgment?

20 attorney, Mr. Bevan's office, for any copy of 21 correspondence. 22 Q. Okay. 23 A. But not to my knowledge. I don't remember.

24 Q. You don't have any knowledge of a letter or an 25 e-mail or a document like the one you're just

24

20 A. You're asking me if there were communications,

23 A. I think verbal communications, and that was part

of why Mr. Bevan introduced me to Mr. Placitella, was explaining that it was -- that part of that

like written communications?

22 Q. Communications of any sort.

21

6

7

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 269..272

DONNETTE WENG	ER - 03/12/2018 Pages 26927
Page 269	Page 271
1 process and then why I was then being referred to	1 me about?
2 him.	2 A. No.
3 Q. So you're speaking about the conversation from	3 Q. No writings?
4 shortly before the Williams case was filed?	4 A. No.
5 A. Right.	5 Q. E-mails?
6 Q. In 2008 or 2009, at the time the court made its	6 A. No.
7 decision on BASF's motion for summary judgment,	7 Q. Memos?
8 did you have any communications with anyone from	8 A. No.
9 the Bevan firm about the court's decision?	9 Q. Oral discussions?
10 A. I don't remember.	10 A. No.
11 Q. As you sit here today, you're not aware of any	11 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 65 was
12 communications between you and anyone at the Bevan	12 marked for identification.)
13 firm regarding the court's decision granting	13 Q. Mrs. Wengerd, I've handed you what we've marked as
14 BASF's motion for summary judgment? Again, from	14 Defense Exhibit 65. This is the retention letter
15 the 2008, 2009 time period.	15 that you signed on November 22nd, 2008 with
16 A. Correct. I don't remember.	16 Mr. Bevan; is that correct?
17 Q. Can you turn to interrogatory number 12 and take a	17 A. Yes.
18 look at that one?	18 Q. That's your signature at the bottom?
19 A. Okay. This looks correct.	19 A. Yes.
20 Q. Okay. It's correct that you have no personal	20 Q. In the second paragraph of your retention letter
21 knowledge of the efforts made by Mr. Bevan to	21 with Mr. Bevan, the second sentence says, "Only
22 develop or prosecute her claims against BASF in	22 client may accept an offer of settlement made by
23 her underlying asbestos case?	23 any defendant or person against whom a claim is
24 A. That's correct.	24 made." Do you see that?
25 Q. On the second page of your supplemental response	25 A. The third paragraph?
Page 270 1 to interrogatory number 12, you see there's a	Page 272
2 number of bullets listing letters from 1992 and	2 MR. KUZMIN: Right there. (Indicating.)
3 then into 1993, I think?	3 A. Oh, I'm sorry. I see it.
4 A. Right.	4 Q. Does Mr. Bevan or someone from the Bevan firm
5 Q. Have you seen any of the letters that are listed	5 contact you each time they're about to enter into
6 in the bullets in your supplemental response to	6 a settlement to ask you whether you accept or
7 BASF's interrogatory number 12?	7 reject the settlement?
8 A. I don't think so. I'm not sure.	8 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
9 Q. Do you know why those letters from 1992, 1993, and	9 answer it.
10 1996 relate to your mother's asbestos case?11 A. No.	10 A. I don't know. Because so many of what they apply11 for are sometimes bankruptcies and things like
	 11 for are sometimes bankruptcies and things like 12 that, it's not necessarily something that it's
•	12 that, its not necessarily something that – its 13 not an offer that's made necessarily. It's
12 angworit	
	•
14 A. No. I'm sorry, I don't.	14 something that you apply for. I'm sent paperwork
14 A. No. I'm sorry, I don't.15 Q. Have you discussed with Mr. Bevan, in words or in	 something that you apply for. I'm sent paperwork to sign and return, which I do, but no, not
 14 A. No. I'm sorry, I don't. 15 Q. Have you discussed with Mr. Bevan, in words or in 16 substance, what he would have recommended to you 	 something that you apply for. I'm sent paperwork to sign and return, which I do, but no, not necessarily that I recall.
 14 A. No. I'm sorry, I don't. 15 Q. Have you discussed with Mr. Bevan, in words or in 16 substance, what he would have recommended to you 17 as an amount of settlement with BASF if he had 	 something that you apply for. I'm sent paperwork to sign and return, which I do, but no, not necessarily that I recall. Q. Can you recall any instance in which someone from
 14 A. No. I'm sorry, I don't. 15 Q. Have you discussed with Mr. Bevan, in words or in 16 substance, what he would have recommended to you 17 as an amount of settlement with BASF if he had 18 known in 2008 or 2009 what he knows today about 	 something that you apply for. I'm sent paperwork to sign and return, which I do, but no, not necessarily that I recall. Q. Can you recall any instance in which someone from the Bevan firm contacted you about a settlement
 14 A. No. I'm sorry, I don't. 15 Q. Have you discussed with Mr. Bevan, in words or in 16 substance, what he would have recommended to you 17 as an amount of settlement with BASF if he had 18 known in 2008 or 2009 what he knows today about 19 EMTAL talc? 	something that you apply for. I'm sent paperwork to sign and return, which I do, but no, not necessarily that I recall. Q. Can you recall any instance in which someone from the Bevan firm contacted you about a settlement with a defendant in your mother's asbestos case
 14 A. No. I'm sorry, I don't. 15 Q. Have you discussed with Mr. Bevan, in words or in 16 substance, what he would have recommended to you 17 as an amount of settlement with BASF if he had 18 known in 2008 or 2009 what he knows today about 19 EMTAL talc? 20 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 	something that you apply for. I'm sent paperwork to sign and return, which I do, but no, not necessarily that I recall. Q. Can you recall any instance in which someone from the Bevan firm contacted you about a settlement with a defendant in your mother's asbestos case asking you whether you accept or reject the
 14 A. No. I'm sorry, I don't. 15 Q. Have you discussed with Mr. Bevan, in words or in 16 substance, what he would have recommended to you 17 as an amount of settlement with BASF if he had 18 known in 2008 or 2009 what he knows today about 19 EMTAL talc? 20 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 	something that you apply for. I'm sent paperwork to sign and return, which I do, but no, not necessarily that I recall. Q. Can you recall any instance in which someone from the Bevan firm contacted you about a settlement with a defendant in your mother's asbestos case asking you whether you accept or reject the settlement offer?
 14 A. No. I'm sorry, I don't. 15 Q. Have you discussed with Mr. Bevan, in words or in 16 substance, what he would have recommended to you 17 as an amount of settlement with BASF if he had 18 known in 2008 or 2009 what he knows today about 19 EMTAL talc? 20 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 	something that you apply for. I'm sent paperwork to sign and return, which I do, but no, not necessarily that I recall. Q. Can you recall any instance in which someone from the Bevan firm contacted you about a settlement with a defendant in your mother's asbestos case asking you whether you accept or reject the
 14 A. No. I'm sorry, I don't. 15 Q. Have you discussed with Mr. Bevan, in words or in 16 substance, what he would have recommended to you 17 as an amount of settlement with BASF if he had 18 known in 2008 or 2009 what he knows today about 19 EMTAL talc? 20 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 21 answer it. 22 A. No. 	something that you apply for. I'm sent paperwork to sign and return, which I do, but no, not necessarily that I recall. Q. Can you recall any instance in which someone from the Bevan firm contacted you about a settlement with a defendant in your mother's asbestos case asking you whether you accept or reject the settlement offer?
 14 A. No. I'm sorry, I don't. 15 Q. Have you discussed with Mr. Bevan, in words or in 16 substance, what he would have recommended to you 17 as an amount of settlement with BASF if he had 18 known in 2008 or 2009 what he knows today about 19 EMTAL talc? 20 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 21 answer it. 	something that you apply for. I'm sent paperwork to sign and return, which I do, but no, not necessarily that I recall. Q. Can you recall any instance in which someone from the Bevan firm contacted you about a settlement with a defendant in your mother's asbestos case asking you whether you accept or reject the settlement offer? A. I don't remember.

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 273..276 Page 273 Page 275 1 Q. When entering into settlements with defendants in regarding the underlying claims. Do you see that? 2 your mother's asbestos case, is that something you 2 A. Yes. 3 defer to Mr. Bevan on? 3 Q. So we've gone through the documents that were 4 A. Yes. 4 produced on your behalf and tried to identify all 5 Q. Has he ever made recommendations one way or the 5 of the documents referenced in that answer. I've 6 other as to whether to settle a particular claim now pre-marked the ones we've identified. I'm 7 for a particular dollar amount? going to show them to you now. I just want to get 8 A. I'm not sure. your take on whether there are other documents out 9 Q. Can you think of any instance of that occurring? 9 there that we haven't found, and so that's what I 10 A. No. was trying to pre-mark so we could try to do this 11 Q. Have you ever told Mr. Bevan not to accept an 11 more quickly. 12 offer to settle one of the cases -- withdrawn. 12 A. Okay. 13 Have you ever told Mr. Bevan not to 13 Q. So the court reporter is going to hand you a stack 14 accept an offer to settle a claim against one of of documents which we've pre-marked as Defense the defendants in your mother's asbestos case? Exhibit 66 through 102, I believe. 16 A. Not that I -16 A. Okay. 17 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 17 Q. So Defense Exhibit 66, which you have in front of 18 answer it. you, is a chart that we created to try to identify 19 A. Not that I recall. all of the settlement-related documents that were 20 Q. Have you ever told Mr. Bevan that an offer to 20 produced on your behalf. Do you see that? And settle was not large enough? 21 21 then the stack behind it, Defense Exhibit 67 22 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 22 through 102, are the documents themselves. 23 23 So Defense Exhibit 67 is a settlement answer 24 24 A. No, I don't think so. with A-Best Asbestos Settlement Trust. Do you see 25 Q. If Mr. Bevan asked a defendant to pay a particular 25 that? Page 276 Page 274 amount of money in settlement, you'd defer to him 1 A. Yes. 2 on that? 2 Q. The amount of \$5,307? 3 A. That is correct. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. If he asked the defendant to pay a particular 4 Q. Defense Exhibit 68 is a settlement with APG 5 amount in settlement, you'd consider that amount Asbestos Trust. And you'll see we tried to mark 6 to be a reasonable settlement? all of these on the chart that's been marked as 66. 7 A. That is correct. 7 8 Q. Why don't we take five minutes. I'm just going to 8 A. Yes. pre-mark a bunch of stuff so hopefully we can just 9 Q. Defense Exhibit 69 is a settlement with Armstrong 10 plow through them. World Industries. Defense Exhibit 70 is a copy of 11 MR. KUZMIN: Sure. 11 a check and settlement, again related to Armstrong 12 MR. FARRELL: Off the record. 12 World Industries. 13 13 Defense Exhibit 71 is a settlement with (Recess was taken.) 14 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibits 66 14 Babcock & Wilcox Company. Defense Exhibit 72 15 through 102 were marked for identification.) relates to a settlement with Celotex. 15 16 A. Uh-huh. 16 MR. FARRELL: Back on the record. 17 BY MR. FARRELL: 17 Q. Defense Exhibit 73 again relates to compensation 18 Q. Mrs. Wengerd, if you turn back to Defense paid by the Celotex Asbestos Settlement Trust. Exhibit 63, these are your supplemental answers to 19 Seventy-four relates to compensation paid by DII 20 BASF's first set of interrogatories. 20 Industries Asbestos Settlement Trust. Defense 21 A. Uh-huh. Exhibit 75 is a document related to compensation 22 Q. Interrogatory number 4, which we looked at a few 22 paid by Fiberboard U.S. Gypsum.

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

23

24

Defense Exhibit 76 relates to settlement

of \$120,000 paid by Garlock and OI, which I

presume is Owens Illinois. Defense Exhibit 77

24

23 minutes ago, your response said you have no

personal information and then the response is BASF

has referred to documents produced by counsel

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 277..280 Page 277 Page 279 1 relates to a settlement with Garlock Sealing 1 MR. KUZMIN: Okav. 2 Technologies. Defense Exhibit 78 is another MR. FARRELL: On 66, if an attorney fee 2 3 document related to Garlock Sealing Technologies 3 wasn't specified, I believe we estimated 4 settlement. Defense Exhibit 79 relates to 4 30-something percent. 5 settlement with General Electric. 5 MR. KUZMIN: Okay. MR. FARRELL: Which seemed to be the case Defense Exhibit 80 relates to \$4,404,42 6 7 from Goodrich Corporation. Defense Exhibit 81 7 for the other documents. 8 relates to \$62,227.51 paid by Halliburton. 8 MR. KUZMIN: Not a problem. Just wanted 9 Defense Exhibit 82 relates to settlement with JM 9 to be sure. Thank you. 10 and Goodrich in the amount of \$36,250. Defense 10 Q. So having now gone through all of those, are you 11 Exhibit 83 is another document related to aware of any settlements relating to your mother's asbestos case or submissions to bankruptcy trusts 12 settlement monies paid by Johns Manville. 12 13 Eighty-four relates to settlement payment of 13 that we didn't just walk through in Defense 14 \$24,721 from Kaiser. Eighty-five, another 14 Exhibits 67 through 102? 15 document related to settlement with Kaiser. 15 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can Eighty-six is a check related to 16 answer it. 17 settlement monies paid by Mahoning Valley Supply. 17 A. No, I don't think so. 18 Q. Defense Exhibit 66, which was BASF's effort to try 18 Eighty-seven, another document related to 19 settlement paid by Mahoning Valley Supply. to catalog all of those settlements, you see it 20 Eighty-eight relates to \$63,447.96 paid in 20 lists on two pages settlements with A-Best, APG, 21 settlement by National Gypsum and Armstrong. 21 so on and so forth with a date of payment and 22 Eighty-nine relates to \$26,611.25 paid by OC, 22 dollar amounts? 23 which is presumably Owens-Corning. 23 A. Yes. Number 90 is another document related to 24 Q. Does this chart look accurate and complete to you? 25 settlement with Owens-Corning. Number 91, another 25 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can Page 278 Page 280 1 document related to settlement with Owens-Corning. answer it. 2 Ninety-two, another document related to 2 A. I don't know without scrutinizing and comparing it 3 Owens-Corning settlement. Ninety-three, a 3 to the documents you just went over that quickly. 4 document related to settlement with Fiberboard. 4 Q. Based on our review of the documents, it looked to 5 Ninety-four, a copy of a check and a letter us as though the total amount of settlement monies 6 concerning settlement with Owens Illinois. paid to date was \$1,389,290.62. Does that number Ninety-five, a document concerning 7 sound correct to you? 8 settlement monies paid by Plibrico Asbestos Trust. 8 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. The 9 Ninety-six relates to \$149,129.69 paid by Raytech. 9 documents speak for themselves. You can 10 Ninety-seven is a document concerning settlement 10 answer it. 11 monies paid by Federal-Mogul. Ninety-eight is a 11 A. I don't know. I've never added all of it up. 12 documented related to settlement with Travelers. 12 Q. Do you have any basis to dispute that number? 13 Ninety-nine, another document related to 13 A. No. 14 settlement of \$23,379.52 from Travelers. 100, 14 Q. Several of the documents we just walked through 15 another document related to settlement with T&N. and that we logged on our Defense Exhibit 66 date 16 101, document related to settlement with 16 back to 2008 and then some of them come later, 17 Owens-Coming. And 102, a document related to correct? 17 18 A. Correct. 18 settlement with U.S. Gypsum. 19 MR. KUZMIN: Before you get into more 19 Q. Is it fair to say you were still receiving 20 specifics, just with regard to 66, do you settlement monies from other parties even after stipulate that to the extent that attorneys' the Williams case was filed, correct? 21 22 fees are not reflected into the documents 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. As recently as 2016 you've been receiving 23 corresponding to this chart, that they are 24 estimates from your office? settlement money from other companies, correct?

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

25 A. That is correct.

MR. FARRELL: That is correct.

25

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 281..284 Page 281 Page 283 1 Q. Do you have any other bankruptcy trust claims that attorney to advise. 2 Q. Okay. But your understanding is that these are 2 are pending and you haven't received final word 3 yet back from those trusts? 3 all companies who are responsible in some way for 4 A. I have no idea. asbestos-containing products? 5 Q. So it's possible that more settlement monies will 5 A. Yes. be paid to you at some point in the future? 6 Q. It's fair to say then that some factor other than 7 A. I have no idea. whether a product contained asbestos influences MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. the amount of compensation paid in settlement? 9 Q. Do you know when each of these bankruptcy trust 9 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 10 claims were filed on your behalf? 10 answer it. 11 A. No. 11 A. I don't know what influences the variations in 12 Q. It's fair to say you've had claims related to your compensation. I don't know how that's equated. 13 mother's asbestos exposure essentially pending 13 Q. If they all are responsible for 14 since 2008 when her original case was filed, asbestos-containing products and they're paying 15 correct? different amounts in settlement, it's fair to say 16 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 16 something other than the fact that their products 17 answer it. 17 contained asbestos has influenced the settlement 18 A. Pending. I'm not sure what you mean by pending. 18 amount in some way? 19 Q. Was there any -- I'm looking here and I see 19 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 20 settlements paid in 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012. 20 answer it. 21 A. I don't know if they were pending in 2008 or if 21 A. It's possible. I don't know. 22 they were filed in 2009, 2011, 2012. But yes, 22 Q. We didn't see in any of the documents that were 23 there were still things that my attorney was produced to us concerning settlements any 24 working on and working through. 24 settlement with a manufacturer of talc. Have you 25 Q. All I'm trying to get at, Mrs. Wengerd, is that received compensation from any talc company Page 282 Page 284 1 since 2008, you've basically been in the active because of your mother's exposure to asbestos? 2 2 process of trying to seek compensation for your MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 3 3 mother's exposure to asbestos, correct? answer it. 4 A. That is correct. 4 A. I'm not sure because I'm not sure exactly which of 5 Q. So there was either a formal litigation pending or these companies produce only talc or just talc 6 bankruptcy trust claims being filed since 2008 6 contained within their product. 7 through today? 7 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 103 was 8 A. Correct. 8 marked for identification.) 9 Q. Do you know why some of these settlements are just 9 Q. So I've handed you what we've marked, 10 a few thousand dollars while others are as much Mrs. Wengerd, as Defense Exhibit 103. This is a 11 as, looks like \$150,000? copy of a document that was produced to us by the 11 12 A. No. Bevan firm, which is an excerpt or a portion of a 13 Q. Do you know whether all of these companies that database that they maintain related to your 14 have paid you compensation had asbestos in their mother's asbestos case and documents of a variety 14 15 product? of facts, including settlements that they've 15 16 A. That is my understanding. 16 entered into on your behalf. Have you ever seen 17 Q. So all of the companies who have paid you 17 this document or something like it before? 18 settlements so far had asbestos in their products, 18 A. No. 19 correct? 19 Q. If you turn to -- do you see down in the bottom 20 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can right-hand corner there's a Bates number there? The first page is P-WMS-0012635? 21 answer it. 22 A. Idon't know. Idon't know. My - my 22 A. Yes. 23 understanding is that they do, but I have not 23 Q. If you turn to the page that has the Bates number 24 analyzed each of their products. That's something 24 P-WMS-0012642, around 40 percent down on the page do you see two line entries? One says "Talc check

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

I would again have to ask you to refer to my

Pages 285..288

DOMMETTE WE	NGER - 03/12/2010 Pages 20320
Page 2	
1 number" and the other says "Talc check amount"?	1 A. Is that the small page numbers?
2 A. Yes, Isee.	2 Q. Yes. Sorry.
3 Q. And in the row for "Talc check amount," it says	3 A. Okay. I'm there.
4 zero. Do you see that?	4 Q. So page 35, lines 17 through 25, your mother was
5 A. Yes.	5 responding to questions that Mr. Bevan was asking
6 Q. Would you have any reason to dispute that you've	6 her. So you see at line 17 it says, by Mr. Bevan,
7 received no settlement monies from any talc	7 question, "Jennifer, earlier in your testimony you
8 company in connection with your mother's asbestos	8 mentioned talc. Do you recall that?" Answer,
9 case?	9 "Yes, I do." Question, "Do you recall the names
10 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	10 of that talc?" Answer, "I associate that name
11 answer it.	11 with Vanderbilt." Do you see that?
12 A. I have no reason to dispute what's on the sheet.	12 A. Yes.
13 Q. To your knowledge, have you received settlement	13 Q. So in your mother's original case, she identified
14 monies from a company called Southern Talc	14 Vanderbilt as the company whose talc she was
15 Company?	15 exposed to, correct?
16 A. I don't know.	16 MR. KUZMIN: Objection. Document speaks
17 Q. To your knowledge, have you received settlement	17 for itself. You can answer.
18 monies from a company called RT Vanderbilt?	18 A. Yes.
19 A. I don't know. The name sounds familiar, but I	19 Q. No mention of EMTAL talc there?
20 don't know.	20 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
21 Q. Have you received settlement money from a company	21 answer.
22 called Georgia Talc?	22 A. Correct.
23 A. Idon't know.	23 Q. Your mother didn't identify EMTAL talc as a brand
24 Q. Mr. Bevan would know the answers to those	24 of talc she was exposed to at all, correct?
25 questions?	25 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
Page :	286 Page 288 1 answer.
2 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	2 A. She didn't indicate that in this, that is correct.
3 answer.	3 Q. You agree that your mother was exposed to talc
4 Q. And you remember – I'll ask you if you remember.	4 sold by Vanderbilt, correct?
5 Do you remember at your April 2017 deposition we	5 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
6 talked about your mother's deposition testimony	6 answer.
7 from 2008 in her asbestos case?	7 A. To my understanding, yes.
8 A. Yes.	8 Q. And you had evidence that your mother was exposed
9 Q. Do you recall that? And I think you told me at	9 to talc sold by Vanderbilt, correct?
the time that you were with your mother for each	10 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
11 day of her deposition testimony; is that correct?	11 answer.
12 A. Correct. Yes.	12 A. Yes.
13 Q. And we marked her testimony as an exhibit last	13 Q. Were you aware that Vanderbilt, this talc company
14 time. It was Defense Exhibit 43. I have another	
THE WILL IN WAS DONOTHOSE LATINGTON THAT A HIGH CHILD	14 that your mother referred to filed a motion for
	14 that your mother referred to, filed a motion for
15 copy for you.	15 summary judgment in your mother's asbestos case?
15 copy for you.16 A. Okay.	15 summary judgment in your mother's asbestos case?16 A. I – I don't know. Possibly. I believe it
 15 copy for you. 16 A. Okay. 17 MR. KUZMIN: Is this the June 24th? 	 summary judgment in your mother's asbestos case? A. I – I don't know. Possibly. I believe it was – Vanderbilt sounds familiar.
 copy for you. A. Okay. MR. KUZMIN: Is this the June 24th? Q. So this is Defense Exhibit 43, which is the July 	 summary judgment in your mother's asbestos case? A. I – I don't know. Possibly. I believe it was – Vanderbilt sounds familiar. Q. In fact, I think I asked you about Vanderbilt a
 copy for you. A. Okay. MR. KUZMIN: Is this the June 24th? Q. So this is Defense Exhibit 43, which is the July 3rd, 2008 session of your mother's deposition in 	 summary judgment in your mother's asbestos case? A. I – I don't know. Possibly. I believe it was – Vanderbilt sounds familiar. Q. In fact, I think I asked you about Vanderbilt a few minutes ago and you said –
 copy for you. A. Okay. MR. KUZMIN: Is this the June 24th? Q. So this is Defense Exhibit 43, which is the July 3rd, 2008 session of your mother's deposition in her asbestos case. Do you remember when you and I 	 summary judgment in your mother's asbestos case? A. I – I don't know. Possibly. I believe it was – Vanderbilt sounds familiar. Q. In fact, I think I asked you about Vanderbilt a few minutes ago and you said – A. Yeah.
 copy for you. A. Okay. MR. KUZMIN: Is this the June 24th? Q. So this is Defense Exhibit 43, which is the July 3rd, 2008 session of your mother's deposition in her asbestos case. Do you remember when you and I were together in April of 2017, I turned your 	 summary judgment in your mother's asbestos case? A. I – I don't know. Possibly. I believe it was – Vanderbilt sounds familiar. Q. In fact, I think I asked you about Vanderbilt a few minutes ago and you said – A. Yeah. Q. – they sounded familiar?
 copy for you. A. Okay. MR. KUZMIN: Is this the June 24th? Q. So this is Defense Exhibit 43, which is the July 3rd, 2008 session of your mother's deposition in her asbestos case. Do you remember when you and I were together in April of 2017, I turned your attention to page 35 of this deposition? 	 summary judgment in your mother's asbestos case? A. I – I don't know. Possibly. I believe it was – Vanderbilt sounds familiar. Q. In fact, I think I asked you about Vanderbilt a few minutes ago and you said – A. Yeah. Q. – they sounded familiar? A. Correct.
 copy for you. A. Okay. MR. KUZMIN: Is this the June 24th? Q. So this is Defense Exhibit 43, which is the July 3rd, 2008 session of your mother's deposition in her asbestos case. Do you remember when you and I were together in April of 2017, I turned your attention to page 35 of this deposition? MR. KUZMIN: I'm sorry. I am going to 	 summary judgment in your mother's asbestos case? A. I – I don't know. Possibly. I believe it was – Vanderbilt sounds familiar. Q. In fact, I think I asked you about Vanderbilt a few minutes ago and you said – A. Yeah. Q. – they sounded familiar? A. Correct. Q. Do you know why they sound – can you think of why
 copy for you. A. Okay. MR. KUZMIN: Is this the June 24th? Q. So this is Defense Exhibit 43, which is the July 3rd, 2008 session of your mother's deposition in her asbestos case. Do you remember when you and I were together in April of 2017, I turned your attention to page 35 of this deposition? 	 summary judgment in your mother's asbestos case? A. I – I don't know. Possibly. I believe it was – Vanderbilt sounds familiar. Q. In fact, I think I asked you about Vanderbilt a few minutes ago and you said – A. Yeah. Q. – they sounded familiar? A. Correct.

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 289..292 Page 289 Page 291 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 104 was 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. And if you turn back to page 18 of Defense marked for identification.) 3 Q. So I've handed you, Mrs. Wengerd, what we've 3 Exhibit 104. marked as Defense Exhibit 104. This is a document 4 A. Okay. from your mother's asbestos case. It's called 5 Q. So on page 18 of the Bevan firm's opposition to "Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Motion for 6 Vanderbilt's motion for summary judgment, do you Summary Judgment Filed by RT Vanderbilt, Inc." Do see heading 1, "Defendant RT Vanderbilt supplied 8 you see that? 8 to Plaintiffs' work site"? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And it's signed by Jessica Bacon of the Bevan Law 10 Q. And then that first paragraph begins, quote, 11 Firm? "Defendant RT Vanderbilt has admitted selling 12 asbestos-containing talc to Goodyear Tire & Rubber 13 Q. Do you know Ms. Bacon? Company where Jennifer Graham was employed. 14 A. No, I don't think so. According to answer to interrogatories supplied by 15 Q. Have you ever dealt with her? Defendant RT Vanderbilt between 1974 and 1980, 16 A. Not to my knowledge. 16 Defendant RT Vanderbilt sold 62,540 pounds of 17 Q. Okay. It's fair to say, however, that RT 17 asbestos-containing talc to Goodyear Tire & Rubber 18 Vanderbilt moved for summary judgment in your 18 Company's Akron, Ohio, facility." Do you see 19 mother's asbestos case and the Bevan firm filed an 19 20 opposition to that motion? 20 A. Yes. MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 21 Q. So your lawyers had evidence that RT Vanderbilt's answer it. talc, which was sold to Goodyear, where your 23 mother worked, contained asbestos, correct? 24 24 Q. If you turn to page 29 of the Bevan firm's MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 25 opposition to RT Vanderbilt's motion for summary 25 answer it. Page 290 Page 292 1 A. Yes. 1 judgment in your mother's asbestos case, do you 2 see there's a heading number 5? 2 Q. If you then turn to page 19 of Defense 3 A. You said page 29? 3 Exhibit 104, you see the second full paragraph MR. KUZMIN: I thought you said 29. that begins, "This is an attempt"? Yeah. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Twenty-three. 6 Q. The second sentence of that paragraph on page 19 7 of the Bevan firm's opposition to Vanderbilt's 8 Q. So page 23 of the Bevan firm's opposition to 8 motion for summary judgment says, quote, "In 1983, 9 Vanderbilt's motion for summary judgment in your Defendant RT Vanderbilt had their talc analyzed by 10 mother's asbestos case, do you see heading 5 says, 10 McCrone Research Associates to see if their talc "Jennifer Graham was exposed to RT Vanderbilt 11 contained asbestos. According to their own 12 talc"? letter, RT Vanderbilt talc contained in excess of 13 A. Correct. 90 percent tremolite asbestos." Do you see that? 14 Q. And then towards the end of the first paragraph, 14 A. Yes. 15 the Bevan brief says, quote, "Jennifer Graham gave 15 Q. So the Bevan lawyers also had internal tests that 16 five days of deposition testimony in this case Vanderbilt had conducted showing that Vanderbilt's 17 before her death. Through this deposition talc contained asbestos? 17 18 testimony one fact became abundantly clear. 18 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can Jennifer Graham was exposed to RT Vanderbilt's 19 answer it. 20 asbestos-containing talc." Do you see that? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. In fact, there's a footnote, too, at the bottom of 22 Q. So it's clear that your lawyers had evidence that page 19 of the Bevan opposition brief. It says,

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

23

24

quote, "It should be noted that the talc is not

tremolite that they were selling was contaminated

contaminated with tremolite, but that the

answer it.

23 your mother was exposed to Vanderbilt talc, right?

MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can

1

2

6

9 A. Yes.

12 A. Yes.

21

22

4

11

7 A. Yes.

21 A. Yes.

24

25

23 A. Yes.

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 293..296

1 A. Yes. 2 Dercent tremolite." Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. So they're emphasizing the fact that there was a 5 lot of asbestos in Vanderbilt talc, correct? 5 mR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 6 asbestos daim? 7 answer it. 7 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 8 A. Yes. 8 answer it. 9 Q. If you turn to page 20 of Defense Exhibit 104, the 10 first full paragraph, you see it starts with, 11 "Third"? 12 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 13 Q. That paragraph states – begins, "Third, the Ohio 14 Division of Safety and Hygiene found that RT 15 Vanderbilt's talc at an Ohio job site contained 16 asbestos-formed tremolite." Do you see that? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbilt's motion for summany 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 Government 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm lado had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in	DOMNETTE	WENGER - 03/12/2010 Pages 29329
2 percent tremotite." Do you see that? 3 A Yes. 3 Nesserie de Committee of the seed of the		
3 A Vise. 4 Q. So they emphasizing the fact that there was a 5 bot of askestos in Vanderbilitials, correct? 5 MR. RUZMIN: Object to form. You can 6 askestos from Vanderbilitials, correct? 6 MR. RUZMIN: Object to form. You can 7 answer it. 8 A Vise. 9 Q. If you turn to page 20 of Defense Exhibit 104, the 16 first Migrangarh, you see it starts with, 11 Third? 11 Third? 11 Q. If Mr. Berean asked Vanderbilit to pay a particular 11 may afformer. 12 A. Ush-huh. Yes. 13 Q. That paragraph you see it starts with, 10 provided the form on the recommendation of the variety of the recommendation of the variety of the variety of the recommendation of the variety of variety of variety of variety of variety of vari		
4 Deen a reasonable amount of settlement to have be not activated as been a reasonable amount of settlement to have be not activated as severit. 7 a ranswer it. 8 A Yes. 9 A I you turn to page 20 of Defense Exhibit 104, the 10 first full paragraph you see it starts with, 11 mind? 11 Third? 12 A Urhuhit Yes. 13 Q. The paragraph states - begins, Third, the Ohio 14 Division of Safety and Hygiene brund that RT 15 Vanderbit's state an Ohio job sile contained 15 Wanderbit's state an Ohio job sile contained 16 adebastos/formed tremolise. Do you see feat? 13 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbith's motion for summary 19 updament, three's a servine met lasty. Here os SHA 22 with "Division for summary 19 amount of money to settle your mother's dain 2 against Variabeth you'd finish that was a reasonable amount of money to settle your mother's dain 2 against Variabeth you'd finish that was a servine met lasty. Here osPHA 20 you see that? 18 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbith's motion for summary 19 amount of money to settle your mother's dain 2 against Variabeth you'd finish that was a 1 reasonable amount of money to settle your mother's dain 2 against Variabeth you'd finish that was a 1 reasonable amount of money to proceive in 22 settlement from Vanderbith's was a 1 reasonable amount of money to proceive in 22 settlement from Vanderbith's 2 and 14 you was	i i	
Solid carbotests in Vandebilit acid, correct? Solid received from Vandebilit to resolve your mother's a selected from Vandebilit to resolve your mother's a selected from Vandebilit to resolve your mother's a selected from Vandebilit to resolve your mother's as debetted from Vandebilit to resolve your mother's as debetted from Vandebility Dejact to form. You can answer it. Page 29. I you turn to page 20 of Deferse Exhibit 104, the Solid Page 20 of D		
6 abbests dain? 7 arswert. 7 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 8 arswert. 9 Q. If you turn to page 20 of Deferse Exhibit 104, the 10 first full paragraph, you see it sarts with, 11 Third? 12 A. Uhrhul. Yes 13 Q. That paragraph states – begins, "Third, the Ohio 14 Division of Safety and Hygene found that RT 15 Vanderbit's taic at an Ohio job site contained 16 asbests on-morth of Safety and Hygene found that RT 17 A. Yes. 17 A. Yes. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bwaris 19 opposition to Vanderbit's to lot brown as best on in tax 19 opposition to Vanderbit's sold and object to form. You can 19 opposition to Vanderbit's sold of the object to form. You can 19 opposition to Vanderbit's sold and object to form of page 20 of Bwaris 19 opposition to Vanderbit's sold that we was asbestos in it as 10 conditional of the object to form of page 20 of Bwaris 19 opposition to Vanderbit's sold to have asbestos in it as 20 judgment, there's a sentence flet size, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbit's taic, coreed? 22 well: "Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 27 In Juangraph that begins, "Sohn? 28 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth," 30 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth," 31 Q. If was a server and offerent way. If you 32 then it can be a second. 33 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 44 to give me a second. 45 A. Yes. 46 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 46 arewer it. 47 A. No, not necessarily. 48 A. Yes. 49 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth," 40 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Nr. Kits 41 Vanderbit's valve deposition as Erhibit 10. And 42 International Tac Mire and internitied asbessos 45 Do you see that? 46 Co. Why op you say that? 47 Form of teenough chyosole and anthrophyllie." 48 A. Yes. 49 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Nr. Kits 40 Q. So the Bevan firm also had e		
7 Answer it. 8 A Yes. 8 A gree 9 C. If you turn to page 20 of Deferse Exhibit 104, the 9 C. If you turn to page 20 of Deferse Exhibit 104, the 9 A I would not have a number without discussing with 10 first full peragraph, you see it starts with, 10 my attomey. 11 C. If Mr. Bevan asked Vanderbit to pay a particular 11 Thirt? 11 C. If Mr. Bevan asked Vanderbit to pay a particular 12 number to settle your mother's case against 13 Q. That paragraph states – begins, 'Third, the Ohio 13 Vanderbit, you'd defer to him on that? 14 A That is correct. 15 Mr. KLIZMIN: Object to form. You can asked Vanderbit to pay a particular 15 Vanderbit is bette or the control of page 20 of Bevan's 16 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 17 A Yes. 17 A Sony. That is correct. 18 Q. If Mr. KLIZMIN: Object to form. You can asked when the page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbit's motion for summary 19 amount of money to settle your mother's dain 20 judgment, there's a sentence flat says, "Here OSHA 20 pagins't Vanderbit, you'd think that was a 19 opposition to Vanderbit's motion for summary 19 amount of money to settle your mother's dain 22 well. Do you see that? 22 well. Do you see that? 23 A Yes. 23 Mrs. KLIZMIN: Object to form. You can 24 aswer it. 25 well. Do you see that? 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in it as 21 researced amount of money to settle you consider it researced be 10 amount of money 10 settle you consider it researced be 10 amount of money 10 settle you consider it researced be 10 amount of money 10 settle you consider it researced be 10 amount of money 10 settle you consider it researced be 10 amount of money 10 settle you consider it researced be 10 amount of money 10 settle you consider it researced be 10 amount of money 10 settle you consider it researced be 10 amount of money 10 settle you consider it researced be 10 amount of money 10 settle you consider it researced be 10 amount of money 10 settle you consider it researced		·
8 A Yes. 9 C. If you turn to page 20 of Defense Exhibit 104, the 10 first fluj paragraph, you see it starts with, 11 "Third?" 11 10 paragraph, you see it starts with, 11 "Third?" 11 2 A Uhruhr Yes. 12 A Uhruhr Yes. 13 O. That paragraph states – begins, Third, the Ohio 14 Division of Safety and Hygiene found that RT 14 A That is correct. 15 Vanderbilt stact at an Ohio job site contained 16 asbestoc-formed tremolite." Do you see that? 17 A Yes. 18 O. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbilits and to have asbestos in it as 19 opposition to Vanderbilits tale to have asbestos in it as 20 iudigment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbilit state to have asbestos in it as 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A Yes. 24 O. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 That is correct. 27 A Yes. 28 A Yes. 29 A Yes. 29 A Yes. 20 In Vanderbilit state, correct? 20 In Vanderbilit state, correct? 20 In Vanderbilit state, correct? 21 Vanderbilit state, correct? 22 A Yes. 23 A Wish, Colject to form. You've got 24 by give me a second. 25 That is correct. 26 A That is correct. 27 A No, not necessarily 28 A Yes. 39 A Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Nik Riss 40 A Page 294 41 by give me a second. 42 by give me a second. 43 by give me a second. 44 by give me a second. 55 This Winth Sess and the wind a second of the paragraph states, quote, "Nik Riss 40 A Yes, 21 that paragraph states, quote, "Nik Riss 41 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 42 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 43 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 44 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 45 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 46 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 47 full paragraph that state deposition as Enhibit 10. And 48 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 49 A Yes. 40 C So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 40 C So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 41 testified that he analyzed the ore from	6 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	
9 Q. If you turn to page 20 of Defense Eshibit 104, the 10 first full pragraght, you see it starts with, 10 my attorney, 11 min of 11 min of 12 min of 12 mumber to settle your mother's case against 11 Quinther to settle your mother's case against 12 vanderbility to pay a particular 11 Quinther to settle your mother's case against 13 Quinther to settle your mother's case against 14 A. That is correct. 15 Vanderbility table at an Ohio job site contained 15 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer it. 15 Vanderbility table at an Ohio job site contained 15 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer it. 17 A. Yes. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevants 19 opposition to Vanderbilit's motion for summary 19 amount of money to settle your mother's data against Vanderbilit stale to have asbests in it as 21 fearon Vanderbilit's tale to have asbests in it as 22 welf. Do you see that? 22 welf. Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 25 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 11 Vanderbilit's tale, correct? 11 Vanderbilit's tale, correct? 12 A. Yes. 25 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 11 Vanderbilit's tale, correct? 12 A. Yes. 26 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 11 Vanderbilit's tale, correct? 12 A. Yes. 27 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 15 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer't. 16 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer't. 17 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer't. 17 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer't. 18 Q. Why do you say that? 19 A. Yes. 19 Q. Page 21, they paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, Object to form. You can arswer't. 19 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer't. 19 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer't. 19 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer't. 19 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer't. 19 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer't. 19 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer't. 19 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You	7 answer it.	7 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
10 first full paragraph, you see it starts with, 11 Third? 11 Q. If Mr. Bevan asked Vanderbill to pay a particular 12 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 13 Q. That paragraph states – begins, Third, the Chio 14 Division of Safety and Hygene found that RT 15 Vanderbills tale at an Ohio job site contained 16 advestible face at an Ohio job site contained 16 advestible face at an Ohio job site contained 17 A. Yes. 17 A. Yes. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbills motion for summany 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbills tale to have asbestos in it as 22 individent full with the was a 23 answer it. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 Q. And fly out in the page 21, doyou see the second 27 full paragraph that begins, "Sidth"? 28 A. Yes. 29 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sidth, 10 Cillford L. Kits, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 tent in class that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 tent loses and sold service in the 14 testified that he analyzed the one from the 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 flores therein. The asbestos floers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chycotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Doyou see that? 19 work of the page and the fine asbestos floers were in the 16 vanderbilt state, context? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 vanderbilt was deposed on October 6, 1988," and 22 tent in class that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 23 tent in class that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 24 tent in class that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 25 tent in class that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 26 tent in class that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 27 full paragraph that begins in a Exhibit 10. And 28 tent in class that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 29 A. Yes. 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 20 the equation as to – as you've seen and said for 21 vanderbilt socientists settly	8 A. Yes.	8 answerit.
11 "Third?" 12 A Uhrhut. Yes. 13 A. That paragraph states – begins, Third, the Ohio 14 Division of Safety and Hygiene found that RT 15 Vanderbit's tale at an Ohio job site contained 16 asbestos-formed themofile." Do you see that? 16 asbestos-formed themofile." Do you see that? 17 A Yes. 18 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbitts motion for summary 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbitts tale to have asbestos in it as 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 Q. And shift you turn to page 21, do you see the second 27 full paragraph states, quote, "Sixth", 28 A Yes. 29 THE WITNESS: Sony, 20 THE WITNESS: Sony, 31 MR. RUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 42 to give me a second. 43 seyouwould have expected from Vanderbit? 44 to give me a second. 45 A seyouwould have expected from Vanderbit? 46 A That is correct. Page 294 1 Vanderbit's tale, correct? 2 A Yes. 2 EMTAL tale, would you consider it reasonable to receive the same amount of compensation from BASF, Engethand, a seyouwould have expected from Vanderbit? 4 to give me a second. 4 as you would have expected from Vanderbit? 5 THE WITNESS: Sony, 5 MR. RUZMIN: Object to form. You can are full full paragraph states, quote, "Sixth", 6 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 6 A radii you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph states, quote, "Sixth", 7 A No, not necessarily. 8 A Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth", 11 Vanderbit, was deposed on October 5, 1988" and 11 Vanderbit, was deposed on October 5, 1988" and 12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kits 13 as you shill the evidence about EMTAL tale that you have expected RT 14 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 17 full mort fremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Do you see that? 19 A Yes. 20 Q. So the Bevan firm als	9 Q. If you turn to page 20 of Defense Exhibit 104, the	9 A. I would not have a number without discussing with
12 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 13 Q. That peragraph states – begins, "Third, the Ohio 14 Division of Sately and Hygiene found that RT 15 Vanderbilts taic at an Ohio job site contained 16 asbests-formed tremofile." Do you see that? 16 A. Archaethilts taic at an Ohio job site contained 16 asbests-formed tremofile. "Do you see that? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Ard then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbilts motion for summary 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbilts taic to have asbestos in it as 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 A. Yes. 27 A. That is correct. Page 294 28 A. Yes. 29 A. Yes. 20 Judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 29 well." Do you see that? 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbilts taid to have asbestos in it as 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 A. That is correct. Page 294 2 Vanderbilt's taic, correct? 2 A. Yes. 3 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer it. 2 A. Yes. 3 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer it. 4 to give me a second. 5 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer it. 6 Q. And filyou tim to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Clifford L. Kits, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988; and 12 then it dies that depositions as Erbibli 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kits 14 testified that he analyzed the one from the 15 International Taic Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of thermolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Doyou see tha? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Idon't know because I	10 first full paragraph, you see it starts with,	10 my attorney.
13 O. Thet paragraph states – begins, "Third, the Ohio 14 Division of Safety and Hygiene found that RT 15 Vanderbilt's take at an Ohio job site contained 16 aabsets-bemed tremolite." Do you see that? 17 A Yes. 17 A Yes. 18 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevarts 19 opposition to Vanderbilt's motion for summary 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbilt's atic brave asbestos in it as 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 A Yes. 27 Yes. 28 A Yes. 29 A Yes. 29 A Yes. 29 A Yes. 20 Wilk, "Bevan asked Vanderbilt to pay a particular amount of money to settlet your mother's claim against Vanderbilt's, you'd think that was a reasonable amount of money to receive in sestement from Vanderbilt? 29 A Yes. 20 So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 20 Suddes concluding that there was asbestos in 20 Page 294 21 Vanderbilt's take, correct? 22 A Yes. 23 MR, KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 24 to give me a second. 25 THE WITNESS: Sony. 26 Q. And filyou furn to page 21, do you see the second 27 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 28 A Yes. 29 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth," 39 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth," 40 Clifford L. Kits, an assistant chemist at 10 hid evidence. 41 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying a filt of the minimum of the page and anthrophylite." 41 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 42 Vanderbilt's scientists scientifying in depositions 43 Do you see that? 44 Later meak my question a different way. If you had in a work of destroyed would you more the same amount of content the the arealyzed the ore from the 11 Vanderbilt's scientists scientifying in depositions 45 Letter the arealyzed the ore from the 16 Vanderbilt's content that have expected RT 46 Deposition to the equation as to –as you've seen and said for 20 yourset, there's a variety of different payments. 47 Underbilt's scientists scientifying in	11 "Third"?	11 Q. If Mr. Bevan asked Vanderbilt to pay a particular
14 Division of Safety and Hygiene found that RT 15 Vanderbilits taic at an O'hio job site contained 16 asbestos-format fremofile." Do you see that? 17 A Yes. 17 A Yes. 18 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbilits motion for summery 19 opposition to Vanderbilits motion for summery 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbilits taic to have asbestos in it as 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 A Yes. 27 A Yes. 28 A Yes. 29 MR, KUZMIN: Object to form. You can arswer it. 29 Logiver me a second. 20 Logiver me a second. 30 MR, KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 4 Logiver me a second. 4 Logiver me a second. 5 THE WITNESS: Sony. 5 MR, KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 4 Logiver me a second. 6 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 MR, RUZMIN: Object to form. You can answer it. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sidth, 10 Clifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilit said. Context femiliated as the second of the same amount of compensation from BASF as A Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sidth, 10 Clifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilit said seeps on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 12 then it does not be state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 13 say was hidden or destroyed, would you have expected RT 14 the it does that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 15 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremofile, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 A Yes. 19 A Ves. 19 A Loft Now What goes into into that quality and the value of electron and said for 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilit's socientists testifying in depositoris controlled. See in the three was asbestos in Vanderbilit's socientists testifying in depositoris can be a variety of different payments. 21 tha	12 A. Uh-huh. Yes.	12 number to settle your mother's case against
15 Vanderbilt's taic at an Ohio job site contained 16 asbests-formed tremolle." Do you see that? 17 A Yes. 18 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbilt's motion for summary 19 amount of money to settle your mother's claim 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbilt's taic to have asbestos in it as 22 welt." Do you see that? 23 A Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 Vanderbilt's taic, correct? 27 A Yes. 28 A That is correct. 29 In Will Will Will Will Will Will Will Wil	13 Q. That paragraph states begins, "Third, the Ohio	13 Vanderbilt, you'd defer to him on that?
16 asbestos-formed tremofite." Do you see that? 17 A. Yes. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbilt's motion for summary 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbilt's tail to have asbestos in it as 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 Yes. 27 A. Yes. 28 A. Yes. 29 A. Yes. 29 EMTAL taic, would you consider it reasonable to give me a second. 3 MR. KUZNIN: Object to form. You've got 4 to give me a second. 4 to give me a second. 5 MR. KUZNIN: Object to form. You've got 5 MR. KUZNIN: Object for form. You use the second 6 A. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Ciliford L. Kiffs, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 11 tent it close that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 12 then it close that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 15 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kiffs 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 15 International Tac Mine and identified asbestos 16 Dyou see that? 17 A. Yes. 19 A. Oon the evidence about EMTAL talc that you and the mit goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kiffs 10 A. Yes. 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 11 C. Let me ask my question a different way. If you there the stiffed that he analyzed the ore from the 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 International Tac Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremotile, chystolile and anthrophyllite." 18 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 d. Yes don't know what goes into the tequation	14 Division of Safety and Hygiene found that RT	14 A. That is correct.
16 asbestos-formed tremofile." Do you see that? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbilts motion for summary 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbilts lab to have asbestos in it as 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 Vanderbilt staic, correct? 27 A. Yes. 28 A. Yes. 29 Well. Wanderbilt staic to have asbestos in the studies concluding that there was asbestos in 29 you would have expected from Vanderbilt? 29 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 Vanderbilt staic, correct? 22 A. Yes. 23 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got to give me a second. 24 to give me a second. 35 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got to give me a second. 46 A. Yes. 47 A. Yes, which was deposed on Ozdober 5, 1988," and then it goes on to stale, quote, "Nick Its." 48 A. Yes. 49 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sidth," 40 Clifford L. Kits, an assistant chemist at 10 In the work of the arrange of the middle sheets of the interestination of the promofile as the arranged the middle sheets of the stream and identified asbestos form of the expected BASF to have pead your mother's claim against Vanderbilt to pay a particular mount of money to settle your mother's claim against Vanderbilt, but a settle was an amount of money to settle your mother's claim against Vanderbilt, but and the was a settlement from Page 21. A Son, "Revaluation of the sound with a settlement from Manderbilt in the was a settlement from Manderbilt to pay a particular mount of money to settle your dispariant money to settlement from Wanderbilt was a settlement from Manderbilt to pay your page 11 for the page 21, do you see that your dispariant money to settlement your page 21, do you would have expected for Vanderbilt so scientiss	1	15 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbilt's motion for summary 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbilt's talc to have asbestos in it as 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 A. That is correct. 27 Yes. 28 A. That is correct. 28 A. That is correct. 29 A. Yes. 20 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 20 A Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 Vanderbilt's talc, correct? 22 A. Yes. 23 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You we got 24 Digive me a second. 25 A. That is correct. 26 A. Yes. 27 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You we got 28 A. Yes. 38 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You we got 49 Digive me a second. 40 Q. And the page 21, do you see the second 40 Q. And the page 21, do you see the second 41 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 42 A. Yes. 43 Q. Why do you say that? 44 Ves. 45 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 46 Oilfrod L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 47 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 48 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 49 A. Yes. 40 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Kitts 40 then it objects that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 41 then it objects that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 42 then it does that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 43 say was hidden or destroyed, would have expected RT 44 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 45 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 46 the international Talc Mine and identified asbestos 47 full paragraph states, or the page 21 do you see the same 48 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 49 A. Yes. 40 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 41 Vanderbilt scientified asbestos 41 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 42 the subject of the manufacture of the page 21 do you see the same and an answerit. 41 Vanderbilt scientified asbestos 42 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 43 answerit. 44 testified that he analyzed the ore from	•	
18 Q. And then down at the bottom of page 20 of Bevan's 19 opposition to Vanderbilit motion for summary 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbill's talic to have asbestos in it as 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 a Yes. 27 A Yes. 28 A. Yes. 29 That is correct? 29 A Yes. 30 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 29 answer it. 29 A Yes. 20 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 20 answer it. 20 A roaderbilt's talic, correct? 20 A Yes. 21 C. Knowing what you know today about BASF, Engelhard, 22 EMTAL talic, would you consider it reasonable to 23 answer it. 24 A Yes. 25 A. That is correct. Page 294 1 Vanderbilt's talic, correct? 2 A Yes. 3 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 4 to give me a second. 5 THE WITNESS: Sony. 6 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Cilford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 13 say was hidden or destroyed would you have 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Doyou see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 19 the equation as to — asy ou've seen and said for 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 deposition as to — asy ou've seen and said for 24 yourself, there's a variety of different payments. 25 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 26 Correct? 27 Vanderbilt scientists testifying in depositions 28 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 29 Correct? 29 Correct? 20 Correct? 30 Correct? 30 Correct of th	-	
19 amount of money to settle your mother's claim 20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbill's talc to have asbestos in it as 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 A. That is correct. Page 294 1 Vanderbill's talc; correct? 2 A. Yes. 2 EMTAL talc, would you consider it reasonable to give the same amount of money by the same amount of money by the same amount of money to receive in settlement (and the same) and the same amount of money to receive in settlement (and the same) and the same amount of money to receive in settlement (and the same) amount of money to receive in settlement (and the same) amount of money to receive in settlement (and the same) amount of money to receive in settlement (and the same) amount of compensation from BASF (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, do you see the second (and if you turn to page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, (and if you turn to page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, (and if you turn to page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, (and if you turn to page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, (and if you turn to page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Whith you tur		
20 judgment, there's a sentence that says, "Here OSHA 21 found Vanderbit's talc' to have asbestos in it as 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 A. That is correct. Page 294 1 Vanderbit's talc, correct? 2 A. Yes. 2 EMTAL talc, would you consider it reasonable to give the same amount of compensation from BASF, Engelhand, 4 to give me a second. 4 as you would have expected from Vanderbit? 5 THE WITNESS: Sony. 5 MR. RUZMIN: Object to form. You can 6 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Soth"? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Clifford L. Kits, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbitt, was deposed on October 5, 1998," and 11 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kits 13 say was hidden or destroyed, would you have 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 15 filters therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotie and anthrophylite." 18 Do you see that? 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbitity scale and anthrophylite." 22 Jan there was asbestos in Vanderbitit's scientists testifying in depositions 23 it's something that ly would have to defer to an 24 answer it. 25 A. That is correct. Page 294 1 Q. Knowing what you know today about BASF, Engelhard, 26 A. Yes. 27 EMTAL talc, would you consider it reasonable to answer it. 28 a you would have expected from Vanderbitity as an assistant of answer it. 3 as you would have expected from Vanderbitity and the page 21, do you say that? 3 A. Yes. 3 Q. Why do you say that? 4 expected BASF to have paid your mother the same and indentified asbestos in the material of the page 21, do you say that? 4 expected BASF to have paid your mother the same and indentified asbestos fibers were in the 4 expected BASF to have paid your mother the same and indentif		
21 reasonable amount of money to receive in 22 well." Do you see that? 23 A Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 A Yes. 27 That is correct. 28 A Yes. 29 That is correct. 29 That is correct. 29 EMTAL taic, would you consider it reasonable to receive the same amount of compensation from BASF. 29 EMTAL taic, would you consider it reasonable to receive the same amount of compensation from BASF. 30 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 4 as you would have expected from Vanderbill? 4 to give me a second. 4 to give me a second. 5 THE WITNESS: Sony. 6 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 9 A Because I believe BASF fraudulently destroyed and 10 hid evidence. 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 11 Q. Let me ask mry question a different way. If you then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 12 had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you have expected BASF for have paid your mother the same answer it. 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 15 International Talc Mine we see and said for 14 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 15 International Talc Mine we see and said for 14 Internat		
22 well." Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of government 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 Page 294 1 Vanderbilt's talc, correct? 2 A. Yes. 2 EMTAL talc, would you consider it reasonable to 3 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 4 as you would have expected from Vanderbilt? 5 THE WITNESS: Sory. 6 Q. And fyou turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 7 A. No, not necessarily. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Clifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 then it clies that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 literational Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolle, chysotile and anthrophylitle." 18 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 19 A. J. Clork Now because I don't know what goes into 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 settlement from Vanderbilt'. 24 answer it. 25 d. That is correct. 25 A. That is correct. 26 A. That is correct. 27 A. No, not necessarily. 28 A. Yes. 39 Q. Why do you say that? 40 believe BASF fraudulently destroyed and 41 bid evidence. 41 Q. Let me ask my question a different way. If you 41 be not cless that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 42 had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you 43 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 44 expected BASF to have paid your mother the same 45 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 46 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 47 form of tremolle, chysotile and anthrophylitle." 48 A. Yes. 49 A. Yes. 40 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 41 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 41 there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 41 yourself, there's a variety of different payments. 42 Lido		
23 M.R. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 24 answer it. 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 A. That is correct. Page 294 1 Vanderbill's talc, corred? 2 A. Yes. 3 M.R. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 4 to give me a second. 5 THE WITNESS: Sory. 6 C. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 8 A. Yes. 8 Q. Why do you say that? 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Cilford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 then it cless that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "M. Kitts 13 say was hidden or destroyed, would you what you what you wow that you who what goes into 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremofile, chysotile and anthrophylitie." 18 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Yourself, there's a variety of different wow what goes into 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 23 it's something that I would have to defer to an		-
24 answer it. 25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 A That is correct. Page 294 1 Vanderbit's talc, correct? 2 A Yes. 2 MR, KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 4 to give me a second. 5 THE WITNESS: Sory. 6 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Olfford L Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbit, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 then it clies that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 say was hidden or destroyed, would you bave the same 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 floors therein. The asbestos floers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophylitie." 18 A Yes. 19 A Yes. 19 A Yes. 10 Jenatory of termolite, chysotile and evidence of 10 Vanderbit's scientists testifying in depositions 21 Vanderbit's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbitit's talc, 23 it's something that I would have expected from payments.		
25 studies concluding that there was asbestos in 26 A. That is correct. Page 294 1 Vanderbilt's talc, correct? 2 A. Yes. 3 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 4 to give me a second. 5 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 6 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Cifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 10 Cifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Wit Kitts 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophylitie." 18 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 19 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 21 yourself, there's a variety of different payments. 22 Idon't know what goes into that equation, and 23 correct? 10 International Talc would have to defer to an		·
Page 294 1 Vanderbilf's talc, correct? 2 A. Yes. 3 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 4 to give me a second. 5 THE WITNESS: Sory. 6 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Clifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 10 Vanderbilf, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 11 Vanderbilf, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 International Taic Mine and identified asbestos 16 International Taic Mine and identified asbestos 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Do you see that? 9 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 20 Vanderbilf's scientists testifying in depositions 21 Vanderbilf's scientists testifying in depositions 22 Idon't know what goes into that equation, and 23 correct? 1 Q. Knowing what you know today about BASF, Engelhard, 2 EMTAL talc, would you consider it reasonable to 3 receive the same amount of compensation from BASF 4 as you would have expected from Vanderbilf's Ale, would you consider it reasonable to 3 receive the same amount of compensation from BASF 4 as you would have expected from Vanderbilf's Day, on tonecessarily. 4 as you would have expected from Vander bild you on set the equation, and 5 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 10 hid evidence. 11 Q. Let me ask my question a different way. If you 12 had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you 13 say was hidden or destroyed, would you have 24 expected BASF to have paid your mother the same 25 International Taic Mine and identified asbestos 26 amount of settlement as you would have expected RT 27 International Taic Mine and identified asbestos 28 amount of settlement as you would have expected RT 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 19 A. I don't know what goes into that equation, and 20 (Softl	_	
1 Q. Knowing what you know today about BASF, Engelhard, 2 A. Yes. 2 EMTAL talc, would you consider it reasonable to 3 receive the same amount of compensation from BASF 4 to give me a second. 4 as you would have expected from Vanderbill? 5 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 6 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 6 answer it. 7 A. No, not necessarily. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth," 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth," 9 A. Because I believe BASF fraudulently destroyed and 10 Clifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 10 hid evidence. 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 13 say was hidden or destroyed, would you have 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 15 amount of settlement as you would have expected RT 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. John't know because I don't know what goes into 10 the equation as to – as you've seen and said for 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 I don't know what goes into that equation, and 23 correct? 24 life its something that I would have to defer to an	25 Studies conduding that there was aspestos in	25 A. Trial is correct.
2 A. Yes. 3 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got 4 to give me a second. 5 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 6 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Cifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 20 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 it's something that I would have to defer to an		
MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You've got to give me a second. THE WITNESS: Sory. Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? A. Yes. Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, Cifford L. Kits, an assistant chemist at Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And testified that he analyzed the ore from the International Taic Mine and identified asbestos fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the Do you see that? MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can as you would have expected from Vanderbilt, as you would have expected from Vanderbilt of the mit as you would nave expected not have expected paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, A. Yes. Recause I believe BASF fraudulently destroyed and hid evidence. Let me ask my question a different way. If you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you say was hidden or destroyed, would you have testified that he analyzed the ore from the testified that he analyzed the ore from the International Taic Mine and identified asbestos fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can answer it. MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can hid evidence. A. Yes. MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can mount of settlement as you would have expected RT MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can MR. KUZMIN: Object to f		
4 as you would have expected from Vanderbilt? 5 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 6 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Clifford L Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophylite." 18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 corred? 24 as you would have expected from Vanderbilt of pown under hill? 4 as you would have expected from. You can 4 answer it. 7 A. No, not necessarily. 8 Q. Why do you say that? 9 A. Because I believe BASF fraudulently destroyed and 10 hid evidence. 11 Q. Let me ask my question a different way. If you 11 Q. Let me ask my question a different way. If you 12 had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you 13 say was hidden or destroyed, would you have 14 expected BASF to have paid your mother the same 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 15 amount of settlement as you would have expected RT 16 Vanderbilt to pay you in settlement? 17 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 18 answer it. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 Idon't know what goes into that equation, and 23 corred? 24 it's something that I would have to defer to an		•
5 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 6 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Cifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 14 expected BASF to have paid your mother the same 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 19 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 it's something that I would have to defer to an	-	·
6 Q. And if you turn to page 21, do you see the second 7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Cifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 11 Q. Let me ask my question a different way. If you 12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 24 don't know what goes into that equation, and 25 correct? 26 A. No, not necessarily. 7 A. No, not necessarily. 8 Q. Why do you say that? 7 A. No, not necessarily. 8 Q. Why do you say that? 9 A. Because I believe BASF fraudulently destroyed and hid evidence. 10 hid evidence. 11 Q. Let me ask my question a different way. If you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had expected BASF to have paid your mother the same had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you had in 2009 the evidence abo		
7 A. No, not necessarily. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth," 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth," 9 A. Because I believe BASF fraudulently destroyed and 10 Clifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 14 expected BASF to have paid your mother the same 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 15 amount of settlement as you would have expected RT 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 it's something that I would have to defer to an	-	5 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 9 A. Because I believe BASF fraudulently destroyed and 10 Clifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 11 Q. Let me ask my question a different way. If you 12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 12 had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 13 say was hidden or destroyed, would you have 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 14 expected BASF to have paid your mother the same 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 15 amount of settlement as you would have expected RT 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 16 Vanderbilt to pay you in settlement? 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 19 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 24 ldon't know what goes into that equation, and 25 correct? 26 ldon't know what goes into that equation, and 26 it's something that I would have to defer to an		
9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth, 10 Clifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 29 A. Because I believe BASF fraudulently destroyed and 10 hid evidence. 10 hid evidence. 11 Q. Let me ask my question a different way. If you 11 A. Let me ask my question a different way. If you 12 had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you 13 say was hidden or destroyed, would you have 14 expected BASF to have paid your mother the same 15 amount of settlement as you would have expected RT 16 Vanderbilt to pay you in settlement? 17 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 18 answer it. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 the equation as to – as you've seen and said for 21 yourself, there's a variety of different payments. 22 I don't know what goes into that equation, and 23 correct?	7 full paragraph that begins, "Sixth"?	7 A. No, not necessarily.
10 Clifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at 11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and 12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And 13 then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 20 Let me ask my question a different way. If you 11 Q. Let me ask my question a different way. If you 11 Q. Let me ask my question a different way. If you 11 Q. Let me ask my question a different way. If you 11 Q. Let me ask my question a different way. If you 12 had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you 13 say was hidden or destroyed, would you have 14 expected BASF to have paid your mother the same 15 anount of settlement as you would have expected RT 16 Vanderbilt to pay you in settlement? 17 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 18 answer it. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 vourself, there's a variety of different payments. 22 I don't know what goes into that equation, and 23 correct? 23 it's something that I would have to defer to an	8 A. Yes.	8 Q. Why do you say that?
Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts testified that he analyzed the ore from the testified that he analyzed the ore from the International Talc Mine and identified asbestos International Talc Mine and identified asbestos International Talc Mine and anthrophyllite." International Talc Mine and identified asbestos International Talc Mine analyzed the ore from the International Talc Mine analyzed	9 Q. Page 21, that paragraph states, quote, "Sixth,	9 A. Because I believe BASF fraudulently destroyed and
then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts testified that he analyzed the ore from the testified that he analyzed the ore from the International Talc Mine and identified asbestos International Talc Mine and identified as	10 Clifford L. Kitts, an assistant chemist at	10 hid evidence.
then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts 13 say was hidden or destroyed, would you have 14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the 15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 13 say was hidden or destroyed, would you have 44 expected BASF to have paid your mother the same 45 amount of settlement as you would have expected RT 46 Vanderbilt to pay you in settlement? 47 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 48 answer it. 49 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 40 the equation as to – as you've seen and said for 41 yourself, there's a variety of different payments. 42 I don't know what goes into that equation, and 43 it's something that I would have to defer to an	11 Vanderbilt, was deposed on October 5, 1988," and	11 Q. Let me ask my question a different way. If you
testified that he analyzed the ore from the International Talc Mine and identified asbestos International Talc Mine and identified asbestos International Talc Mine and identified asbestos If amount of settlement as you would have expected RT If amount of settlement as you would have expected RT If amount of settlement as you would have expected RT If amount of settlement? If Vanderbilt to pay you in settlement? If MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can If answer it. If answer	12 then it cites that deposition as Exhibit 10. And	had in 2009 the evidence about EMTAL talc that you
15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 21 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 25 amount of settlement as you would have expected RT 26 Vanderbilt to pay you in settlement? 27 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 28 answer it. 29 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 29 the equation as to – as you've seen and said for 20 yourself, there's a variety of different payments. 21 I don't know what goes into that equation, and 22 it's something that I would have to defer to an	then it goes on to state, quote, "Mr. Kitts	13 say was hidden or destroyed, would you have
15 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 16 fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the 17 form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 21 International Talc Mine and identified asbestos 25 amount of settlement as you would have expected RT 26 Vanderbilt to pay you in settlement? 27 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 28 answer it. 29 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 29 the equation as to – as you've seen and said for 20 yourself, there's a variety of different payments. 21 I don't know what goes into that equation, and 22 it's something that I would have to defer to an	14 testified that he analyzed the ore from the	14 expected BASF to have paid your mother the same
fibers therein. The asbestos fibers were in the form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." NR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can answer it. NR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can answer it. A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into the equation as to – as you've seen and said for Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, correct? Idon't know what goes into that equation, and it's something that I would have to defer to an	·	
form of tremolite, chysotile and anthrophyllite." 17 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 20 the equation as to – as you've seen and said for 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 20 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can 28 answer it. 29 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 the equation as to – as you've seen and said for 21 yourself, there's a variety of different payments. 22 I don't know what goes into that equation, and 23 it's something that I would have to defer to an		
18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 20 the equation as to – as you've seen and said for 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 18 answer it. 19 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 the equation as to – as you've seen and said for 21 yourself, there's a variety of different payments. 22 I don't know what goes into that equation, and 23 correct? 24 it's something that I would have to defer to an		
19 A. Yes. 20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 21 A. I don't know because I don't know what goes into 20 the equation as to – as you've seen and said for 21 yourself, there's a variety of different payments. 22 I don't know what goes into that equation, and 23 it's something that I would have to defer to an		•
20 Q. So the Bevan firm also had evidence of 21 Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 20 the equation as to – as you've seen and said for 21 yourself, there's a variety of different payments. 22 I don't know what goes into that equation, and 23 it's something that I would have to defer to an	·	
Vanderbilt's scientists testifying in depositions 21 yourself, there's a variety of different payments. 22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 21 yourself, there's a variety of different payments. 22 I don't know what goes into that equation, and 23 it's something that I would have to defer to an		-
22 that there was asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, 23 correct? 24 I don't know what goes into that equation, and 25 it's something that I would have to defer to an		
23 correct? 23 it's something that I would have to defer to an	· ·	
	•	1 .
174 IVID. NOZIVIIN. COLECTOTOTI, TOLICAD 174 ANOTHEV.		
	-	,
25 answer it. 25 Q. So with respect to RT Vanderbilt, you had evidence	zo answeni.	25 Q. 50 With respect to KT Varioerbill, you had evidence

Pages 297..300

DONNETTE WENGE	
Page 297 1 that your mother was exposed to their talc,	Page 299 1 Vanderbilt to pay in settlement to resolve your
2 correct?	2 mother's asbestos case against Vanderbilt?
3 A. Correct.	3 A. No.
4 Q. You had evidence of their own internal documents	4 Q. Do you know how your mother's case against
5 saying there was asbestos in the talc, correct?	5 Vanderbilt ended?
6 A. Correct.	6 A. Not off the top of my head.
7 Q. That's the sort of evidence that the complaint in	7 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibits 105 and
8 this case alleges BASF didn't produce to you,	8 106 were marked for identification.)
9 right?	9 Q. I think you have two documents in front of you
10 A. That is correct.	10 now, Mrs. Wengerd. One we've marked as Defense
11 Q. So Vanderbilt gave you what the complaint here	11 Exhibit 105 and one we've marked as Defense
12 alleges BASF did not give you?	12 Exhibit 106. Do you have those in front of you?
13 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form.	13 A. Yes.
14 Q. Fair?	14 Q. So Defense Exhibit 105 is an e-mail chain. Up at
15 MR. KUZMIN: Oh, object to form. You an	15 the top it says refers to your mother's name.
16 answer it.	16 Do you see that, Jennifer Graham?
17 A. Correct.	17 A. Yes.
18 Q. You had evidence of RT Vanderbilt scientists	18 Q. If you turn, the first page of this has Bates
19 testifying in their deposition that their talc	19 stamp P-BEV-001014. Do you see that?
20 contained asbestos, right?	20 A. Yes.
21 A. Correct.	21 Q. Can you turn to the page that has on the bottom
22 Q. That's again another example of evidence that the	22 P-BEV-001017?
23 Williams complaint alleges was not provided to	23 A. Yes.
24 Mr. Bevan in connection with your mother's case by	24 Q. The first full paragraph on that page, this is an
25 BASF, right?	25 e-mail from a man named Bruce Mandel. Do you see
-	·
Page 298	Page 300 1 it says, quote, "But I ask you to look at your
2 Q. Okay. So my question is, if BASF had given you	2 cases against my two clients, RT Vanderbilt and
3 the internal tests like RT Vanderbilt did and BASF	3 Hart"? Do you see that?
	4 A. Yes.
	5 Q. So this is an e-mail from the lawyer who
6 and RT Vanderbilt would pay approximately the same	
7 amount in settlement?	7 case, correct?
8 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	8 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can
9 answer.	9 answer it.
10 A. Not necessarily. Again, that's something that, A,	10 A. Yes.
11 I'm not qualified to make that decision as to what	11 Q. If you look around halfway down in that paragraph,
12 would have been a decent number or a decent amount	12 do you see the sentence that begins, "It is, I
to settle. That's something I would have	13 guess, unfortunate"?
14 discussed with my attorney. And the facts in the	14 A. Yes.
15 case didn't go quite the way that you're asking.	15 Q. It says, quote, "It is, I guess, unfortunate from
16 You're asking me about a hypothetical. I'm not	16 your side that my client was unwilling to respond
17 going to give you a hypothetical answer. My	17 monetarily to your \$25,000 demand, but that is
18 consistent answer has been that I would seek	18 life. Sometimes we settle, sometimes we don't.
19 counsel from my attorney.	19 You wouldn't have demanded only \$25,000 if you
20 Q. So whatever Mr. Bevan recommended is what you	20 didn't know that you had a very weak case against
21 would have done?	21 RTV, if one at all." Do you see that?
22 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can	•
	22 A. Yes.
23 answer it.	
23 answer it.	23 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Bevan demanded only
_	23 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Bevan demanded only

MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. You can

3 A. I don't remember if he would have consulted me

5 Q. Do you have any basis to dispute that Mr. Bevan

9 Q. Did Mr. Bevan discuss the \$25,000 settlement

12 Q. Do you have any document, other writing from

13 anyone at the Bevan firm concerning potential

14 settlement with Vanderbilt company?

mother's claim against Vanderbilt?

asked RT Vanderbilt to pay \$25,000 to settle your

1

2

answer it.

10 demand with you?

11 A. I don't recall.

4 about this.

8 A. No.

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018

Pages 301..304 Page 301 Page 303 sentences say, quote, "The main issue presented to court was whether Vanderbilt's talc product 2 contained asbestos. As of August 10, 2009, Plaintiff failed to submit expert reports on asbestos content. The experts' reports submitted do not provide any explanation for the changes in 6 studies from NIOSH, the Bureau of Mines and OSHA. Vanderbilt's motion for summary judgment is 9 granted." Do you see all that? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. So you had evidence that your mother was exposed to Vanderbilt's talc, evidence of asbestos in Vanderbilt's talc, but the court dismissed your case against Vanderbilt on summary judgment, on. gainst our Page 304 ad ral of you vith ıs to could at ay. ou can we

14	settlement with vanoerblit company?	14 case against vanderbilt on summary judgment,
15 /	A. That would have to be provided by Mr. Bevan's	15 correct?
16	office.	16 MR. KUZMIN: Object to form. Document
17 (Q. To your knowledge, does any document like that	17 speaks for itself. Mischaracterization of
18	exist?	the document. You can answer the question.
19 /	A. I don't know.	19 A. Again, the document speaks for itself, that that
20 (Q. If you look at the other document I just gave you,	20 is what you read.
21	Defense Exhibit 106.	21 Q. That your - the court dismissed your case against
22 /	A. Yes.	22 Vanderbilt despite the evidence you had of your
23 (Q. This is a copy of the docket sheet in your	23 mother's exposure to Vanderbilt's talc and
24	mother's asbestos case. Do you see up at the top	24 evidence of asbestos in their talc, correct?
25	it says, "Estate of Jennifer Graham versus	25 MR. KUZMIN: Same objection. You can
	Page	9 302
1	Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, et cetera," and	1 answer the question.
2	the case number is given CV-08-656405?	2 A. Yes.
3 A	. Yes.	3 MR. FARRELL: Why don't we take a
4 (). So this is filings and other information from your	4 five-minute break and go off the record.
5	mother's case, correct?	5 (Recess was taken.)
6 A	. Yes.	6 MR. FARRELL: Back on the record.
7 0). I asked you a few minutes ago about how your	7 BY MR. FARRELL:
8	mother's claims against Vanderbilt ended. Do you	8 Q. So, Mrs. Wengerd, at the start of the day I had
9	remember that?	9 been asking you a number of questions, several of
10 /	A. Yes.	10 which Mr. Kuzmin objected to and instructed you
11 (Q. If you go down on the first page of Defense	11 not to answer. We then had our discussion with
12	Exhibit 106, you see the second entry that's dated	12 the Special Discovery Master where he told us to
13	August 13th, 2009? It's maybe two-thirds of the	go back to those same questions so that you could
14	way down.	answer them subject to a 502(d) order, not that
15 /	A. Yes.	15 you need to know the details of it. Mr. Kuzmin
16 (Q. The second entry dated August 13th, 2009 says,	16 will know what that means.
17	"Defendant RT Vanderbilt moves this court for an	17 MR. KUZMIN: For the most part, anyway.
18	order dismissing case. Vanderbilt's motion to	18 Q. I'm going to go back to those questions so you can
19	dismiss is converted to a motion for summary	19 respond to them fully and we'll figure out what we
20	judgment. Both Vanderbilt and Plaintiff argued	20 need to figure out with the court. Okay?
21	materials and evidence outside complaint. This	21 A. Okay.
22	court converts motion to dismiss to a motion for	22 Q. So earlier today you were talking to me about the
23	summary judgment." Do you see all that?	23 meeting you had with Mr. Bevan, Mr. Coren, I think
24 /	A. Yes.	24 Jared Placitella shortly before your April 2017
25 (Q. And then the next, I guess it's two or three	25 deposition. I asked you earlier today, what did

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 305..308

DONNETTE WENCE	3K 05/12/2010 1 ages	
Page 305 1 Mr. Bevan tell you at that meeting shortly before	1 meeting at Mr. Bevan's office to just review what	Page 307
	1 meeting at Mr. Bevan's office to just review what 2 was going to happen at a deposition and just to go	
•		
3 MR. KUZMIN: Okay. Now, pursuant – as	3 over checking in about the case in general. But	
4 Mr. Farrell stated, pursuant to our call with	4 there was no actual exchange of information other	
the Special Master whereby Federal Rule of	5 than generalities about meeting you here and about	
6 Evidence 502(d) order was entered,	6 having to go through a deposition.	
7 Ms. Wengerd may answer that question. I am	7 Q. What did Mr. Bevan say?	
8 instructing her to do so without waiver of	8 A. I don't even recall anything specific that he said	
9 the previously asserted privilege. So,	9 other than acknowledging, oh, okay, good to know.	
10 Ms. Wengerd, please answer the question.	10 You know, basically where you're at in the case	
11 A. The only thing that I recall discussing with	11 and what's coming up.	
12 Mr. Bevan was general hello; how are your	12 Q. What did you say to Mr. Bevan during this	
13 children; your mom was such a nice lady; your	13 conversation?	
14 grandfather was such a funny guy; I really enjoyed	14 A. Well, we I told him about my children, because	
15 working with him during his case.	15 he asked. I think we discussed my mom briefly of,	
16 I don't recall any specific advice given	16 yeah, she was a great lady, I really miss her a	
17 to me by Mr. Bevan regarding the Williams case.	17 lot, things of that nature. But nothing that was	
18 He was just aware that I was there for a meeting	18 case specific. And we laughed about a joke my	
19 with Mr. Coren to discuss the case. I don't	19 grandfather made all those years ago about a dog	
20 recall him giving me any specific advice or us	20 dying. That's it.	
21 discussing the Williams case.	21 Q. What did you say to Mr. Coren while you were there	
22 Q. What, if anything, did Mr. Coren say while you	22 talking with Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan?	
23 were there with Mr. Bevan?	23 A. I think Mr. Bevan told Mr. Coren that he	
24 MR. KUZMIN: Again, subject to the entry	24 represented my grandfather and just told him that	
25 of the 502(d) order by the Special Master	25 joke while he was – Mr. Coren was there. And	
	·	D
Page 306 1 earlier today, Ms. Wengerd may answer the	1 just generally that my mom was such a nice lady	Page 308
2 question without waiver of the previously	2 and it was just a shame what happened to her and	
3 asserted privilege. Ms. Wengerd, please	3 it was such a short time that we had to be with	
4 answer the question.	4 her before we before she passed. Basically,	
5 A. From what I recall, the two just exchanged polite	5 that's all I remember.	
6 pleasantries of hi, how you been, it's been a	6 Q. Did Mr. Bevan join you and Mr. Coren to discuss	
7 while, comments of that nature. And the two	7 your preparation for your April 2017 deposition?	
8 discussed that I was to have an upcoming	8 A. No.9 Q. He never came back into the room while you were	
9 deposition, but no other information that I can	⊥ 🤊 🔾. ⊓e never came back into the room while vou werê	
10 recell was discussed while Mr. Deven was in the		
	10 talking about deposition preparation?	
11 room.	10 talking about deposition preparation?11 A. No.	
11 room.12 Q. When you say the two of them, you mean Mr. Coren	 10 talking about deposition preparation? 11 A. No. 12 Q. I recall at your April 2017 deposition you told me 	
 room. Q. When you say the two of them, you mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan? 	 10 talking about deposition preparation? 11 A. No. 12 Q. I recall at your April 2017 deposition you told me 13 you met with them, by "them" I mean Mr. Coren and 	
 room. Q. When you say the two of them, you mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan? A. Correct. 	 talking about deposition preparation? A. No. Q. I recall at your April 2017 deposition you told me you met with them, by "them" I mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan, on the Tuesday before that deposition 	
 room. Q. When you say the two of them, you mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan? A. Correct. Q. When you say Mr. Coren and Bevan discussed the 	 talking about deposition preparation? A. No. Q. I recall at your April 2017 deposition you told me you met with them, by "them" I mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan, on the Tuesday before that deposition and then you also told me there was another 	
 11 room. 12 Q. When you say the two of them, you mean Mr. Coren 13 and Mr. Bevan? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. When you say Mr. Coren and Bevan discussed the 16 fact that you were going to have an upcoming 	 talking about deposition preparation? A. No. Q. I recall at your April 2017 deposition you told me you met with them, by "them" I mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan, on the Tuesday before that deposition and then you also told me there was another meeting several weeks before that. 	
 room. Q. When you say the two of them, you mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan? A. Correct. Q. When you say Mr. Coren and Bevan discussed the fact that you were going to have an upcoming deposition, can you elaborate on that? 	 talking about deposition preparation? A. No. Q. I recall at your April 2017 deposition you told me you met with them, by "them" I mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan, on the Tuesday before that deposition and then you also told me there was another meeting several weeks before that. A. Correct. 	
 11 room. 12 Q. When you say the two of them, you mean Mr. Coren 13 and Mr. Bevan? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. When you say Mr. Coren and Bevan discussed the 16 fact that you were going to have an upcoming 17 deposition, can you elaborate on that? 18 MR. KUZMIN: Again, subject to the 	 talking about deposition preparation? A. No. Q. I recall at your April 2017 deposition you told me you met with them, by "them" I mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan, on the Tuesday before that deposition and then you also told me there was another meeting several weeks before that. A. Correct. Q. Do you remember that? 	
 room. Q. When you say the two of them, you mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan? A. Correct. Q. When you say Mr. Coren and Bevan discussed the fact that you were going to have an upcoming deposition, can you elaborate on that? MR. KUZMIN: Again, subject to the previously asserted statement that I made, do 	 talking about deposition preparation? A. No. Q. I recall at your April 2017 deposition you told me you met with them, by "them" I mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan, on the Tuesday before that deposition and then you also told me there was another meeting several weeks before that. A. Correct. Q. Do you remember that? A. Yes. 	
 room. Q. When you say the two of them, you mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan? A. Correct. Q. When you say Mr. Coren and Bevan discussed the fact that you were going to have an upcoming deposition, can you elaborate on that? MR. KUZMIN: Again, subject to the previously asserted statement that I made, do I have an agreement that this would now carry 	 talking about deposition preparation? A. No. Q. I recall at your April 2017 deposition you told me you met with them, by "them" I mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan, on the Tuesday before that deposition and then you also told me there was another meeting several weeks before that. A. Correct. Q. Do you remember that? 	
 room. Q. When you say the two of them, you mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan? A. Correct. Q. When you say Mr. Coren and Bevan discussed the fact that you were going to have an upcoming deposition, can you elaborate on that? MR. KUZMIN: Again, subject to the previously asserted statement that I made, do I have an agreement that this would now carry 	 talking about deposition preparation? A. No. Q. I recall at your April 2017 deposition you told me you met with them, by "them" I mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan, on the Tuesday before that deposition and then you also told me there was another meeting several weeks before that. A. Correct. Q. Do you remember that? A. Yes. 	
 room. Q. When you say the two of them, you mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan? A. Correct. Q. When you say Mr. Coren and Bevan discussed the fact that you were going to have an upcoming deposition, can you elaborate on that? MR. KUZMIN: Again, subject to the previously asserted statement that I made, do I have an agreement that this would now carry 	 talking about deposition preparation? A. No. Q. I recall at your April 2017 deposition you told me you met with them, by "them" I mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan, on the Tuesday before that deposition and then you also told me there was another meeting several weeks before that. A. Correct. Q. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Who was at the meeting with Mr. Bevan and 	
 room. Q. When you say the two of them, you mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan? A. Correct. Q. When you say Mr. Coren and Bevan discussed the fact that you were going to have an upcoming deposition, can you elaborate on that? MR. KUZMIN: Again, subject to the previously asserted statement that I made, do I have an agreement that this would now carry over to this line of questioning? 	 talking about deposition preparation? A. No. Q. I recall at your April 2017 deposition you told me you met with them, by "them" I mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan, on the Tuesday before that deposition and then you also told me there was another meeting several weeks before that. A. Correct. Q. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Who was at the meeting with Mr. Bevan and Mr. Coren several weeks before your deposition? 	
 room. Q. When you say the two of them, you mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan? A. Correct. Q. When you say Mr. Coren and Bevan discussed the fact that you were going to have an upcoming deposition, can you elaborate on that? MR. KUZMIN: Again, subject to the previously asserted statement that I made, do I have an agreement that this would now carry over to this line of questioning? MR. FARRELL: Yes. 	 talking about deposition preparation? A. No. Q. I recall at your April 2017 deposition you told me you met with them, by "them" I mean Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan, on the Tuesday before that deposition and then you also told me there was another meeting several weeks before that. A. Correct. Q. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Who was at the meeting with Mr. Bevan and Mr. Coren several weeks before your deposition? A. Mr. Bevan, I'm — I can't remember if I saw him. 	

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 309..312

DONNETTE MENGE	_
Page 309	Page 311
 That would have been the lady and the gentleman, and I think her name was Kristen, and that was to 	1 to answer the question, and by answering is
•	2 not waiving any previously asserted
3 fill out the interrogatories.	3 privilege. So you please answer the
4 Q. Okay. Did anyone from the Bevan firm participate	4 question.
5 in that meeting regarding your responses to	5 A. I was introduced to Mr. Coren and he explained
6 interrogatories?	6 that BASF was a company that they had tried to
7 A. No.	7 pursue and the case was thrown out, I believe, due
8 Q. Was Kristen and Jared Placitella from	8 to lack of evidence and that Mr. Coren was
9 A. Ithink so.	9 continuing to seek damages against BASF because
10 Q. And you?	10 they feel that there was fraud that transpired
11 A. Yes.	11 that prevented me from being able to pursue a case
12 Q. Just the three of you?	12 against BASF.
13 A. Yes.	13 Once he introduced me to Mr. Coren,
14 Q. Did anyone from the Bevan firm provide information	14 Mr. Bevan left and Mr. Coren, again, tried to
15 that went into your responses to those	15 explain to me a little bit about what a class
16 interrogatories?	16 action is, what my role would be. Just the
17 A. Not that I'm aware of.	17 generalities of what the case was about. And
18 Q. Have you contacted anyone at the Bevan firm to ask	18 that's all I recall.
19 them for information to respond to discovery	19 Q. What did they tell you the case was about?
20 requests in this case?	20 MR. KUZMIN: Again, I think subject to
21 A. No.	21 the 502(d), Ms. Wengerd, you can answer that.
22 Q. During the meeting that was the Tuesday before	22 A. The case, from my understanding and from what I
23 your deposition with Mr. Coren, Mr. Bevan and	23 recall of them explaining to me, was that talc was
24 Jared Placitella, were you shown any documents?	24 contaminated with asbestos or asbestos-containing
25 A. I don't think so.	25 talc, whichever, and that it was present
Page 310	Page 312
1 Q. What about in the meeting with Jared Placitella	1 with – at my mother's work and that she was
2 and Kristen from the Cohen Placitella firm, were	2 exposed or could have been exposed while she was
3 you shown any documents in that meeting?	3 working there, and that we tried to pursue filing
4 A. The interrogatories.	4 against the company, but because of lack of
5 Q. Anything else?	5 evidence, we couldn't and that the company
6 A. No.	6 fraudulently destroyed and hid evidence which
7 Q. You also we discussed earlier today that you	7 prevented Mr. Bevan and myself from pursuing a
8 had a meeting with Mr. Bevan and Mr. Coren at	8 case. And that's why they were continuing to seek
9 Mr. Bevan's office shortly before the Williams	9 justice through the legal system, to get justice
10 case was filed. Do you remember that?	10 for my mother because there was fraud that
11 A. Yes.	11 transpired.
12 Q. Does early 2011 sound correct?	12 Q. Did they talk to you about why you were going to
13 A. I don't remember when, but that could be.	13 be a plaintiff in this case as opposed to some
14 Q. It was shortly before the original complaint was	14 other person who had filed a case against BASF in
15 filed in this case?	15 the past?
16 A. Yes.	16 MR. KUZMIN: Okay. Peter, I think we're
17 Q. Mr. Bevan was there, Mr. Coren was there. Anybody	17 starting to get into conversations where
17 Q. Mi. bevari was triefe, Mi. Colen was triefe. Anybody 18 else?	17 starting to get into conversations where 18 Mr. Bevan was not present. I don't know if
19 A. I don't think so. I don't recall.	19 you want to clarify that or not. I think we
20 Q. How long did that meeting last?	20 would clearly be into attorney-client
04 A Laboration II	21 privilege if it was between Ms. Wengerd and
21 A. Idon't recall.	00 Ma Commonwell and
22 Q. What did Mr. Bevan tell you at that meeting?	22 Mr. Coren or whoever was here.
 Q. What did Mr. Bevan tell you at that meeting? MR. KUZMIN: Okay. And again, subject to 	23 Q. Let me try to be more precise. Mr. Bevan is who
22 Q. What did Mr. Bevan tell you at that meeting?	

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 313..316

Pa(1 A. Correct.	ge 313 Page 315 1 Q. Did you say anything other than what you've
2 Q. Did he contact you and say I'm referring you to	2 already told me?
3 this law firm or did you first just hear from	3 A. Not that I remember.
4 Cohen Placitella out of the blue?	4 Q. So did Mr. Bevan tell you during this phone
5 A. I heard from Mr. – from Mr. Bevan first.	5 conversation how much this case could be worth?
6 Q. Okay. When did that happen?	6 A. No.
7 A. Prior to my initial meeting with Mr. Coren.	7 Q. So you had a phone call with Mr. Bevan. He
8 Q. Was this a meeting with Mr. Bevan or was it a	8 explained I want you to come in and meet with some
9 phone call?	9 other lawyers, right?
10 A. I think it was a phone call.	10 A. Correct.
11 Q. Roughly when was that phone call?	11 Q. And then the next thing that happened was this
12 A. I don't remember.	12 meeting with Bevan, Coren, and maybe Jared
13 Q. What did Mr. Bevan tell you during this phone call	13 Placitella?
14 about the referral to the Cohen Placitella firm?	14 A. No, I think I only met with Mr. Coren the first
MR. KUZMIN: I think that this would be	15 time. That's all I remember. I don't think I met
subject to attorney-client privilege.	16 Jared at that time.
17 However, pursuant to the entry for the 502	17 Q. So just Mr. Coren?
18 order by the Special Master, I will allow	18 A. Right.
19 Ms. Wengerd to answer without waiving such	19 Q. What did Mr. Coren say to you during the portion
20 privilege. Ms. Wengerd, please answer the	20 of the meeting when Mr. Bevan was present?
21 question.	21 MR. KUZMIN: And again, to the extent
22 A. Honestly, I'm not sure quite how the phone	there may be attorney-client privilege
23 conversation went, just that he wanted me to come	23 implicated subject to the 502(d) order,
into the office and to meet with someone regarding	24 Ms. Wengerd may answer the question without
25 my mom's case. I don't remember any specifics	25 waiver of the previously asserted privilege.
	ge 314 Page 316
1 over the phone or prior to discussion other than	1 So, Ms. Wengerd, please answer the question.
2 my meeting there. And when I showed up for the	2 A. The two just tried to explain to me what the
3 meeting, I didn't have any expectations of what	3 referral was about and why they were referring my
4 was going to transpire, so I got to meet Mr. Coren	4 case is because they felt that in my particular
5 just as, you know, it was presented to me. I had	5 case, I was unable to pursue a case because of the
6 no previous information about him, the firm, his	6 fraud issue, and that significantly impacted my
7 case he was looking for, nothing.	7 mother's case.
8 Q. During this phone call with Mr. Bevan, did he say	8 Q. Did they say anything else?
9 why he wanted you in particular to meet with the	9 MR. KUZMIN: Again, subject to the
10 Cohen Placitella firm?	10 502(d), you can answer.
11 A. No, I don't think so.	11 A. No, other than generalities of trying to explain
12 Q. Did Mr. Bevan talk to you about what you could	the case to me and what the case was about and why
13 expect to recover as damages in this case?	that they felt fraud transpired in my case and
14 A. No.	14 couldn't go forward. No.
15 Q. Did you ask Mr. Bevan any questions?	15 Q. You said before that they told you that something
16 A. Just if that was what he suggested I do and if he	16 significantly impacted your mother's case. What
17 thought that was the best advice, was to work with	17 did you understand them to mean by that?
 18 Mr. Coren's firm and he did. He felt that it was 19 a confident he was confident about the referral 	18 A. The fraud that transpired. The hiding and
	destruction of evidence by the law firm that was
20 to this firm.	20 representing EMTAL and BASF, that they hid and
21 Q. How long did this phone call last?	21 destroyed evidence which again, therefore, when we
22 A. Oh, no more than a few minutes, maybe.	asked for evidence or asked for documentation to
23 Q. Did Mr. Bevan say anything other than what you've	23 be presented, it couldn't be because it had been
24 already told me?	24 destroyed or hidden.
25 A. Not that I remember.	25 Q. Did they say anything else?

Pages 317..320

	,,,,,		
Page 317 1 MR. KUZMIN: Again, Peter, do I have a	1	answers to? I mean, there's nothing that you	Page 319
2 502(d)?	2	feel you've been precluded from asking or	
3 MR. FARRELL: Yes.	3	getting an answer to subject to the 502	
4 MR. KUZMIN: Okay. Please answer the	4	restrictions? Anything like that?	
5 question.	5	MR. FARRELL: I've asked the questions	
6 A. Not that I recall.	6	that I asked earlier today	
7 Q. Have you now told me everything that Mr. Coren	7	MR. KUZMIN: Right.	
8 told you while Mr. Bevan was present?	8	MR. FARRELL: that she was instructed	
9 A. Yes.	9	not to answer, so yes, I think I covered what	
10 Q. Did you ask any questions of Mr. Coren while	10	I wanted to cover today.	
11 Mr. Bevan was present?	11	MR. KUZMIN: Okay. Very good. Is there	
12 A. Idon't recall.	12	anyone on the phone who has anything? Not	
13 Q. Did you ask any questions of Mr. Bevan?	13	hearing anything. Good to go?	
14 A. Idon't recall.	14	MR. FARRELL: No questions from	
15 Q. So we've talked about a meeting a couple of weeks	15	Plaintiffs?	
16 before your last deposition, a meeting it double of weeks	16	MR. KUZMIN: Thave nothing. We'll	
17 before your last deposition, a phone call with	17	reserve.	
Mr. Bevan when he referred you to the Cohen	18	leserve.	
-		(Signature was not waived by the Witness)	
 19 Placitella firm and then this initial meeting with 20 Mr. Coren and Mr. Bevan about this case, right? 	19 20	(Signature was not waived by the Witness.)	
21 A. Correct.		(The descrition was conducted at 12:40 n m.)	
	21 22	(The deposition was concluded at 12:40 p.m.)	
22 Q. Have you had any other communications with anyone	23		
 at the Bevan firm regarding the Williams case? A. No, other than when I turned in the documents, 	23		
	25		
they were to be forwarded to the Placitella firm.	25		
Page 318		WITNESS SERTIFICATE	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in	1	WITNESS CERTIFICATE	Page 320
 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in regards to this matter, I'm sure. 			Page 320
 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in regards to this matter, I'm sure. Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 	1 2 3	WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case	Page 320
 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in regards to this matter, I'm sure. Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? A. No. 	1 2 3 4 1 5 0	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts,	Page 320
 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in regards to this matter, I'm sure. Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? A. No. Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said	Page 320
 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in regards to this matter, I'm sure. Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? A. No. Q. You just handed them the documents and that was it? 	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 0	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my	Page 320
 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in regards to this matter, I'm sure. Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? A. No. Q. You just handed them the documents and that was it? A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 0	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said	Page 320
 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in regards to this matter, I'm sure. Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? A. No. Q. You just handed them the documents and that was it? A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my	Page 320
 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in regards to this matter, I'm sure. Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? A. No. Q. You just handed them the documents and that was it? A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure they got to where they needed to go, to the other 	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm.	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet. Donnette Wengerd	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting,	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11 12	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet.	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting, 12 have you had any other meetings with the Cohen	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet. Donnette Wengerd	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting, 12 have you had any other meetings with the Cohen 13 Placitella firm regarding the basis for the	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11 12 13	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet. Donnette Wengerd	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting, 12 have you had any other meetings with the Cohen 13 Placitella firm regarding the basis for the 14 Williams case, the facts underlying the case?	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet. Donnette Wengerd	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting, 12 have you had any other meetings with the Cohen 13 Placitella firm regarding the basis for the 14 Williams case, the facts underlying the case? 15 A. Not that I recall.	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet. Donnette Wengerd Dated this day of, 2018.	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting, 12 have you had any other meetings with the Cohen 13 Placitella firm regarding the basis for the 14 Williams case, the facts underlying the case? 15 A. Not that I recall. 16 Q. So just that first meeting with Mr. Coren and	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet.	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting, 12 have you had any other meetings with the Cohen 13 Placitella firm regarding the basis for the 14 Williams case, the facts underlying the case? 15 A. Not that I recall. 16 Q. So just that first meeting with Mr. Coren and 17 Mr. Bevan?	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet. Donnette Wengerd Dated this day of, 2018.	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting, 12 have you had any other meetings with the Cohen 13 Placitella firm regarding the basis for the 14 Williams case, the facts underlying the case? 15 A. Not that I recall. 16 Q. So just that first meeting with Mr. Coren and 17 Mr. Bevan? 18 A. The first meeting and then the meeting to fill out	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet. Donnette Wengerd Dated this day of, 2018.	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting, 12 have you had any other meetings with the Cohen 13 Placitella firm regarding the basis for the 14 Williams case, the facts underlying the case? 15 A. Not that I recall. 16 Q. So just that first meeting with Mr. Coren and 17 Mr. Bevan? 18 A. The first meeting and then the meeting to fill out 19 the interrogatories and the meeting prior to the	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet. Donnette Wengerd Dated this day of, 2018.	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting, 12 have you had any other meetings with the Cohen 13 Placitella firm regarding the basis for the 14 Williams case, the facts underlying the case? 15 A. Not that I recall. 16 Q. So just that first meeting with Mr. Coren and 17 Mr. Bevan? 18 A. The first meeting and then the meeting to fill out 19 the interrogatories and the meeting prior to the 20 April deposition. That's all.	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet. Donnette Wengerd Dated this day of, 2018. Sworn to and subscribed before me this day of, 2018.	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting, 12 have you had any other meetings with the Cohen 13 Placitella firm regarding the basis for the 14 Williams case, the facts underlying the case? 15 A. Not that I recall. 16 Q. So just that first meeting with Mr. Coren and 17 Mr. Bevan? 18 A. The first meeting and then the meeting to fill out 19 the interrogatories and the meeting prior to the 20 April deposition. That's all. 21 Q. Other than those three, that's it?	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 N	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet. Donnette Wengerd Dated this day of, 2018. Sworn to and subscribed before me this	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting, 12 have you had any other meetings with the Cohen 13 Placitella firm regarding the basis for the 14 Williams case, the facts underlying the case? 15 A. Not that I recall. 16 Q. So just that first meeting with Mr. Coren and 17 Mr. Bevan? 18 A. The first meeting and then the meeting to fill out 19 the interrogatories and the meeting prior to the 20 April deposition. That's all. 21 Q. Other than those three, that's it? 22 A. Correct.	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet. Donnette Wengerd Dated this day of, 2018. Sworn to and subscribed before me this day of, 2018.	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting, 12 have you had any other meetings with the Cohen 13 Placitella firm regarding the basis for the 14 Williams case, the facts underlying the case? 15 A. Not that I recall. 16 Q. So just that first meeting with Mr. Coren and 17 Mr. Bevan? 18 A. The first meeting and then the meeting to fill out 19 the interrogatories and the meeting prior to the 20 April deposition. That's all. 21 Q. Other than those three, that's it? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Okay. Okay. I think that's all I have.	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 N 22	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet. Donnette Wengerd Dated this day of, 2018. Sworn to and subscribed before me this day of, 2018.	Page 320
1 I dropped them off, and they knew it was in 2 regards to this matter, I'm sure. 3 Q. Did you discuss anything with anyone? 4 A. No. 5 Q. You just handed them the documents and that was 6 it? 7 A. Yeah. I told them this is what was asked of me to 8 drop off and that the Bevan firm would make sure 9 they got to where they needed to go, to the other 10 law firm. 11 Q. Without telling me what was said in the meeting, 12 have you had any other meetings with the Cohen 13 Placitella firm regarding the basis for the 14 Williams case, the facts underlying the case? 15 A. Not that I recall. 16 Q. So just that first meeting with Mr. Coren and 17 Mr. Bevan? 18 A. The first meeting and then the meeting to fill out 19 the interrogatories and the meeting prior to the 20 April deposition. That's all. 21 Q. Other than those three, that's it? 22 A. Correct.	1 2 3 4 1 5 6 1 7 6 8 1 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 N 22 23	I, DONNETTE WENGERD, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition taken on March 12, 2018, in the case of Kimberlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF Catalysts, LLC, et al., consisting of 131 pages, and that said deposition is a true and correct transcription of my testimony with changes as noted on the errata sheet. Donnette Wengerd Dated this day of, 2018. Sworn to and subscribed before me this day of, 2018.	Page 320

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 Pages 321..322

			_	
1	ERRATA SHEET	Page 321		
	me: Donnette Wengerd			
	osition: March 12, 2018			
	perlee Williams, et al. Versus BASF			
Catalysts, LI				
5	,			
6 Page Line	Change and Reason for Change			
7		_		
8 8		_		
9		_		
10				
11		_		
12				
13		_		
14		_		
15				
	_			
AP				
	5.0.1.5.0.4.7.5	Page 322		
1 C 2 STATE OF C	ERTIFICATE HIO,)	Page 322		
1 C 2 STATE OF C	HIO,) :	Page 322		
1 C 2 STATE OF C) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W	iHIO,) : UNTY.) ⁽ . Patrick, a Registered Merit Reporter,	Page 322		
1 C 2 STATE OF C 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real	iHIO,) : UNTY.) ^f . Patrick, a Registered Merit Reporter, time Reporter and Notary Public within	Page 322		
1 C 2 STATE OF C) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do h	iHIO,) : UNTY.) '. Patrick, a Registered Merit Reporter, time Reporter and Notary Public within tate of Ohio, duly commissioned and ereby certify that the within-named	Page 322		
1 C 2 STATE OF C 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO	iHIO,) : UNTY.) '. Patrick, a Registered Merit Reporter, time Reporter and Notary Public within tate of Ohio, duly commissioned and	Page 322		
1 C 2 STATE OF C) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th	iHIO,) : UNTY.) / Patrick, a Registered Merit Reporter, time Reporter and Notary Public within tate of Ohio, duly commissioned and tereby certify that the within-named NNETTE WENGERD, was by me first duly sworn ruth, the whole truth and nothing but e cause aforesaid; that the testimony so	Page 322		
1 C 2 STATE OF C) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do h 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her w	iHIO,) : UNTY:) / Patrick, a Registered Merit Reporter, time Reporter and Notary Public within tate of Ohio, duly commissioned and erereby certify that the within-named NNETTE WENGERD, was by me first duly sworn ruth, the whole truth and nothing but	Page 322		
1 C 2 STATE OF C 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do h 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her w 8 presence of s produced by h	in i	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her w 8 presence of s produced by 9 that the foreg of the testimo	in i	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her v 8 presence of s produced by v 9 that the foreg of the testimo	in in it. I	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do h 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her w 8 presence of s produced by 9 that the forec of the testimo 10 I do furthe 11 taken at the	in in in it is in in it is in	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her w 8 presence of s produced by 9 that the forec of the testimo 10 I do furthe 11 taken at the	in i	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF C	in in it. I	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her w 8 presence of s produced by 9 that the forec of the testimo 10 1 do furthe 11 taken at the specified, and 12 1 do furthe 13 employee of otherwise fina	in in it is a separate of the same of the	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do h 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her w 8 presence of s produced by i 9 that the foreg of the testimo 10 I do furthe 11 taken at the specified, and 12 I do furthe 13 employee of otherwise fina	IHIO,) : UNTY:) / Patrick, a Registered Merit Reporter, time Reporter and Notary Public within tate of Ohio, duly commissioned and wereby certify that the within-named NNETTE WENGERD, was by me first duly sworn ruth, the whole truth and nothing but e cause aforesaid; that the testimony so was by me reduced to Stenotypy in the said witness; afterwards prepared and means of Computer-Aided Transcription, and oing is a true and correct transcription my so given by her as aforesaid. - certify that this deposition was time and place in the foregoing caption I was completed without adjournment. - certify that I am not a relative, or attorney for any party or counsel, or incially interested in this action.	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her w 8 presence of s produced by 9 that the foreg of the testimo 10 I do furthe 11 taken at the specified, and 12 I do furthe 13 employee of otherwise fina 14 I do furthe 15 court reporti	UNTY:) 7. Patrick, a Registered Merit Reporter, time Reporter and Notary Public within tate of Ohio, duly commissioned and elereby certify that the within-named NNETTE WENGERD, was by me first duly sworn ruth, the whole truth and nothing but e cause aforesaid; that the testimony so was by me reduced to Stenotypy in the said witness; afterwards prepared and eneans of Computer-Aided Transcription, and oing is a true and correct transcription my so given by her as aforesaid. To certify that this deposition was time and place in the foregoing caption was completed without adjournment. To certify that I am not a relative, or attorney for any party or counsel, or incially interested in this action.	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her w 8 presence of s produced by 9 that the foreg of the testimo 10 I do furthe 11 taken at the specified, and 12 I do furthe 13 employee of otherwise fina 14 I do furthe 15 court reporti	in in it is in it is in it is	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CO) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her v 8 presence of y 9 that the foreg of the testimo 10 I do furthe 11 taken at the specified, and 12 I do furthe 13 employee of otherwise fine 14 I do furthe 15 court reporti a contract as 16 17 IN WITNI	including the content of the content	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her v 8 presence of s produced by 9 that the foreg of the testimo 10 I do furthe 11 taken at the specified, and 12 I do furthe 13 employee of otherwise fina 14 I do furthe 15 court reporti a contract as 16 17 IN WITNI and affixed m 18 day of Marcl	UNTY:) 7. Patrick, a Registered Merit Reporter, time Reporter and Notary Public within tate of Ohio, duly commissioned and elereby certify that the within-named NNETTE WENGERD, was by me first duly sworn ruth, the whole truth and nothing but e cause aforesaid; that the testimony so was by me reduced to Stenotypy in the said witness; afterwards prepared and eleans of Computer-Aided Transcription, and oing is a true and correct transcription my so given by her as aforesaid. 7. certify that this deposition was time and place in the foregoing caption was completed without adjournment. 8. certify that I am not a relative, or attorney for any party or counsel, or incially interested in this action. 8. certify that I am not, nor is the nor firm with which I am affiliated, under defined in Civil Rule 28(D).	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her v 8 presence of s produced by I 9 that the fore of the testimo 10 I do furthe 11 taken at the specified, and 12 I do furthe 13 employee of otherwise fina 14 I do furthe 15 court reporti a contract as 16 17 IN WITNI and affixed m 18 day of March 19	UNTY:) 7. Patrick, a Registered Merit Reporter, time Reporter and Notary Public within tate of Ohio, duly commissioned and elereby certify that the within-named NNETTE WENGERD, was by me first duly sworn ruth, the whole truth and nothing but e cause aforesaid; that the testimony so was by me reduced to Stenotypy in the said witness; afterwards prepared and eleans of Computer-Aided Transcription, and oing is a true and correct transcription my so given by her as aforesaid. 7. certify that this deposition was time and place in the foregoing caption was completed without adjournment. 8. certify that I am not a relative, or attorney for any party or counsel, or incially interested in this action. 8. certify that I am not, nor is the nor firm with which I am affiliated, under defined in Civil Rule 28(D).	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her v 8 presence of s produced by 1 9 that the foreg of the testimo 10 I do furthe 11 taken at the specified, and 12 I do furthe 13 employee of otherwise fina 14 I do furthe 15 court reporti a contract as 16 17 IN WITNI and affixed m 18 day of March 19 20	in in it is a second series of the series of	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her v 8 presence of s produced by 1 9 that the foreg of the testimo 10 I do furthe 11 taken at the specified, and 12 I do furthe 13 employee of otherwise fina 14 I do furthe 15 court reporti a contract as 16 17 IN WITNI and affixed m 18 day of March 19 20 21 Anika	in in it is a completed without adjournment. The certify that I am not a relative, or attorney for any party or counsel, or noticilly interested in Civil Rule 28(D). The certify that I am not, nor is the noticilly interested in Civil Rule 28(D). ESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand y seal of office at Akron, Ohio, this 13th, 2018. W. Patrick, RMR, CRR & Notary Public	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her v 8 presence of y 9 that the foreg of the testimo 10 I do furthe 11 taken at the specified, and 12 I do furthe 13 employee of otherwise fina 14 I do furthe 15 court reporti a contract as 16 17 IN WITNI and affixed m 18 day of Marcl 19 20 21 Anika My cor 22	in in it is a second series of the series of	Page 322		
1 CC 2 STATE OF CC) SS 3 SUMMIT CO 4 I, Anika W Certified Real 5 and for the S qualified, do I 6 Witness, DO to testify the t 7 the truth in th given by her v 8 presence of s produced by i 9 that the foreg of the testimo 10 I do furthe 11 taken at the specified, and 12 I do furthe 13 employee of otherwise fina 14 I do furthe 15 court reporti a contract as 16 17 IN WITNI and affixed m 18 day of Marcl 19 20 21 Anika My cor	in in it is a completed without adjournment. The certify that I am not a relative, or attorney for any party or counsel, or noticilly interested in Civil Rule 28(D). The certify that I am not, nor is the noticilly interested in Civil Rule 28(D). ESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand y seal of office at Akron, Ohio, this 13th, 2018. W. Patrick, RMR, CRR & Notary Public	Page 322		

Ф	103	2008	3
\$	284:7,10	226:5,23 233:1,6,14	3
	104	234:4,16 235:8,15	
\$1,389,290.62	289:1,4 291:3 292:3	236:12,15,16,23 267:22	30-something
280:6	293:9	269:6,15 270:18 271:15	279:4
	293.9	280:16 281:14,21 282:1,	
\$120,000	105	6 286:7,19	35
276:24	299:7,11,14		286:22 287:4
£4.40.420.C0		2009	2-4
\$149,129.69	106	233:1,6,15 234:4 236:12,	3rd
278:9	299:8,12 301:21 302:12	17,23 267:22 269:6,15	286:19
\$150,000		270:18 281:20,22 296:12	
282:11	11	302:13,16 303:3	4
202.11	263:9 264:24 265:10	·	4
\$23,379.52	12	2010	
278:14		226:24 227:3 242:8	4
270.14	214:9 216:11,12,14	281:20	262:24 264:8,10,11
\$24,721	263:13 269:17 270:1,7		274:22
277:14	12:40	2011	
		226:24,25 227:3 242:8	40
\$25,000	319:21	281:20,22 310:12	284:24
300:17,19,24 301:6,9	13th	·	
toc c44 c5	302:13,16	2012	43
\$26,611.25	302.13,10	281:20,22	286:14,18
277:22	14	2016	45
\$36,250	214:9	2016	45
•		280:23	222:7
277:10	17	2017	
\$4,404.42	202:18 214:10 287:4,6	202:1 203:8 205:17	5
277:6			
211.0	18	206:6 207:8,12 208:21	
\$5,307	291:2,5	209:4,23 210:15 211:20	5
276:2	19	212:15 216:8,23 218:10	290:2,10 294:11
-		221:3,19 223:9,17	·
\$62,227.51	292:2,6,22	229:12 247:10 254:23	502
277:8	1974	286:5,21 304:24 308:7,	313:17 319:3
\$C2 447 0C	291:15	12	502(d)
\$63,447.96	231.13		502(d)
277:20	1980	2018	213:2,15 215:6,17
	291:15	260:24 261:2,17	304:14 305:6,25 310:2
4			311:21 315:23 316:10
1	1983	21	317:2
	292:8	294:6,9	
1		22nd	
262:18 263:23,25 264:1	1988		6
291:7	294:11	271:15	
-	1992	23	6
10		290:8	202:18
216:14 263:4 264:17	270:2,9	290.0	202.10
294:12 303:3	1993	24th	62
	270:3,9	286:17	202:14,17
100	210.5,5		·
278:14	1996	25	62,540
404	270:10	287:4	291:16
101			
278:16		29	63
100	2	260:24 261:2,17 289:24	260:18,20,23,24 261:1
102		290:3,4	16 262:8,15 274:19
274:15 275:15,22 278:17			· ·
279:14	20		64
	293:9,18	1	260:19,21,23 261:2,13,

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York
1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

i1

16 262:8	83 277:11	206:8	allowing 213:1
65	211.11	address	213.1
271:11,14		205:5 211:11 230:2	allows
66	9	232:21 244:25	258:11
		a due itta d	amant
274:14 275:15,17 276:7	90	admitted	amount
278:20 279:2,18 280:15	277:24 292:13 293:1	291:11	237:8 251:19 252:10
67	277.24 292.13 293.1	advice	270:17 273:7 274:1,5
275:21,23 279:14	91	255:23 305:16,20 314:17	276:2 277:10 280:5
	277:25		283:8,18 285:1,3 295:4,
68		advise	19,21 296:3,15 298:7,12
276:4		283:1	amounts
69	A	advised	279:22 283:15
			279.22 203.13
276:9	A-BEST	202:3 223:14 224:10	analyzed
6th	275:24 279:20	advising	282:24 292:9 294:14
203:8		224:14	
	abide		answer
	213:24	affairs	198:12 200:7 203:5
7	able	229:7	205:24 210:18,24 211:13
	242:1 243:18,21 244:7	affect	212:20 213:4,8,17
70	245:10 311:11	251:19	214:11,17,22 215:8,15,
276:10	240.10 011.11	251.19	18 216:5,18 217:2,21
	abundantly	ago	218:12 220:11 221:6,12
71	290:18	200:23 204:15 205:20	222:21,25 223:12,14
276:13		218:2,5 229:11 241:4	224:19,21 230:6 231:25
72	accept	245:4 261:23 274:23	234:18 236:9 237:11,19
	237:15 271:22 272:6,20	288:19 302:7 307:19	238:4,21 239:6 240:4,14
276:14	273:11,14	200.10 002.7 007.10	241:17 243:13,15,18,20,
73	account	agree	21 244:3,5,7,8,20,22
276:17	232:6,17 233:4	213:3 215:6 241:10	245:3 246:6 247:18
	232.0,17 233.4	288:3	248:3 249:11 250:6,13,
75	accumulated		19 251:6,12,22 252:2
276:21	227:16,18	agreed	
76		199:17	253:5,12,23 254:3,12
276:23	accurate	agreement	255:16 256:10,16,24
276.23	279:24	306:20	257:18,19,25 258:9,22
77	accurately		259:6,24 270:13,21
276:25	201:3	Akron	272:9,24 273:18,23
		291:18	275:5 279:16 280:1,10
78	acknowledging	alivo	281:17 282:21 283:10,20
277:2	307:9	alive	284:3 285:11 286:3
79	action	226:3	287:8,10,17,21 288:1,6,
277:4	action	allegations	11 289:22 290:25
211.4	311:16	247:11	291:14,25 292:19 293:7
	active		294:25 295:8,16,24
8	267:22 282:1	alleged	296:6,18 297:16 298:9,
		247:15,25 248:10	17,18,23 300:9 301:2
80	activity	alleges	303:18 304:1,11,14
277:6	236:16	297:8,12,23	305:7,10 306:1,4 311:1,
	actual	231.0,12,23	3,21 313:19,20 315:24
81		allow	316:1,10 317:4 319:3,9
277:7	307:4	215:6,18 313:18	
82	added		answered
82	280:11	allowed	213:1,16 215:13 223:8
277:9		198:11,17	261:20
	addition		
	addition		

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York
1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

i2

answering

311:1

answers

260:25 261:19 274:19 285:24 319:1

anthrophyllite

294:17

anybody

204:23 207:11 211:15 212:14 231:7 235:5,21 236:5 310:17

anyway

252:21 304:17

APG

276:4 279:20

apologize

202:9

appeal

199:10

apple

218:23

applied

266:17

apply

272:10,14

appreciate

201:1

appropriate

260:2

approved

217:15

approximately

298:6

April

200:2 201:21 202:1,18 203:8 205:17 206:6 207:8,12 208:21 209:23 210:15 211:20 212:15 216:8,23 218:10 221:2, 19 223:8,17 225:15 228:20 229:12 230:1,21 247:10 254:23 261:11 286:5,21 304:24 308:7, 12 318:20

area

212:21

areas

198:15,21

arqued

302:20

Armstrong

276:9,11 277:21

asbestos

208:14 223:19 224:3,16 226:10,15 227:14 228:17 232:8,19 234:5 235:6,14, 23 236:7,23 237:5,17 238:1,16 239:3,20 240:1, 12,24 246:3,11,14,20,24 247:7 249:4,9,14,21 250:21 251:4,20,25 260:6,9 264:14,22 265:18 266:1,9 267:6,12, 23 268:12 269:23 270:10,24 272:19 273:2, 15 275:24 276:5,18,20 278:8 279:12 281:13 282:3,14,18 283:7,17 284:1,14 285:8 286:7,20 288:15 289:5,19 290:1, 10 291:23 292:11,13,17 293:5,21,25 294:15,16, 22 295:6 297:5,20 299:2 300:6,25 301:24 303:3,5, 12,24 311:24

asbestos-containing

283:4,14 290:20 291:12, 17 311:24

asbestos-formed

293:16

aside

203:13

asked

201:5,7 203:21,25 210:3, 11,20 211:13 213:22 214:3,8 215:12 216:16 217:20,25 221:10,13 223:17 225:17 229:19 230:2,5 233:21 241:12 243:15 245:21,22 247:5, 10 249:3 252:12 256:18 257:20 258:25 260:5 262:20 273:25 274:4 288:18 295:11,18 298:25 301:6 302:7 304:25 307:15 316:22 318:7,25 319:5,6

asking

211:10,14 214:23 217:21 218:13 219:1 232:25 236:11 238:24 243:8 252:5,6,8 258:2,7 268:20 272:20 287:5 298:15,16 304:9 319:2

asks

263:9 264:2

Assaf

212:23,24,25

asserted

198:22 305:9 306:3,19 311:2 315:25

assist

242:2

assistance

199:1

assistant

205:10 206:12,17 207:15 294:10

associate

287:10

associated

205:16

Associates

292:10

assume

199:20 201:5,9

attachment

205:5

attempt

292:4

attention

286:22

attorney

204:25 224:5 227:12 230:19 237:3 239:8,12 246:15 249:13,16,17 255:20 258:14,15 259:18 264:16 266:20 279:2 281:23 283:1 295:10 296:24 298:14,19

attorney's

255:23 258:14

attorney-client

211:7 213:9 214:24

215:21 216:20 217:18 223:1,15 244:1,19 312:20 313:16 315:22

i3

attorneys

211:24 212:1 218:7 228:8 232:5,14 234:13 245:22 246:1 247:19,20 259:14

attorneys'

278:21

August

302:13,16 303:3

automatically

227:11

autopsy

227:10

avoid

252:19

aware

203:7,11 208:1 226:22 233:2 240:19 269:11 279:11 288:13 300:23 305:18 309:17

В

Babcock

276:14

back

198:7 200:1 206:11 216:2 219:24,25 223:8 224:12 227:19 234:2 242:18 252:25 274:16,18 280:16 281:3 291:2 304:6,13,18 308:9

Bacon

289:10,13

bad

230:25

ballot

207:22,25 208:3,5,6,10

ballots

208:14

bankruptcies

272:11

bankruptcy

209:11 227:15 234:5,24

235:3,16 236:2,3 246:20, 24 255:5,11,18,25 256:5, 12 279:12 281:1,9 282:6

based

199:7 255:5,19 256:19 257:20 280:4

BASF

199:4,20 241:23 242:16 245:6,18 248:4 251:24 252:6,10 261:1 264:4,21 265:4,7,22 266:9 268:10 269:22 270:17,25 274:24 296:1,3,9,14 297:8,12,25 298:2,3,5 311:6,9,12 312:14 316:20

BASF's

199:7 261:3 262:16 265:16,25 267:5,11 268:1,19 269:7,14 270:7 274:20 279:18

basically

282:1 307:10 308:4

basis

198:12 248:6 280:12 301:5 318:13

Bates

284:20,23 299:18

begins

291:10 292:4 293:13 294:7 300:12

behalf

198:3 232:14 267:10 275:4,20 281:10 284:16

believe

204:4 207:15,17 208:16, 24 212:4,23 215:12 225:5 227:2 228:20 229:21 234:11 242:5 261:10,13 275:15 279:3 288:16 296:9 311:7

believed

259:20

best

243:21 314:17

Revan

207:10,11,18,19 208:21, 25 209:6,10,13,17,23 210:12,15,22 211:8,14, 17,19,25 212:8,17 214:5, 11 216:17,23 217:1 218:3,8,9,24 219:2 221:1,3,20 222:9,13,16 223:5 224:6,8 225:1,4, 11,19 226:6,9,18 227:6, 7,24 228:2,18,23 231:6, 7,14 233:10,11,24 234:2, 4,8,15,20 235:5,15,20,21 236:5,21 237:3,6,13,14, 21,24 238:14 239:1,8,18, 23 240:8,10,22 241:13, 15,20,22 242:3,11,14,19 243:2,5,17,19,23,24 244:10,16 245:4,13 246:9,13,25 247:6,22,23 248:14,15 249:22 255:4, 24 256:4 258:12 260:11 264:16 265:20.21.24 266:1,4,8 267:4,9,24,25 268:5,10,18,24 269:9,12, 21 270:15,24 271:16,21 272:4,18 273:3,11,13,20, 25 284:12 285:24 287:5, 6 289:10,19,24 290:8,15 291:5 292:7,15,22 293:24 294:20 295:2,11, 18 297:24 298:20,25 300:23 301:5,9,13 304:23 305:1,12,17,23 306:10.13.15.24 307:7. 12,22,23 308:6,14,20,22 309:4,14,18,23 310:8,17, 22 311:14 312:7,18,23 313:5,8,13 314:8,12,15, 23 315:4,7,12,20 317:8, 11,13,18,20,23 318:8,17

Bevan's

227:20 228:6 264:20 266:20 293:18 301:15 307:1 310:9

Bill

198:1 219:21

bit

203:23 214:2 311:15

bites

218:22

bleeding

262:3

blue

313:4

bottom

271:18 284:19 292:21

293:18 299:21

brand

226:20 287:23

break

200:7 201:14 215:24 218:17 304:4

breaks

201:12

brief

251:8 267:9,25 268:10 289:6 290:15 292:22

briefing

265:15

briefly

203:14 307:15

brought

232:4

Bruce

299:25

bullets

270:2,6

bunch

274:9

Bureau

303:7

C

call

205:20 206:3,10,20,25 220:6,18,22 228:10 235:11 305:4 313:9,10, 11,13 314:8,21 315:7 317:17

called

285:14,18,22 289:5

calling

220:5 230:10 231:18 238:10

can't

201:18 206:22 212:1 222:10 245:11 257:19 258:24 308:22

cancer

251:18 254:25

capacity

228:12

carried

250:21

carry

306:20

case

198:5 201:22 202:19 203:16 204:6,8 206:19 207:23 209:14 211:6 213:7 215:11,20 216:17, 24 218:1 221:4,17 223:6, 19 224:1,3,15,16 226:10, 24,25 227:5,14 228:17 229:9,22 230:9,13 232:8, 19,22 233:1,6,14 234:5 235:6,8,14,18,23 236:7, 15,23 237:5,7,17 238:1, 2,9,16,17 239:3,4,20 240:1,12,24 241:16,25 242:2,7 243:3,12 244:11 245:6,10,16,18 246:1,4, 11,14,20,24 247:7 249:4, 5,8,9,16,20,21,25 250:4, 11,17 251:20 252:10,13 253:3,8 254:1,9,15,17 260:7,14 263:2,7,10,15 264:4,14,22 265:3,7,18, 22 266:2,10 267:6,12,23 268:12 269:4,23 270:10, 24 272:19 273:2,15 279:6,12 280:21 281:14 284:14 285:9 286:7,20 287:13 288:15 289:5,19 290:1,10,16 295:12 297:8,24 298:15 299:2,4 300:7,20 301:24 302:2,5, 18 303:14,21 305:15,17, 19,21 307:3,10,18 309:20 310:10,15 311:7, 11,17,19,22 312:8,13,14 313:25 314:7,13 315:5 316:4,5,7,12,13,16 317:20,23 318:14

cases

208:14 273:12 300:2

catalog

279:19

Catalysts'

261:1

caution

241:7

Celotex

276:15,18

certified

200:17

cetera

302:1

chain

299:14

changes 303:6

chart

275:18 276:6 278:23 279:24

check

206:21 207:5 232:20 235:2 236:2 260:11 262:10 276:11 277:16 278:5 284:25 285:1,3

checked

232:17 233:4,8,9

checking

307:3

chemist

294:10

children

305:13 307:14

chysotile

294:17

cites

294:12

claim

271:23 273:6,14 295:6, 19 300:25 301:7

claims

209:11 227:15 235:16 242:15 246:21,25 255:4, 9,12,17,19,20,25 256:5 257:5 262:21,25 263:16 264:3 269:22 270:25 275:1 281:1,10,12 282:6 302:8

302.0

clarifications

217:9

clarify

312:19

Clark

209:6

class

311:15

clear

213:13 261:22 290:18,22

clearly

312:20

client

271:22 300:16

clients

300:2

Clifford 294:10

closed

266:12,15

clothing 250:21

coach

217:12

coachings

217:10

coffee

202:11,12

Cohen

198:2 205:16 206:5 207:6 209:17 218:6 223:24 224:14 227:22 228:3,24 242:6,12,19,24 249:17 254:20 262:7 310:2 312:24 313:4,14 314:10 317:18 318:12

come

219:18,24,25 231:14 241:8 247:19 249:12,15 280:16 313:23 315:8

coming

207:21,25 208:2 307:11

comments

199:5 306:7

communicated

233:12,18

communication

205:1 239:18,24 240:2 267:3,8 268:9

communications

205:15 206:4 207:7,11

209:3,13 235:4,22 236:6, 22 237:22,25 238:14 239:1 240:10,20,21 265:20,24 266:4,7 267:24 268:7,17,20,21, 22,23 269:8,12 270:23

317:22 companies

260:6 280:24 282:13,17 283:3 284:5

company

276:14 283:25 285:8,14, 15,18,21 287:14 288:13 291:13 301:14 302:1 311:6 312:4,5

Company's

291:18

compare

262:4,11

comparing

280:2

compensation

250:3,10,16,17 251:3,9, 24 255:5 256:18 257:20 258:25 260:8 276:17,19, 21 282:2,14 283:8,12,25 296:3

complaint

204:6,8,12 247:12,16 248:1,10,13 297:7,11,23 302:21 310:14

complete

201:18 279:24

concerned

257:3

concerning

199:13 207:7 226:19 228:17 232:25 234:5 235:22 236:22 239:19 242:15 246:3,10,14 247:14 249:7 254:24 266:1 278:6,7,10 283:23

301:13 concerns

249:8

concluded

255:1,13 256:6,13,21 319:21

concluding

293:25

conclusion

254:24

condition

255:19

conduct

218:21 219:8

conducted

292:16

confident

314:19

confuse

235:13

confused

201:7 262:2

connection

250:4 264:21 268:11

285:8 297:24

connects 249:4

consider

274:5 296:2

consideration

208:18 220:13

considering

293:1

consistent

298:18

constitutes

250:24

consult

237:14 255:24

consulted

301:3

consulting

243:12

contact 199:9 219:17 272:5

313:2

contacted

272:18 309:18

contained

228:16 249:14 283:7,17 284:6 291:23 292:11,12,

iб

17 293:15 297:20 303:3

contaminated

249:14 292:24,25 311:24

content

303:5

context

208:8 210:24 211:10 213:5

continue

245:10

continuing

242:2 311:9 312:8

control

258:3

conversation

198:18 211:19 212:22 242:3,11 269:3 307:13 313:23 315:5

conversations

210:25 211:17 312:17

converted

302:19

converts

302:22

copied

227:9

copies

225:10,21 227:18,25 228:5 232:10,15 233:25 237:2

copy

202:20 225:12,18 226:12 227:11,13 232:2,3 234:13 247:1 266:20 276:10 278:5 284:11 286:15,24 301:23

Coren

211:15 212:2,8 221:1 222:14,16,24 223:4,14 242:20,21 243:2,24 244:11,15 248:14,15 254:4 304:23 305:19,22 306:12,15,24 307:21,22, 23,25 308:6,13,21 309:23 310:8,17 311:5,8, 13,14 312:22 313:7 314:4 315:12,14,17,19 317:7,10,20 318:16

Coren's

314:18

corner

216:12 284:20

coroner

227:10

Corporation

277:7

correct

207:9 209:1,2,24,25 211:21,22 223:9 224:16, 17,20,24 226:7,11 227:1, 17 230:13 231:21 234:10,11 238:7 239:22 240:5 241:20 243:3 244:23 245:6,7 247:2 248:7,8 249:19 253:15, 16,18 254:10,13 255:9, 10 256:3 257:13 263:20, 21,25 264:6,10,18,23,25 265:4,5,8,13,14,19,23 266:2,3,13 267:2 269:16, 19,20,24 271:16 274:3,7 278:25 280:7,17,18,21, 22,24,25 281:15 282:3,4, 8,19 286:1,11,12 287:15, 22,24 288:2,4,9,22 290:13 291:23 293:5 294:1,23 295:14,17,25 297:2,3,5,6,10,17,21 298:1 300:7 302:5 303:15,24 306:14 308:17 310:12 312:25 313:1 315:10 317:21 318:22

corrected

203:2,9

corresponded

228:8

correspondence

265:25 266:21

corresponding

278:23

couldn't

202:10 203:22 205:23,24 216:18 312:5 316:14,23

counsel

198:24 199:1 200:20 202:19 209:16 247:5 248:7 263:6,14 274:25 298:19

couple

206:11 234:8 317:15

course

201:13 218:23 227:14

court

202:8 214:19 219:4 236:16 265:16 269:6 275:13 302:17,22 303:2, 13,21 304:20

court's

268:18 269:9,13

cover

319:10

covered

319:9

created

275:18

CROSS-

EXAMINATION

200:18

cup

202:11,12

current

229:22

cut

202:2

CV-08-656405

302:2

D

damages

311:9 314:13

database

284:13

date

227:2 230:3 279:21 280:6,15

dated

261:17 302:12,16

day

217:7 286:11 304:8

days

290:16

dealt

215:11 289:15

death

251:16 252:7 290:17

debate

214:16

decent

298:12

decide

254:14

decided 213:2

deciding

237:15

decision265:12 268:18 269:7,9,
13 298:11

defendant

238:1,15 239:2,19,25 263:1 264:13 271:23 272:19 273:25 274:4 291:7,11,15,16 292:9 302:17

Defendant's

202:14 260:20 271:11 274:14 284:7 289:1 299:7

defendants

199:21 229:8 230:13 237:16,23 273:1,15

Defendants'

230:24

Defense

202:17 260:23 261:16 262:8,15 271:14 274:18 275:14,17,21,23 276:4,9, 10,13,14,17,20,23,25 277:2,4,6,7,9,10 279:13, 18 280:15 284:10 286:14,18 289:4 291:2 292:2 293:9 299:10,11, 14 301:21 302:11

defer

273:3 274:1 295:13 296:23

demand

300:17 301:10

demanded

300:19,23

depending

220:3

depends

241:11 256:25

deposed

204:24 294:11

deposition

198:12 199:8,15,18 200:1,5 201:13,22,23 202:1,18,21,25 203:9,12 204:3,10,13,18,20 205:12,17,22 206:6 207:3,4,8,12 208:22 209:4,24 210:2,5,15,16, 21 211:20 212:6,15,18 214:9,10 216:8,24 218:4, 10,18,20,22 219:7,9,24 220:8 221:3,20 222:6 223:17 225:15 228:21,22 229:12 230:1 233:17 234:9 236:17 247:9 249:3 254:23 286:5,6,11, 19,22 290:16,17 294:12 297:19 298:4 304:25

305:2 306:9,17,25 307:2,

309:23 317:16,17 318:20

6 308:7,10,12,14,21

depositions

294:21

319:21

deprived

198:25

describe

241:20 263:13

describing

242:4 267:1

despite

303:22

destroyed

296:9,13 312:6 316:21,

destruction

316:19

detail

223:7 230:7

details

304:15

determine

232:6,17 233:4

develop

263:15 269:22

developed

251:2,3,18

developments

236:6,22 237:2

diagnosis

257:21

didn't

201:6 203:22 206:23 227:12 245:6 255:1,17 256:21 257:9.23 258:6. 19 259:3,11 279:13 283:22 287:23 288:2 297:8 298:15 300:20 314:3

difference

220:19

different

203:24 207:21 208:1 214:3 225:24 227:18 232:10,11 235:12 283:15 296:11,21

difficult

214:2

DII

276:19

direct

213:8,21 217:4 218:12

222:25

directed

310:25

directly

250:22

disagree

199:21 215:1 220:15

discarded

234:16

disclose

257:14 258:18

discovery

199:6 304:12 309:19

discuss

210:14,19 215:16 231:11 252:18 301:9 305:19 308:6 318:3

discussed

210:11 214:10,21 215:9 230:23 249:20 254:21,23 255:4 270:15 288:25 298:14 306:8,10,15 307:15 310:7

discussing

295:9 305:11,21

discussion

198:6 242:14 252:24 304:11 314:1

discussions

211:5 215:19 254:14 271:9

disease

251:14

dismiss

302:19.22

dismissal

263:10 265:3

dismissed

238:2,16 239:3,20,25 240:11,23 241:16,25 242:16 245:18 265:7

303:13,21

dismissing

302:18

dispositions

263:1 264:13

dispute

280:12 285:6.12 301:5

distinct

235:17

Division

293:14

docket

301:23

doctor

254:25 255:12 256:6,13

doctors

256:21 259:9,25 260:3

document 203:13 230:14 247:1

257:7,9 266:25 276:21 277:3,11,15,18,24 278:1, 2,4,7,10,13,15,16,17

284:11,17 287:16 289:4

301:12,17,20 303:16,18, 19

i7

documentation

316:22

documented

278:12

documents

204:2,9,17 223:18 224:2, 4,15,22 225:1,3,5,9,13 226:2,9,19 227:6,7,16 228:24 229:6 232:7,9 245:23 246:3,10,13,23 248:20 254:24 257:10, 15,22 258:18 259:3 261:6 262:1 268:6 274:25 275:3,5,8,14,19, 22 278:22 279:7 280:3,4, 9,14 283:22 284:14 297:4 299:9 309:24 310:3 317:24 318:5

doesn't

200:6

dog

307:19 doing

228:11

dollar

273:7 279:22

dollars

282:10

don't

201:4 202:2 203:6 207:15,16,17 208:16,17, 19 209:7,9 212:16 217:17,25 222:3,7 223:3, 7,16 224:8,11 228:4,12 229:10,16,21,22 230:17 231:3,10,16,21 232:2,14 233:2 234:12 235:13 236:25 238:7,12,18 239:9,14 240:5,7,25 242:17 244:13 245:19 246:7 247:23 248:9,17, 22 249:14 250:7,8,14,20, 25 251:7,13,16,17,23 253:6 256:17 258:9,23 260:10 262:3 263:18 264:4 266:23,24 267:18 268:3,4,5,13,16 269:10, 16 270:8,14 272:10,22, 25 273:24 274:8 279:17

280:2.11 281:21 282:22 283:11,12,21 285:16,19, 20,23 288:16 289:14 296:19,22 300:18 301:3, 11,19 304:3 305:16,19 307:8 309:25 310:13,19, 21 312:18 313:12.25 314:11 315:15 317:12,14

Donnette

200:15 260:25

drop 318:8

dropped

318:1

due

245:10 311:7

duly

200:16

DX62

202:13 216:8

dying

307:20

Ε

e-mail

205:4,5,9,11,12 206:9,18 232:3,6,17 233:4,12 237:6 262:5,11 266:25 299:14,25 300:5

e-mails

206:8.11.13.16 207:14. 18 232:9,18,20,25 233:2, 5 247:6 271:5

earlier

231:17 287:7 304:22,25 306:1 310:7 319:6

early

310:12

effort

279:18

efforts

263:14 269:21

Eighty-eight

277:20

Eighty-five

277:14

Eighty-four

277:13

Eighty-nine

277:22

Eighty-seven

277:18

Eighty-six

277:16

either

203:22 209:9 225:11 248:14 261:6,25 282:5

elaborate

306:17

Electric

277:5

emphasizing

293:4

employed

291:13

employment

226:16

EMTAL

250:9,16 251:8,10 270:19 287:19,23 296:2, 12 316:20

enclosed

235:2

encourage

208:11

ended

251:14,15 254:8,18 299:5 302:8

Engelhard

263:6 296:1

enjoyed

305:14

enter

272:5

entered

199:6 284:16 305:6 310:24

entering

273:1

entire

227:22

entirety

244:10

entitled

251:24

entries

284:25

entrv

302:12,16 305:24 313:17

equated 283:12

equation

296:20.22

Erin

209:6 225:20 227:25

especially

213:6 214:24

essentially

220:7 281:13

Estate

301:25

estimated

279:3

estimates

278:24

et

302:1

ethically

259:16

evidence

245:11 259:19 288:8 290:22 291:21 293:24 294:20 295:2 296:10,12, 25 297:4,7,18,22 302:21 303:11,12,22,24 305:6 311:8 312:5,6 316:19,21, 22

exactly

206:22 284:4

example

209:11 236:17 239:16 297:22

excerpt

284:12

excess

292:12

exchange

307:4 308:24

exchanged

253:20 306:5

exhibit

202:14,17 260:23,24 261:16 262:8,15 271:11, 14 274:19 275:15,17,21, 23 276:4,9,10,13,14,17, 21,23,25 277:2,4,6,7,9, 11 279:18 280:15 284:7, 10 286:13,14,18 289:1,4 291:3 292:3 293:9 294:12 299:11,12,14 301:21 302:12

Exhibits

260:20 274:14 279:14 299:7

exist

239:10 240:17 268:6 301:18

expect

210:20 218:4 314:13

expectations

314:3

expected

296:4,14,15

expert

250:7,20 251:1,7 258:10, 23 259:13 303:4

experts'

303:5

explain

311:15 316:2,11

explained

240:22 241:23 245:5 311:5 315:8

explaining

236:6 237:22,25 238:15 239:2,24 268:25 311:23

explanation

303:6

explanations 239:10

exposed

226:20 250:2,9,15,23 251:15 287:15,24 288:3,

8 290:11,19,23 297:1 303:11 312:2

exposure

238:9 251:8,10,25 260:8 281:13 282:3 284:1 303:23

extended

214:16

extent

228:13 278:21 315:21

extra

202:11,12

F

facility

291:18

fact

204:23 253:17 255:6 259:18 283:16 288:18 290:18 292:21 293:4 306:16

factor

283:6

facts

248:10 263:19 284:15 298:14 318:14

failed

303:4

fair

199:24 211:9 239:21 240:1,2 242:9 250:2,10 257:11,14 259:22 267:15 280:19 281:12 283:6,15 289:17 297:14

fall

211:7 215:21 241:9

falls

244:1

familiar

203:23 214:1 238:5 285:19 288:17,21,24

familiarize

203:16

far

208:9 215:9 228:9 282:18

Farrell

199:3,25 200:9,12,19 202:6,10 211:11 212:24 213:10 214:8,14 215:1,3, 23 216:2,3 217:5,9 218:16 219:6,20,23 220:6,10,23,25 222:21 235:9 241:11 245:2 252:22,25 253:1 260:15, 18 267:16 274:12,16,17 278:25 279:2,6 304:3,6,7 305:4 306:22 317:3 319:5,8,14

Farrell's

217:3

fast-forward

226:24

Federal

305:5

Federal-mogul

278:11

fee

279:2

feel

257:4 263:23 311:10 319:2

fees

278:22

felt

314:18 316:4,13

Fiberboard

276:22 278:4

fibers

294:16

figure

202:10 304:19,20

file

223:22 227:22 228:2,14 234:7,9 239:12 246:2,18, 19

filed

199:13,15 203:16 226:10,25 227:15,16 234:23 235:15 236:15,18 243:3 244:12 245:17 248:14 255:9,20 256:25 266:6,9 267:10 268:1,11, 15 269:4 280:21 281:10, 14,22 282:6 288:14

289:7,19 310:10,15

312:14 **files**

245:23

filing

204:4,5 236:1 242:7 255:4 312:3

filings

227:18 232:10,11 233:25 234:6 257:5 259:16 302:4

fill

225:15 309:3 318:18

filled

229:12 230:16,17,18 232:1

final

281:2

fine

220:18

finish

220:3

firm

205:16 206:6 207:6,10, 12,16,18,19 208:25 209:6,10,13,17 211:24 214:5 222:13 223:24 224:14 225:11,19 227:6, 7,21,23,24 228:2,3,6,7, 18,23 231:1,6,7 233:10, 11,24 234:2,4,8,15,21 235:5,21 236:6,21 237:3, 14,21,24 238:15 239:1, 19 240:22 241:3 242:13, 14 247:6,21 248:5 249:18,22 254:20 260:11 262:7 264:20 265:21,25 266:5,8 267:4,9,25 268:10,18 269:9,13 272:4,18 284:12 289:11, 19 293:24 294:20 295:2 301:13 309:4,14,18 310:2 312:24 313:3,14 314:6,10,18,20 316:19 317:19,23,25 318:8,10, 13

firm's

289:24 290:8 291:5 292:7

first

200:16 210:4 211:13 220:7 222:11 223:24 226:2 227:3 245:17,19 258:4 261:1,20 262:16 274:20 284:21 286:24 290:14 291:10 293:10 299:18,24 302:11 313:3, 5 315:14 318:16,18

i9

five

274:8 290:16

five-minute

304:4

focusing

236:12

follow

211:2 217:13 235:18 244:21

following

216:4 243:14 244:4

follows

200:17

footnote

292:21

Forgive

211:25 230:25

form

203:4 206:7 209:19 210:17 217:5 221:5,11 223:12 224:18 229:1,2 231:24 234:17 236:8 237:10,18 238:3 239:5, 10 240:3,13 246:5 247:17 248:2 249:10 250:5,12,18 251:5,11,21 252:1 253:4,11,22 254:2, 11 255:15 256:9,15,23 257:17,24 258:21 259:5, 23 262:14 270:12,20 272:8,23 273:17,22 279:15,25 280:8 281:8, 16 282:20 283:9,19 284:2 285:10 286:2 287:20,25 288:5,10 289:21 290:24 291:24 292:18 293:6 294:3,17, 24 295:7,15,23 296:5,17 297:13,15 298:8,22 300:8 301:1 303:16

formal

DONNETTE WENGER - 03/12/2018 i10 282:5 Goodrich generally н 210:20 225:16 308:1 277:7,10 forth Goodyear 206:12 208:10 227:19 gentleman halfway 279:21 212:5 231:2 309:1 225:7 226:14,21 238:6 300:11 239:8,16 241:1 291:12, forward Georgia 17.22 302:1 Halliburton 199:18 245:6 316:14 285:22 277:8 Gotcha forwarded getting 261:21 hand 317:25 198:7 319:3 225:17 230:16,18 275:13 gotten found give 318:25 handed 200:4 201:18 217:12 237:2 275:9 293:14,21 202:16 225:19 260:22 government 224:7 225:3,14 228:2 foundation 271:13 284:9 289:3 229:2 294:4 297:12 293:24 217:6 318:5 298:17 grabbed fraud handle given 286:24 247:15,25 248:4 311:10 258:12 199:25 213:5 215:10 312:10 316:6,13,18 Graham 224:8 225:5,9,14,21 handles 290:11,15,19 291:13 295:2 298:2,4 302:2 fraudulently 256:2 299:16 301:25 305:16 296:9 312:6 happen grandfather giving free 201:1 307:2 313:6 221:15,17 222:2 305:14 263:23 226:6 305:20 307:19,24 happened front 267:15 308:2 315:11 granted 199:18 200:13 203:14 216:7 261:4 275:17 303:9 happy 217:6 219:19 241:25 299:9,12 200:9 213:4,24 215:16 242:18 245:6 246:25 granting full 252:17 266:17,19 298:15 199:6 268:18 269:13 hard 292:3 293:10 294:7 302:11 304:4,13,18 232:3 299:24 gray 307:2,6 316:14 318:9 212:21 Hart 319:13 fully 300:3 304:19 great goes 307:16 hasn't 243:10 294:13 296:19,22 funny 240:8 221:16 305:14 greet going 222:10 haven't 201:1,2,5,9 205:21 future 202:23 233:8 235:25 208:3,9 281:6 206:11,15 214:15 215:5, ground 240:9 255:18 270:25 7 217:6 218:11,16,24 200:5,21 275:9 281:2 219:6,20,23 220:15,20 G grounds 222:18 224:12 227:4 he's 223:1 229:2 237:4 243:8,10 240:15 255:9 game 244:21,25 252:20 255:21 guess 211:9 head 274:8 275:7,13 286:23 220:20 225:16 300:13,15 299:6 298:17 304:18 306:16 302:25 Garlock 307:2 312:12 314:4 heading 276:24 277:1,3 guessing 290:2.10 291:7 good 222:7 general 198:1 259:21 307:9 206:13 207:22 208:12 health quideline 319:11,13 226:16 227:8 221:14 227:19 234:1 217:14 235:1 277:5 305:12 good-bye hear guy 307:3 222:12 313:3 221:16 305:14

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York
1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

Gypsum

276:22 277:21 278:18

heard

200:20 245:17 313:5

generalities

307:5 311:17 316:11

goodbye

222:12

hearing

206:22 207:1,2 319:13

held

228:1 239:7

hello

222:12 231:16 305:12 308:24

here's

237:8

hereinafter

200:16

hey

246:25

hi

306:6

hid

296:10 312:6 316:20

hidden

296:13 316:24

hidina

316:18

history 230:3

hits

244:18

hold

210:23 212:19 223:11

home

223:22 224:22 225:3 226:2 227:16 228:14 229:7 245:23 246:2

honestly

238:18 313:22

hope

241:10 246:15,17

hopefully

274:9

hour

222:8 252:20

Hygiene

293:14

hypothetical

298:16,17

Hypothetically

298:24

I

I'LL 286:4

I'M

198:2 201:2,5 203:11 204:16 207:3 208:18 211:2,10,14 213:4,23,25 214:1,15 215:7,16 217:11,12,13,18,21 218:6,11,23 219:20,23, 25 220:15 222:7,18,21 225:22 226:22 229:2 230:10,14,25 232:12,13, 25 235:12 236:10 238:7, 20,23,24 239:14 240:15, 19 242:21 243:8 250:7, 20,23,25 251:7 252:6 257:19 258:1,10,15,23

259:9,12,13 260:15 261:13,22,24 270:8,14

272:3,14 273:8 274:8 275:6 281:18.19.25 284:4 286:23 287:3

298:11,16 304:18 308:22 309:17 313:2,22 318:2

I'VE

201:4 202:16 206:17 207:15,17 209:9 236:4 259:8 260:22 262:2 271:13 275:5 280:11 284:9 289:3 319:5

idea

281:4,7

identification

202:15 260:21 271:12 274:15 284:8 289:2

299:8

identified

275:6 287:13 294:15

identify

262:25 263:5 264:2 275:4,18 287:23

identifying

262:20

Illinois

276:25 278:6

impacted 316:6,16

implicated

315:23

improper

218:19

inaccurate

203:2

including

233:14 234:7 284:15

indicate 288:2

Indicating

272:2

Industries

276:10,12,20

influenced

283:17

influences

283:7.11

information

203:15.19 218:7 222:20 226:14,17 237:1 241:19 247:14,19 248:6 249:7, 12.15 255:22 256:25 257:1 259:7 263:5 264:15,20,25 265:11 274:24 302:4 306:9

307:4 309:14,19 314:6

informational

208:3

initial

242:18 313:7 317:19

injuries

251:4

instance

272:17 273:9

instruct

215:8,15 243:13 244:2, 19

instructed

214:11 220:10 304:10 319:8

instructing

213:16 214:17 305:8

instruction

216:5 243:14,20,25 244:4,8,18,21

instructions

i11

245:3

interlude

198:5

internal

292:15 297:4 298:3

International

294:15

interrogatories

230:8,12,22,24 231:12 261:1,3 262:17 263:20 274:20 291:14 309:3,6, 16 310:4 318:19

interrogatory

231:20 262:17 263:23,25 265:10 269:17 270:1,7 274:22

intimidated

259:10

introduced

242:5,12 268:24 311:5, 13

involved

247:25 265:15 308:25

isn't

258:8

issue

217:15 228:9 303:1 316:6

issues

252:19

207:21 208:2 211:4

it's

213:23 214:2 216:11,19 219:3 223:6 230:14 234:24 239:10,14 240:1 243:2 252:19 257:11 258:8 269:20 272:12,13 281:5,12 283:6,15,21 289:5,10,17 290:22 296:23 302:13,25 306:6

items

250:22

its

268:11 269:6

www.deposition.com

198:1,2,7 199:9,24

200:11,20 201:12 202:2,

9 203:4 206:7 209:19

210:17,23 211:12,16

214:13,20 215:2,3,4,25

217:2,8,11 218:11 219:5,

12,22,25 220:9,15 221:5,

11 222:18,23 223:11

224:18 229:1,5 231:24

234:17 235:7,10 236:8

239:5 240:3,13 241:6,12

251:5,11,21 252:1,17,23

257:17,24 258:21 259:5,

267:13,17,20,21 268:14

273:17,22 274:11 278:19

237:10,18 238:3,20

243:7,25 244:17,24

246:5 247:17 248:2

249:10 250:5,12,18

253:4.11.22 254:2.11

255:15 256:9,15,23

23 260:12,17 262:14

270:12,20 272:2,8,23

279:1,5,8,15,25 280:8

281:8,16 282:20 283:9,

19 284:2 285:10 286:2,

288:5,10 289:21 290:4,

24 291:24 292:18 293:6

294:3,24 295:7,15,23

298:8,22 300:8 301:1

303:16,25 304:10,15,17

296:5,17 297:13,15

305:3,24 306:18,23

310:23 311:20 312:16

313:15 315:21 316:9

199:5.22 216:4 243:20

L

245:10 311:8 312:4

221:14 231:2 305:13

307:16 308:1 309:1

16

Kuzmin's

244:4

lack

lady

large

273:21

317:1,4 318:24 319:7,11,

17,23 287:16,20,25

212:19,25 213:20

i12

J

January

260:24 261:2,12,17

Jared

205:20 206:3,8,10,21,25 212:11 304:24 309:8,24 310:1 315:12,16

Jennifer

287:7 290:11,15,19 291:13 299:16 301:25

Jessica

289:10

JM

277:9

job

258:15 293:15

Johns

277:12

join

308:6

joke

307:18,25

judgment

258:9 265:17 266:5,9 267:5,11 268:2,12,19 269:7,14 288:15 289:7, 18 290:1,9 291:6 292:8 293:20 302:20,23 303:8,

July

286:18

June

286:17

justice

312:9

justified

257:5

Κ

Kaiser

277:14,15

keep

229:7 246:2

Keeping

198:16

kept

223:22 234:14

kids

221:13

kind

200:4 210:19 217:15

Kitts

294:10,13

knew

221:15 318:1

know

200:6,25 201:14 203:22 205:21,25 206:16,24 207:20,21 208:2,10,11 215:6,10,17 228:4,7,10, 11,12,18 229:6,10 230:4 231:19 232:2 241:1,12 246:13 249:14 250:7,8, 14,20,21,25 251:7,16,17, 23 253:6 254:17 256:4, 11,13,17,20 257:22 258:3,9,23 259:2,14 260:10 268:3,4,5 270:9 272:10 280:2,11 281:9, 21 282:9,13,22 283:11, 12,21 285:16,19,20,23, 24 288:16,23 289:13 296:1,19,22 298:25 299:4 300:20 301:19 304:15,16 306:24 307:9, 10 312:18 314:5

Knowing

296:1

knowledge

222:4 238:13,25 243:22 248:9 253:14 254:8 262:21 263:19,22 264:3, 5,12,19 265:1,2,6 266:23,24 267:3 269:21 285:13,17 289:16 301:17

known

270:18

knows

270:18

Kristen

231:3 309:2,8 310:2

Kuzmin

laughed

307:18

law

248:4 258:10 259:13,15 289:10 313:3 316:19 318:10

laws

208:9

lawyer

300:5

lawyers

233:18 265:25 290:22 291:21 292:15 315:9

learn

253:7

learned

227:3 253:17

leave

202:25

left

232:5 311:14

legal

258:17 312:9

let's

215:23 224:12 226:23 236:12 242:18

letter

233:18 234:25 237:6 258:10 259:14 266:16,24 271:14,20 278:5 292:12

letters

207:19 233:22,25 234:3, 7,15,20,22 237:2 270:2, 5,9

letting

205:21,25 207:20 306:24

life

252:7 300:18

line

198:16 216:14 260:12 267:13 284:25 287:6 306:21

lines

199:2 214:9 287:4

list

264:12

listed 270:5

__.

listing 270:2

lists 279:20

litigation 267:23 282:5

little

203:23 205:21 214:2 311:15

local 228:6

logged 280:15

long

204:14 206:21 221:23 222:5 223:6 231:9 238:5 251:13,15 310:20 314:21

longer 231:17

look

208:19 263:23 264:9,24 269:18 279:24 300:1,11 301:20

looked

204:17 215:7 274:22 280:4

looking

222:21 281:19 314:7

looks

269:19 282:11

lot

293:5 307:17

lung 251:18

М

Mahoning 277:17,19

mail 209:8 main

303:1

maintain

246:19 284:13

maintained

264:16

making

208:1 228:10

man

208:25 299:25

Mandel 299:25

manufacturer

283:24

Manville

277:12

March

226:25

mark

202:1,13 219:13 220:2 244:25 245:2 260:18 276:5

marked

202:15,16 216:7 260:21, 23 262:7 271:12,13 274:15 276:6 284:8,9 286:13 289:2,4 299:8,10,

Master

198:5,9 199:6 211:3 213:18,24 217:14 218:17 219:18 220:4 304:12 305:5,25 310:25 313:18

Master's

198:16 213:11 214:7 220:17

materials

302:21

matter

213:6 247:24 251:14 318:2

matters

251:13

Mccrone

292:10

mean

202:2 204:5 206:7 207:25 208:5 235:7,13, 14 242:20 249:17 250:22 266:14 281:18 306:12 308:13 316:17 319:1

means

264:1 304:16

mediation

252:13,16 253:2,7,9,10, 14,15,21 254:6,8,14,17

meet

198:24 200:3 313:24 314:4,9 315:8

meeting

211:20,23 212:8,9,14,17 218:9 221:1,19,21 222:5, 9,14,17 230:19,20,23 231:5,7,9,15 232:1,4 242:18 243:6,19,23 244:11,14,16 245:5,16 248:12,16,18,21,24 249:1 261:11 304:23 305:1,18 307:1,5 308:16, 20,25 309:5,22 310:1,3, 8,20,22 313:7,8 314:2,3 315:12,20 317:15,16,19 318:11,16,18,19

meetings

214:5 241:14 318:12

memory

217:24 221:7 224:13

Memos

271:7

mention

287:19

207.13

mentioned 207:1 229:11 287:8

mesothelioma

251:2,18 255:2,6,14 256:7,14,19,22 257:2,4, 8,9,11,12,16,21,23 258:6,19 259:1,4,11,20, 21,22 260:1,3

met

204:14 208:25 209:2 241:4 242:5 308:13 315:14,15

mind 252:19

Mine 294:15 Mines

303:7

miniature

216:11

minor 230:6

minute 252:22

minutes

218:2,5 221:24 222:7 229:11 245:4 274:8,23 288:19 302:7 314:22

i13

Mischaracterization

303:17

missed

230:5

missing 232:23

mom

221:14 305:13 307:15 308:1

mom's

313:25

monetarily 300:17

money

251:20 252:3,6,10 253:25 254:9 260:7 274:1 280:24 285:21 295:19.21 298:25

monies

277:12,17 278:8,11 280:5,20 281:5 285:7,14,

month

205:20

months

200:22 204:14 228:21 234:8

morning

198:1 218:2

mother

222:1 223:18 225:6 226:3,19 251:17 255:6, 13 256:7,14 257:8,15 258:18 259:19 263:5,14 266:6 286:10 287:4,23

288:3,8,14 290:23 291:23 296:14 297:1 303:11 312:10

mother's

224:2,16 226:10 227:14 228:16,17 229:7 232:8, 18,25 233:6,14 234:5 235:6,14,22 236:7,15,23 237:5,16 238:1,16 239:3, 20,25 240:12,24 242:15 245:5,18 246:3,10,14,20, 24 247:7 249:4,9,21 251:25 252:6 254:25 255:19 257:21 260:6,8 263:2,10 264:13,21 265:3,6,17,22 266:1,9 267:6,10,12,23 268:12 270:10,24 272:19 273:2, 15 279:11 281:13 282:3 284:1,14 285:8 286:6,19 287:13 288:15 289:5,19 290:1,10 295:5,12,19 297:24 299:2,4,15 300:6, 24 301:7,24 302:5,8 303:23 312:1 316:7,16

motion

199:7,12,15,16 213:12 220:13 265:17 266:5,8 267:5,11 268:1,11,19 269:7,14 288:14 289:6, 20,25 290:9 291:6 292:8 293:19 302:18,19,22 303:8

motions

236:17

moved

289:18

moves

302:17

moving

260:15

multiple

218:22 219:3

N

name

205:11 231:2 285:19 287:10 299:15 309:2

named

208:25 263:1 299:25

names

203:17,21,24 230:25 231:4 287:9

National

277:21

nature

200:8 206:15,19 207:22 208:3,13 235:1 254:5 266:18 306:7 307:17

necessarily

272:12,13,16 296:7 298:10

need

200:7 201:13 203:9 205:11 213:7,15 215:8, 15 217:17,23 218:16 219:16 220:1,18,21 222:25 227:12 234:25 241:7 243:7,12 286:24 304:15,20

needed

202:11,12 203:2 259:7 318:9

needs

213:15

never

250:8,15 280:11 308:9

new

206:14 261:12,19

nice

221:14 305:13 308:1

nine

200:22 204:14

Ninety-eight

278:11

Ninety-five

278:7

Ninety-four

278:5

Ninety-nine 278:13

Ninety-seven

278:10

Ninety-six 278:9

Ninety-three

278:3

Ninety-two 278:2

NIOSH

303:7

Nope

204:22 206:2

noted 292:23

notes 248:15,18

notifications

234:1

notified

204:25

November

271:15

number

210:3 230:3 247:10 252:12 261:2 262:17,24 263:4,9,23,25 264:1,8, 10,11,17,24 265:10 269:17 270:1,2,7 274:22 277:24,25 280:6,12 284:20,23 285:1 290:2 295:9,12 298:12 302:2 304:9

numbered

262:18

numbers

287:1

0

oath

202:4

object

198:20 203:4 209:19 210:17 218:12 221:5,11 222:18,25 223:11 224:18 229:1 231:24 234:17 236:8 237:10,18 238:3 239:5 240:3,13 243:7 246:5 247:17 248:2 249:10 250:5,12,18 251:5,11,21 252:1 253:4, 11,22 254:2,11 255:15

256:9,15,23 257:17,24 258:21 259:5,23 262:14 270:12,20 272:8,23 273:17,22 279:15,25 280:8 281:8,16 282:20 283:9,19 284:2 285:10 286:2 287:20,25 288:5, 10 289:21 290:24 291:24 292:18 293:6 294:3,24 295:7,15,23 296:5,17 297:13,15 298:8,22 300:8 301:1 303:16

i14

objected

198:11 304:10

objection

206:7 214:12 217:6 287:16 303:25

objections

199:14,19 217:10,13 218:23 219:10

obligation

201:2

obstruction

218:19 219:10

obviously

199:21 243:11

OC

277:22

occur

231:5 242:4 252:16 253:3

occurred

211:19 252:13 253:7,9

occurring

273:9

October

294:11

offer

237:7 271:22 272:13,21 273:12,14,20

offered

253:25 254:9

office

198:4 211:1 241:8,24 242:1 266:20 278:24 301:16 307:1 310:9 313:24

oh

229:4 260:17 261:18 272:3 297:15 307:9 314:22

Ohio

291:18 293:13,15

OI

276:24

okay

201:11,14,15 204:16 205:7 206:1 207:6 210:9, 14,23 212:13 213:20 214:13,20 215:2 216:13 217:8 218:11,16 219:5, 12,22 220:9 222:18,23 224:12,21 225:3,22 226:1,23 227:3 228:14, 23 230:12 232:24 233:10 234:3 235:10,20 236:13, 14,19,20 237:4 239:13, 16,23 240:18 241:22 242:3,8,11,22 243:1,5,7 244:17 251:17 252:17 257:6 259:17 260:17 261:14,24,25 262:6,12, 19 263:22 264:1,8,11,17 266:22 267:17 269:19,20 275:12,16 279:1,5 283:2 286:16 287:3 289:17 291:4 298:2 304:20,21 305:3 306:23 307:9 308:20 309:4 310:23 312:16 313:6 317:4 318:23,24 319:11

once

245:1 266:12,15 311:13

ones

262:5 275:6

opinion

220:20 258:4,5 259:10 260:1

opinions

258:5 259:8,9,12

opportunity

198:24 200:2 202:23

opposed

204:21 312:13

opposition

267:9,25 289:6,20,25 290:8 291:5 292:7,22

293:19

oral

240:21 271:9

orally

240:22

order

198:18 199:6,8,11 214:19 302:18 304:14 305:6,25 310:24 313:18 315:23

ordered

214:15 218:18,20 219:8

ore

294:14

original

200:1 204:4,5 214:21 224:13 225:13 226:10 231:22 232:15 237:5 281:14 287:13 310:14

originally

203:16 215:12 232:11 241:4

OSHA

293:20 303:7

out-of-state

228:9

outside

198:15,21 220:22 302:21

overruled

214:12

Owens

276:25 278:6

Owens-corning

277:23,25 278:1,3,17

Ρ

P-BEV-001014

299:19

P-BEV-001017

299:22

P-WMS-0012635

284:21

P-WMS-0012642

284:24

p.m.

319:21

page

214:9 216:11,14 262:24 263:9 269:25 284:21,23, 24 286:22 287:1,4 289:24 290:3,8 291:2,5 292:2,6,22 293:9,18 294:6,9 299:18,21,24 302:11

pages

262:18 263:4 279:20

paid

250:17 276:18,19,22,24 277:8,12,17,19,20,22 278:8,9,11 280:6 281:6, 20 282:14,17 283:8 296:14

paper

234:24

paperwork

226:17 227:19 272:14

paragraph

271:20,25 272:1 290:14 291:10 292:3,6 293:10, 13 294:7,9 299:24 300:11

part

199:7 224:21 265:12 268:23,25 304:17

participate

212:14 309:4

particular

211:6 213:7 215:11 234:23 247:1 273:6,7,25 274:4 295:11,18 314:9 316:4

particularly

210:13

parties

237:5 253:20 280:20

party

240:11,23

passed

235:24 238:6 308:4

passing

225:6

Pat

208:25 249:24

pay

256:18 257:20 258:25 273:25 274:4 295:11,18 296:16 298:6 299:1 301:6

i15

paying

283:14

payment

277:13 279:21

payments

296:21

pending

281:2,13,18,21 282:5

people

203:24 231:1 250:15 251:2,3,8,9

percent

279:4 284:24 292:13 293:2

period

236:10,13,24 267:15,22 269:15

periods

225:24

person

209:8 241:19 252:3 255:22 256:19 258:4 259:3 271:23 312:14

personal

248:9 260:1 263:19,22 264:4,11,19,25 265:1,2, 6,10 269:20 274:24

personally

255:17,21 258:25

persons

262:20 264:2

pertains

198:9

Peter

199:3 211:16 212:20 214:20 217:11 219:13 241:9 244:24 252:17 260:12 267:13 312:16 317:1

phone

205:20,25 206:3,10,20, 25 218:18 230:3 313:9, 10,11,13,22 314:1,8,21 315:4,7 317:17 319:12

piece

234:12

piecemeal

220:5

pieces

224:12 257:1

pile

228:4

place

198:14,21 205:14 206:15 210:25

Placitella

198:2 205:16 206:4,5,25 207:6,16 209:17 211:24 212:11 218:6 221:2 222:14 223:24 224:14 227:23 228:3,6,24 231:1 242:12 247:21 249:18 254:4,20 262:7 268:24 304:24 309:8,24 310:1,2 312:24 313:4,14 314:10 315:13 317:19,25 318:13

Placitella's

225:11 241:3,24 242:1

plaintiff

223:25 227:4 302:20 303:4 312:13

plaintiffs

199:10,15 219:2 249:1 319:15

Plaintiffs'

202:19 213:12 247:5 248:7 289:6 291:8

pleasantries

306:6 308:24

please

201:8 208:11 213:17 238:23 305:10 306:3 311:3 313:20 316:1 317:4

Plibrico

278:8

plow

274:10

point

201:14 218:25 281:6

polite

306:5

portion

222:9 231:14 244:15 284:12 315:19

position

198:23 199:22 215:14

positions

199:23

possible

223:1 234:19 237:1 281:5 283:21

Possibly

288:16

potential

212:25 301:13

potentially 223:25 227:4

pounds

291:16

pre-mark

274:9 275:10

pre-marked

275:6,14

precise

267:19 312:23

precluded

319:2

prep

198:19 200:3

preparation

204:3 210:4 308:7,10

prepare

203:12 204:9,12,17,20 205:23

prepared

205:1 231:23

present

211:1,6,25 214:6,25 215:20 217:25 243:11 244:10,16 311:25 312:18 315:20 317:8,11 presentation

248:23

presented

303:1 314:5 316:23

preserve

224:1,7,15 225:13

presumably

242:8 277:23

presume

276:25

pretend

258:2

prevented

311:11 312:7

previous

203:14,19 206:20 314:6

previously

213:21 288:25 305:9 306:2,19 311:2 315:25

prior

225:6,15 228:20 230:21 242:6 260:13 313:7 314:1 318:19

privilege

198:13,22 211:7 213:9, 14 214:12,24 215:22 216:20 219:1 220:12,16 223:2,15 241:9 244:2,19 305:9 306:3 311:3 312:21 313:16,20 315:22.25

privileged 211:18

probably

226:5 261:23

problem

218:14 241:13 243:9 279:8

proceed

213:2 217:22 219:7

process

256:2 263:9 265:3,12 269:1 282:2

produce

284:5 297:8

produced

229:8 239:23 240:8,9 259:16 274:25 275:4,20 283:23 284:11

i16

product

282:15 283:7 284:6 293:1 303:2

products

226:20 282:18,24 283:4, 14,16

professional

257:19 259:13,25

proof

257:4

proposals

253:19

prosecute 263:15 269:22

protection

213:4 215:17

prove

259:8

proved 257:1

provide

202:19 227:5,22 232:16 268:6 303:6 309:14

provided

199:8 214:15 224:5 227:7,20 230:4,22 233:23,24 234:1,13,14 240:16 246:8 261:10,15 263:5 264:20 266:12,15, 19 297:23 301:15

provision

199:9

pull

205:10 pursuant

> 213:8 305:3,4 310:24 313:17

pursue

311:7,11 312:3 316:5

pursuing

312:7

purview 258:8

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York
1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

Q

qualified

298:11

question

201:4,9 211:13 213:13, 15,17,20,23 214:3,18,21 215:9,12 216:5,16,22 217:3,20,22 219:13 220:8,11,14 222:22 223:8 224:13 238:21,23 243:15,18 244:7 252:4,5 258:7 262:6 264:5 287:7, 9 296:11 298:2 303:18 304:1 305:7,10 306:2,4 311:1,4 313:21 315:24 316:1 317:5

questioned

203:17

questioning

198:14,20 199:2 260:13 267:14 306:21

questionnaire

225:14 229:13,15,17,20, 25 230:11 231:19

questions

198:10 201:2,16 205:24 210:3,19 213:1 218:1,3, 25 220:2 225:16 229:19, 23 247:10 252:12 285:25 287:5 304:9,13,18 314:15 317:10,13 318:25 319:5,14

quick

198:5

quickly

275:11 280:3

quite

255:9 257:3 298:15 313:22

quote

290:15 291:10 292:8,23 294:9,13 300:1,15 303:1

R

raise

213:18

raised

199:14

Raytech

278:9

re-send

227:12

reached

254:24

read

208:16 213:25 214:6 220:17 303:20

ready

200:12

really

206:23 208:16,19 221:16 223:3 232:12 247:23 305:14 307:16

reason

201:18 239:24 245:9 285:6,12

reasonable

274:6 295:4,21 296:2 298:5

reasons

237:22,25 238:15 239:2, 19 240:11,23 241:16 242:15 245:17 258:17 265:6,21

reassertion

199:19

recall

210:12 223:19 241:2 247:8 272:16,17 273:19 286:9 287:8,9 301:11 305:11,16,20 306:5,10 307:8 308:12 310:19,21 311:18,23 317:6,12,14 318:15

receive

206:18 234:20 235:4 250:3,10,16 251:2,9 295:21 296:3

received

206:16 207:24 227:11 228:5 230:12 234:3 235:25 236:4,5 260:7 262:5 281:2 283:25 285:7,13,17,21 295:5

receiving

239:17 280:19,23

recess

216:1 220:24 274:13 304:5

recollection

239:17

recommendation

237:8

recommendations

273:5

recommended

270:16 298:20

reconsideration

199:13,16,17 213:12

record

198:6 199:23 214:18 216:2 220:21 252:18,24, 25 274:12,16 304:4,6

records

225:6 226:16 227:8 228:16,17

recover

314:13

recovered

251:20

refer

200:20 282:25

referenced

275:5

referral

313:14 314:19 316:3

referred

269:1 274:25 288:14 312:24 317:18

referring

199:9 230:8,14 241:24 313:2 316:3

refers

299:15

reflected

278:22

regard

278:20

regarding

209:10 233:3 235:5 237:8 240:10 241:23 247:23 249:16 265:21,25 266:5,8 267:4,9,25 268:10 269:13 275:1 305:17 309:5 313:24 317:23 318:13

i17

regards

218:1 318:2

reject

272:7,20

relate

208:14 247:6 249:21 270:10

related

200:6 211:5 223:19 224:2 229:7 232:7 233:5 243:12 246:19,23 260:6 265:16 276:11,21 277:3, 11,15,16,18,24 278:1,2, 4,12,13,15,16,17 281:12 284:13

relates

213:6 214:4 232:22 249:8 276:15,17,19,23 277:1,4,6,8,9,13,20,22 278:9

relating

198:10 215:20 224:15 232:18 262:21 264:3 279:11

relevant

229:22

rely

246:19 260:2

remaining

199:20

remedy

218:20

remember

203:22 206:22 210:1,2,7 212:1 217:25 218:5 221:18 222:3,7,10 223:3, 7,16 224:8,11 229:13,16, 21,23,24 230:1 231:1,3, 10 233:19 234:12 236:20,25 238:6,10,12, 18 239:9,15 240:5,7,25 241:2 242:17 243:22 245:11,19,23 246:7

247:12 248:17,22 252:14 255:2,7 262:3 266:23 267:18 268:5,7,13,16 269:10,16 272:22,25 286:4,5,20 301:3 302:9 308:5,18,22 310:10,13 313:12,25 314:25 315:3,

repeat 238:23

reply 268:10

reporter 202:8 275:13

reports 303:4,5

represent 258:16

representative 208:11

represented 209:16 248:5 300:6 307:24

representing 246:15 316:20

request 199:25 265:11

requested 225:8 263:19

requests 309:20

rescheduling 206:13

Research 292:10

reserve 319:17

resolutions 262:25

resolve 295:5 299:1

respect 295:3 296:25

respectfully 198:20 211:16 215:5 252:9 respond

201:3,8 300:16 304:19 309:19

287:5

responding

responds 263:22

response 199:4 264:1 265:9 267:10,21 268:1 269:25 270:6 274:23,24

responses 215:19 230:24 231:11,

18,20,22 260:24 261:3 262:16 263:18 309:5,15

responsible 238:8 283:3,13

responsive 264:5 265:11

restrictions 319:4

result 251:16

retention 271:14,20

return 272:15

returned 233:23 234:9,11,25

review

201:23 202:23 203:20 204:2,9,12 262:9 280:4 307:1

right

199:1 215:4 226:8 235:16 254:7 262:13 264:15 269:5 270:4 272:2 290:23 297:9,20, 25 315:9,18 317:16,20 319:7

right-hand 216:12 284:20

role

308:9

253:10,13 311:16

room 217:1 223:5,16 306:11

Roth

198:2 212:23

Roth's

213:11

Roughly 313:11

route 213:3

row 285:3

RT

285:18 289:7,17,25 290:11,19 291:7,11,15, 16,21 292:9,12 293:14 296:15,25 297:18 298:3, 4,6 300:2,24 301:6 302:17

RTV 300:21

Rubber 291:12,17 302:1

Rule 305:5

ruled 213:25 219:3

rules 200:5,21 220:4

ruling

198:4,8,13,17 213:11 220:17

rulings 219:19

S

Safety 293:14

sanction 218:21 219:8

sanctions 199:7

satisfied 318:24

saved 234:12

saw

231:16 308:22

saving

219:25 237:7 257:7,9,10, 15,22 258:18 259:3,19, 22 266:16 297:5

says

265:9 271:21 284:25 285:1,3 287:6 290:10,15 292:8,22 293:20 299:15 300:1,15 301:25 302:16

scheduling 206:18

scientists 294:21 297:18

scrutinizing 280:2

Sealing 277:1,3

search 245:22 246:2

searched 232:6

second

203:13 206:25 229:3 252:18 258:4 259:8 261:3 269:25 271:20,21 272:1 292:3,6 294:4,6 302:12,16

see

216:14,20 228:10 232:20 239:12 242:2 243:9 246:2,23 261:4,9 262:17, 21 263:2,7,11,16 270:1 271:24 272:3 275:1,20, 24 276:5 279:19 281:19 283:22 284:19,25 285:2, 4 287:6,11 289:8 290:2, 10,20 291:7,18 292:3,10, 13 293:2,10,16,22 294:6, 18 299:16,19,25 300:3, 12,21 301:24 302:12,23 303:9

seek

282:2 298:18 311:9 312:8

seeking 252:9 255:21

seen

246:18 261:6,25 270:5 284:16 296:20

selling

291:11 292:25

send

207:20 209:8 235:21 236:21 237:6

sent

203:15 205:4,9 225:10 227:11 228:1 229:15 230:22 232:12 234:15 237:21,24 240:2,6 262:7 272:14

sentence

271:21 292:6 293:20 300:12

sentences

303:1

served

230:9,13 261:23

session

198:19 200:3 210:4 247:9 286:19

set

203:13 208:10 261:1,3, 12,19,22 262:16 274:20

settle

237:4,7 254:1,9 273:6, 12,14,21 295:12,19 298:13 300:18,24 301:6

settlement

237:9 270:17 271:22 272:6,7,18,21 274:1,5,6 275:23,24 276:4,9,11,13, 15,18,20,23 277:1,4,5,9, 12,13,15,17,19,21,25 278:1,3,4,6,8,10,12,14, 15,16,18 280:5,20,24 281:5 283:8,15,17,24 285:7,13,17,21 295:4,22 296:15,16 298:7 299:1 301:9,14

settlement-related

275:19

settlements

237:16 260:5,13 264:12 273:1 279:11,19,20 281:20 282:9,18 283:23 284:15

Seventy-four

276:19

shame

308:2

she's

202:3 235:7

sheet

285:12 301:23

short

308:3

shortly

202:20 209:23 211:20 212:15,18 218:10 235:24 244:11 245:16 248:13 263:13 269:4 304:24 305:1 310:9,14

show

205:2,3 248:20 275:7

showed

226:13 314:2

showing

292:16

shown

248:23 309:24 310:3

side

300:16

sign

272:15

signature

271:18 319:19

signed

234:25 241:3 255:18 271:15 289:10

significant

251:10

significantly

316:6,16

sir

252:8

sit

203:7 238:13 258:24 269:11

site

291:8 293:15

Sixth

294:7,9

small

228:4 287:1

sold

288:4,9 291:16,22

somebody

250:2,8

sorry

207:3 208:18 218:6 229:4 230:10 239:14 240:15 242:21,23 261:13,24 270:14 272:3 286:23,25 287:2 294:5

295:17

sort

218:3 219:9 226:17 234:20 268:22 297:7

sought

258:15

sound

226:7 242:9 280:7 288:23,24 310:12

sounded

288:21

sounds

238:5 285:19 288:17

source

247:11,14 249:7

Southern

285:14

speak

204:23 216:16,19,22 221:3 239:11 247:23 280:9

speaking

217:10,12 219:10 238:10 269:3

speaks

287:16 303:17,19

Special

198:4,9,16 199:5 211:3 213:10,18,24 214:6 217:14 218:17 219:17 220:4,17 304:12 305:5, 25 310:25 313:18 specific

199:13 207:23 217:20 224:13 226:15 229:23 252:10 305:16,20 307:8,

i19

18

specifically

200:6 214:4,8 222:3,24 223:4,7 224:9,11 225:20 227:24 230:5 231:3 236:25 238:6 239:9 241:1,2 245:11 249:15

specifics

278:20 313:25

specified 279:3

spoke

211:25 216:19 221:13,15 223:24 240:25

spoken

206:17 207:16 208:21,24 209:9,22 246:9 249:24

stack

275:13.21

stamp 299:19

start

217:4 224:15 236:12 304:8

started

198:8

starting

241:14 312:17

starts

293:10

state 228:7 294:13

stated

199:22 305:4

statement

306:19 **states**

293:13 294:9

stay

221:20

stipulate

278:21

studies

293:25 303:7

stuff

207:20 274:9

subject

213:2,6 304:14 305:24 306:18 310:23 311:20 313:16 315:23 316:9 319:3

submissions

279:12

submit

255:17 303:4

submits

255:24

submitted

255:12,18 256:5 265:16 303:5

subsequent

206:19 223:6

substance

218:13 235:5 240:23 243:10 270:16

substantive

215:19 220:8 222:20 235:25

such-and-such

236:2

sued

265:21

suggest

219:12

suggested

314:16

summary

265:17 266:5,8 267:5,11 268:1,11,19 269:7,14 288:15 289:7,18,25 290:9 291:6 292:8 293:19 302:19,23 303:8,

supplemental

260:25 262:16 269:25 270:6 274:19

supplied

226:18 291:7,14

Supply

277:17,19

support

259:21

sure

200:9 211:12 215:25 225:22 232:12,13 236:10 238:7,20,23,25 242:25 245:2 246:17 250:23 252:23 258:1 262:12 270:8 273:8 274:11 279:9 281:18 284:4 313:22 318:2,8

swear

202:5

sworn

200:16 202:7,8

system

312:9

Т

T&n

278:15

take

198:5,14,21 200:7 201:12,13 208:17 215:23 218:17 224:12 244:21 248:15,18 263:23 264:8, 24 269:17 274:8 275:8 304:3

taken

199:10 216:1 220:24 274:13 304:5

talc

249:13 250:3,9,16,23 251:9,10 270:19 283:24, 25 284:5,25 285:1,3,7, 14,22 287:8,10,14,19,23, 24 288:3,9,13 290:12,20, 23 291:12,17,22 292:9, 10,12,17,23 293:1,5,15, 21 294:1,15,22 295:3 296:2,12 297:1,5,19 303:2,12,13,23,24 311:23,25

talk

206:23 243:10 312:12 314:12 talked

226:23 240:20 286:6 317:15

talking

222:19,23 225:23 261:22 267:14 304:22 307:22 308:10

taxes

226:13

Technologies

277:2,3

tell

210:22 212:17 218:9 224:1 234:22 241:15,22 243:5,17,19 245:8,13 255:11 305:1 310:22 311:19 313:13 315:4

telling

241:17 248:12 318:11

ten

239:14

tends

209:7

terminology

238:8

testified

200:17 294:14

testifying

294:21 297:19

testimony

201:19 203:8,24 210:12 214:14 223:10,13 286:6, 11,13 287:7 290:16,18 298:4

tests

292:15 298:3

Thank

200:11 229:5 267:20 279:9

Thanks

220:23

there's

213:14 232:15 242:24 257:3 270:1 284:20 290:2 292:21 293:20 296:21 319:1 they're

208:3 262:3 272:5 283:14 293:4

they've

234:23 284:15 288:25

i20

thing

205:1 305:11 315:11

things

200:7,21 206:15 209:8 225:10 235:12 272:11 281:23 307:17

think

203:6 205:10 206:20 207:15,17,23 209:7,9 210:10 212:2,12,16,20 213:5,7,10 214:2,20,23 215:21 217:3,13 218:2 220:6 221:7 222:3 225:19,23 226:12 227:9, 10,24,25 230:1,17 231:16,21 232:4,23 233:16,23 236:25 241:9 242:19 244:1,13,18 245:9,20 246:7 248:22 251:13 253:24 254:4 255:1 256:12 261:8 262:10 263:4 267:18 268:23 270:3,8 273:9,24 279:17 286:9 288:18,23 289:14 295:20 299:9 304:23 307:15,23 309:2, 9,25 310:19 311:20 312:16,19 313:10,15 314:11 315:14,15 318:23 319:9

thinking 203:1

. . .

third

219:24 258:5 259:9 271:25 293:11,13

thought

202:6 260:2 290:4 314:17

thousand

282:10

three

212:13 302:25 309:12 318:21

threw

231:22

thrown

238:10 311:7

time

202:4,25 205:14 206:14 209:22 212:2 215:7 219:1,11,24 223:17 225:9,24 226:9 227:6 233:21 236:10,13,24 242:13,23 245:19,21 252:12 260:5 261:20 267:15,22 268:14 269:6, 15 272:5 286:10,14 308:3,23 315:15,16

times

219:3 232:10

Tire

291:12,17 302:1

today

198:3 199:18 201:19 203:7 204:10,18,21,24 205:13 215:13 219:15 232:21 238:13 258:24 261:7 269:11 270:18 282:7 296:1 304:22,25 306:1 310:7 319:6,10

today's

198:19 203:12 204:13

told

201:6 205:3,23 206:9 208:24 212:2 218:2,3,24 222:1 224:21 231:17 233:17 241:5,16,19 245:4,14 248:7 256:4 270:25 273:11,13,20 286:9 304:12 307:14,23, 24 308:12,15 314:24 315:2 316:15 317:7,8 318:7

Tom

208:21

299:6,15 301:24

topics

218:13 222:19 243:9

total

280:5

transcript

201:23 202:17,20 213:25

transpire

314:4

transpired

311:10 312:11 316:13,18

Travelers

278:12.14

tremolite

292:13,24,25 293:2,16 294:17

tried

203:23 275:4 276:5 311:6,14 312:3 316:2

trust

209:11 227:15 234:6,23 235:3,16 236:1,3 246:20, 24 255:19,22 258:3 275:24 276:5,18,20 278:8 281:1,9 282:6

trusts

255:5,11,25 256:5,12 279:12 281:3

truthful

201:18

truthfully

201:3

try

211:11 235:11 267:19 275:10,18 279:18 312:23

trying

204:16 211:2 214:1 217:11,12,13,18 275:10 281:25 282:2 316:11

Tuesday

216:17,23 221:2,19 222:5 308:14 309:22

turn

216:11 262:15 269:17 274:18 284:19,23 289:24 291:2 292:2 293:9 294:6 299:18.21

turned

286:21 317:24

Twenty-three

290:6

two

225:23 231:1 235:11 263:4 279:20 284:25 286:24 299:9 300:2 302:25 306:5,7,12 316:2

two-minute

215:23

two-thirds

302:13

types

251:4

Typically

234:22

U

U.S.

276:22 278:18

Uh-huh

200:24 210:6 212:10 224:23 226:4 228:15 249:6 274:21 276:16 293:12

unable

316:5

underlying

263:2,7,15 264:14,22 265:18,22 269:23 275:1 318:14

understand

198:8 201:4,6,11 211:9 212:22 220:19 225:25 235:19 236:10 240:15 253:25 316:17

understanding

211:2,4 225:22 228:25 238:24 248:4 249:13 253:19 258:1 282:16,23 283:2 288:7 311:22

understands

198:4

understood

201:5,10 238:22

unfortunate

300:13,15

unfortunately

250:24 262:18

unwilling

300:16

upcoming

306:8,16,25

updates

209:10

٧

vague

208:19

Valley

277:17,19

Vanderbilt

285:18 287:11,14 288:4, 9,13,17,18 289:7,18 290:11,23 291:7,11,15, 16 292:9,12,16 293:5 294:11 295:5,11,13,18, 20,22 296:4,16,25 297:11,18 298:3,5,6 299:1,2,5 300:2,6,24,25 301:6,7,14 302:8,17,20 303:14,22

Vanderbilt's

289:25 290:9,19 291:6, 21 292:7,16 293:15,19, 21 294:1,21,22 295:3 302:18 303:2,8,12,13,23

variations

283:11

variety

284:14 296:21

verbal

268:23

verification

226:16

versus

301:25

view

213:13 250:15 257:11,14 258:17 259:17,18

vote

208:11,12

voting

208:5,18

W

wait

220:21 241:6

waived

213:14 219:2 220:12,16 319:19

waiver

305:8 306:2 315:25

waiving

311:2 313:19

walk

279:13

walked

280:14

Walsh

208:25 209:3 249:24

want

202:4 213:21 215:18 218:13 225:24 242:24 258:1 262:2 264:8 275:7 312:19 315:8

wanted

246:23 256:13,20 257:22 258:3 259:2 279:8 313:23 314:9 319:10

wasn't

250:2 252:4 279:3 308:25

way

203:3,10 208:12 253:15 273:5 283:3,18 296:11 298:15 302:14

we'll

220:20 304:19 319:16

we're

201:9 217:6 218:16 219:6 220:7 232:23 235:12 241:13 243:10 312:16

we've

212:21 216:7 226:23 240:20 252:20 260:22 262:7 266:16 271:13 275:3,6,14 284:9 289:3 299:10,11 317:15

weak 300:20

weeks

308:16,21 317:15

Wengerd

198:3,11,14,18 200:12, 15 202:3,16 216:4 220:21 243:14 244:2 252:9 253:2 260:22,25 271:13 274:18 281:25 284:10 289:3 299:10 304:8 305:7,10 306:1,3 310:25 311:21 312:21 313:19,20 315:24 316:1

Wengerd's

200:1

went

259:25 280:3 309:15 313:23

weren't

245:9 265:15 268:4

Westfall 249:4,8,20

what's

201:1 239:12 249:7 262:11 285:12 307:11

whichever

311:25

Wilcox

276:14

Williams

201:22 202:18 209:14 216:17,24 218:1 221:4 224:1 226:25 227:5 229:8 232:8 235:17 245:16 246:1 247:15 248:1,13 249:24 250:4, 11,17 253:3 254:1,15,17 264:3 269:4 280:21 297:23 305:17,21 310:9 317:23 318:14

withdrawal

213:11

withdrawn

204:1 210:1 220:13 233:10 235:20 236:20 237:13,23 243:17 246:8 257:6 259:17 265:1 266:6 273:12

withdrew

199:16

Witness

229:4 294:5 319:19

word

281:2

wording

217:16 245:12

words

240:22 270:15

work

200:21 230:3 259:14 291:8 312:1 314:17

worked

221:16 225:7 226:13 228:8.11 291:23

working

226:21 281:24 305:15 312:3

world

258:2 276:10,12

worry

217:17

worth

252:3.7 315:5

wouldn't

257:2 300:19

writing

301:12

writings 271:3

written

225:16 235:4,22 236:22 237:21,25 238:14,25 239:10,18,23 240:20 268:21

wrong

230:3,6

wrote

229:24

Υ

yeah

207:5 232:20 288:20 290:5 307:16 318:7

year

261:23

years

239:14 241:4 307:19

you'd

241:10 263:24 274:1,5 295:13,20

i22

vou'll

210:2 276:5

vou're

201:7 213:16 214:22 215:5 222:23 225:23 236:11 237:4 238:24 242:3 243:8 244:21 246:17 252:5,8 258:2,7 260:14 262:12 266:25 267:14 268:20 269:3,11 298:15,16 307:10 318:24

you've

200:25 201:5 209:11 218:22 220:10 270:23 280:23 281:12 282:1 285:6 294:3 296:20 314:23 315:1 318:25 319:2

Ζ

zero 285:4